

FRECHET-VALUED MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS ON COMPACT SETS IN \mathbb{C}^n

NGUYEN VAN DONG

ABSTRACT. Let F be a Frechet space. The main aim of this paper is to prove that $[F_{bor}^*]^* \in (LB_\infty)$ if and only if $M(X, [F_{bor}^*]^*) = M_w(X, [F_{bor}^*]^*)$ for every compact uniqueness subset X of \mathbb{C}^n . We also prove that a compact set X in \mathbb{C}^n is pluripolar if and only if X is unique and $M(X, F) = M_w(X, F)$ for every Frechet space $F \in (DN)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a subset of \mathbb{C}^n and F a sequentially complete locally convex space. A function f defined on a dense open subset X_0 of X with values in F is called meromorphic on X if it can be extended to a meromorphic function on a neighbourhood of X in \mathbb{C}^n . This means that there exist a neighbourhood U of X and a meromorphic function \hat{f} on U such that $X \setminus P(\hat{f})$ is dense in X and $f(z) = \hat{f}(z)$ for $z \in X_0 \setminus P(\hat{f})$. If this holds for x^*f , where x^* is an arbitrary element of the dual space F^* of F , we say that f is weakly meromorphic on X . Write $M(X, F)$ and $M_w(X, F)$ for vector spaces of meromorphic and weakly meromorphic functions on X with values in F , respectively. The main aim of the present paper is to find necessary and sufficient conditions for which

$$(\omega) \quad M(X, F) = M_w(X, F).$$

The case where F is a Banach space and X either is open or compact was proved in [3]. In [2] the authors proved that a Frechet space F has a continuous norm (resp. $F \in (DN)$) if and only if (ω) holds for every open subset (resp. \tilde{L} -regular compact set) X of \mathbb{C}^n .

The main results of this paper are the following theorems.

Theorem A. *Let F be a Frechet space. Then $[F_{bor}^*]^* \in (LB_\infty)$ if and only if $M(X, [F_{bor}^*]^*) = M_w(X, [F_{bor}^*]^*)$ for every compact uniqueness set X of \mathbb{C}^n . Here F_{bor}^* denotes the space F^* equipped with the bornological topology.*

Theorem B. *Let X be a compact set of \mathbb{C}^n . The following are equivalent:*

- i) X is not pluripolar;
- ii) $[\mathcal{H}(X)]' \in (LB^\infty)$;
- iii) X is unique and $M(X, F) = M_w(X, F)$ for every Frechet space F with $F \in (DN)$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

For a Frechet space E we always assume that its locally convex structure is generated by an increasing system $\{\| \cdot \|_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of semi-norms.

If M is an absolutely convex subset of E , we define $\| \cdot \|_M^* : E \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ by

$$\|u\|_M^* = \sup \{|u(x)| : x \in M\},$$

where E' denotes the topological dual of E . Instead of $\|u\|_{U_k}^*$ we write $\|u\|_k^*$, where $U_k = \{x \in E : \|x\|_k \leq 1\}$.

We say that E has the property:

(DN) There exists $p \in \mathbf{N}$ such that for every $q \in \mathbf{N}$ and every $d > 0$ there exist $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $C > 0$ with

$$\|x\|_q^{1+d} \leq C \|x\|_k \|x\|_p^d$$

for all $x \in E$.

(LB_∞) For every positive increasing unbounded sequence $(\rho_N)_{N \in \mathbf{N}}$ there exists $p \in \mathbf{N}$ such that for all $q \in \mathbf{N}$ there exist $N_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ and $C > 0$ such that for all $x \in E$ there exists $k \in \mathbf{N}$ with $q \leq k \leq N_0$ with

$$\|x\|_q^{1+\rho_k} \leq C \|x\|_k \|x\|_p^{\rho_k}.$$

(LB^∞) For every positive increasing unbounded sequence $(\rho_N)_{N \in \mathbf{N}}$ and every $p \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists $q \in \mathbf{N}$ such that for all $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ there exist $N_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ and $C > 0$ such that for all $u \in E'$ there exists $k \in \mathbf{N}$ with $n_0 \leq k \leq N_0$ with

$$\|u\|_q^{*1+\rho_k} \leq C \|u\|_k^* \|u\|_p^{*\rho_k}.$$

Note that $\| \cdot \|_p$ is a continuous norm on E if $E \in (LB_\infty)$.

These properties were introduced and investigated by Vogt (see [8], [9]). We remark that

$$(LB_\infty) \xleftrightarrow{\iff} (DN),$$

$$(LB^\infty) \xleftrightarrow{\leftarrow} (\Omega).$$

Let α be an increasing sequence of positive real numbers with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ (α will be called an exponent sequence). For $0 < R \leq \infty$ we define the power series space by

$$\lambda_R(\alpha) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{N}} : \|x\|_r = \sum |x_n| r^{\alpha_n} < \infty \text{ for any } 0 < r < R \right\}.$$

Then $\lambda_R(\alpha)$ is a Frechet space under the natural topology induced by the seminorms $\{\| \cdot \|_r : 0 < r < R\}$. We call $\lambda_R(\alpha)$ a power series space of finite type if $R < \infty$ or of infinite type if $R = \infty$.

Well-known examples of nuclear power series spaces are

$$\begin{aligned} s &\cong \lambda_\infty (\log(n + 1)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}), \\ \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^k) &\cong \lambda_\infty \left((n^{\frac{1}{k}})_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \right), \\ \mathcal{H}(D^k) &\cong \lambda_1 \left((n^{\frac{1}{k}})_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where D stands for the open unit disk in \mathbb{C} and $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ denotes the space of all holomorphic functions on Ω endowed with the compact-open topology.

For locally convex spaces E and F we denote by $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ the space of all continuous linear mappings, while $\mathcal{LB}(E, F)$ denotes the set of all $A \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ for which there exists a zero neighbourhood U in E such that $A(U)$ is bounded.

We recall three following results of [8]

Lemma 2.1. *For a Frechet space F the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\mathcal{L}(\lambda_\infty(\beta), F) = \mathcal{LB}(\lambda_\infty(\beta), F)$ for all exponent sequences β ;
- (ii) F has property (LB_∞) .

Lemma 2.2. *For a Frechet space E the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\mathcal{L}(E, \lambda_\infty(\alpha)) = \mathcal{LB}(E, \lambda_\infty(\alpha))$ for all exponent sequences α ;
- (ii) E has property (LB^∞) .

Lemma 2.3. *Let E, F be Frechet spaces with $E \in (LB^\infty)$ and $F \in (DN)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{L}(E, F) = \mathcal{LB}(E, F).$$

Unless otherwise specified, we shall write, throughout this paper, $Z(h)$ and $Z(g, \sigma)$ for $h^{-1}(0)$ and $g^{-1}(0) \cap \sigma^{-1}(0)$, respectively, and F_{bor}^* for the bornological space associated to F^* , where F^* is the strong dual of Frechet space F .

3. PROOF OF THEOREM A

To prove Theorem A we first prove the following results:

Lemma 3.1 [2]. *Let X be a pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n and f a meromorphic function on X with values in a locally convex space F . Then, for every relatively compact domain Y in X , there exist holomorphic functions h and σ on X such that*

$$f = \frac{h}{\sigma} \text{ and } \text{codim}_y Z(h, \sigma) \geq 2 \text{ for } y \in Y.$$

Lemma 3.2 [2]. *Let F be a locally convex space. Let σ and β be holomorphic functions on an open subset $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and $g : X \rightarrow F$ a holomorphic function. Assume that $\frac{\beta g}{\sigma}$ is holomorphic on X and $\text{codim } Z(g, \sigma) \geq 2$. Then $\frac{\beta}{\sigma}$ is holomorphic on X .*

Lemma 3.3. *There exists a polar compact uniqueness set X in \mathbb{C} .*

Proof. a) First we prove that a compact set X in \mathbb{C} is unique if and only if X is perfect. Indeed, let $f \in \mathcal{H}(U)$, $f|_X = 0$, where U is a neighbourhood of X in \mathbb{C} . Then $f|_Z = 0$ for every connected component Z of U meeting X and hence $f = 0$ on $V = \bigcup \{Z : Z \text{ is a connected component of } U \text{ with } Z \cap X \neq \emptyset\}$.

Conversely, if X is not perfect, then X has an isolated point and hence X is not unique.

b) Given a sequence $\ell = (\ell_n) \downarrow 0$, $\ell_n < 2^{-n}$. Define a family of closed intervals $(J_{n,j})_{n \geq 0, 1 \leq j \leq 2^n}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} J_{0,s} &= [0, 1] \quad \text{for } s \geq 1, \\ J_{n+1,2j-1} &= [\min J_{n,j}, \min J_{n,j} + \ell_n], \\ J_{n+1,2j} &= [\max J_{n,j} - \ell_n, \max J_{n,j}], \end{aligned}$$

$$C_n(\ell) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{2^n} J_{n,j},$$

$$C(\ell) = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} C_n(\ell).$$

c) For each $n \geq 0$ define μ_n to be the uniform measure on $\bigcup_{j=1}^{2^n} J_{n,j}$ giving weight 2^{-n} to each $J_{n,j}$, i.e.

$$d\mu_n(x) = \frac{1}{2^n l_n} \chi_{\bigcup_{j=1}^{2^n} J_{n,j}} dx.$$

Define a probability measure on $C(\ell)$ by

$$\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n.$$

Note that this limit exists. Put

$$\varphi(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log|x - z| d\mu(x).$$

d) We shall prove that with $l_n = e^{-2^n}$ and μ defined as above, $C (= C(\ell))$ is a polar compact uniqueness set.

Clearly, C is perfect because C is a set of the Cantor type. We prove $C = \varphi^{-1}(-\infty)$. Obviously $\varphi(z) > -\infty$ for $z \notin C$. Now assume that $x_0 \in C$ and $x_0 \in J_{n,j_n} \forall n \geq 0$. Since $J_{n,j_{n+1}} \subset J_{n,j_n}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log|x - x_0| d\mu(x) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \int_{J_{n,j_n} \setminus J_{n,j_{n+1}}} \log|x - x_0| d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \log(l_n) \mu(J_{n,j_n} \setminus J_{n,j_{n+1}}) = - \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{-n} 2^{n-1} = - \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{2} = -\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, it remains to check that φ is subharmonic on \mathbb{C} .

Let $z_0 \notin C$. Then $\text{dist}(C, z_0) > 0$ and hence $\log|z - z_0|$ is bounded on a neighbourhood of z_0 . By Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, φ is continuous at z_0 . Let $z_0 \in C$. Given $A > 0$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \Delta(z_0, \varepsilon)} \log|x - x_0| d\mu(x) < -2A,$$

with

$$\Delta(z_0, \varepsilon) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| < \varepsilon\}.$$

Since

$$\log|x - z| \longrightarrow \log|x - z_0| \quad \text{as } z \longrightarrow z_0$$

uniformly on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Delta(z_0, \varepsilon)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \log|x - z| \, d\mu(x) \leq \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \Delta(z_0, \varepsilon)} \log|x - z| \, d\mu(x) \leq -A$$

for $|z - z_0| < \eta$ with $\eta > 0$ sufficiently small. Thus φ is upper-semicontinuous. Hence, from the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{\Delta(a, r)} \varphi(z) \, d\lambda(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{\Delta(a, r)} \log|x - z| \, d\mu(x) \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log|a - x| \, d\mu(x) = \varphi(a) \end{aligned}$$

it follows that φ is subharmonic.

Remark. The author thanks P. Thomas, who showed us the construction of the set C as in Lemma 3.3.

Now we are able to prove Theorem A.

Let F be a Frechet space with $[F_{bor}^*]^* \in (LB_\infty)$ and $f \in M_w(X, [F_{bor}^*]^*)$, where X is a compact uniqueness set in \mathbb{C}^n . First note that $F_{bor}^* \cong \lim \text{ind } F_p^*$, where $\{\|\cdot\|_p\}$ is a fundamental system of semi-norms of F and F_p denotes the Banach space associated to $\|\cdot\|_p$ for each $p \geq 1$. Hence $[F_{bor}^*]^* \cong \lim \text{proj } F_p^{**}$. Since $[F_{bor}^*]^* \in (LB_\infty)$, we may assume without loss of generality that $\|\cdot\|_{U_1^0}^*$ is a norm on $[F_{bor}^*]^*$. By [3], for each $p \geq 1$, there exist a neighbourhood U_p of X in \mathbb{C}^n and a meromorphic function $\widehat{f}_p : U_p \longrightarrow F_p^{**}$ such that $f_p|_{X \setminus P(\widehat{f}_p)} = \omega_p f|_{X \setminus P(\widehat{f}_p)}$, where $\omega_p : [F_{bor}^*]^* \longrightarrow F_p^{**}$ is the canonical map.

The meromorphic function f_p is extended uniquely to a meromorphic function \widehat{f}_p on \widehat{U}_p , the envelope of holomorphy of U_p . By Lemma 3.1, \widehat{f}_p and hence f_p can be written in the form $f_p = \frac{h_p}{\sigma_p}$, where $h_p : U_p \longrightarrow F_p^{**}$, $\sigma_p : U_p \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are holomorphic functions and $\sigma_p \neq 0$ such that

$$\text{codim } Z(h_p, \sigma_p) \geq 2.$$

Since $\|\cdot\|_{U_1^0}^*$ is a norm on $[F_{bor}^*]^*$, ω_1^p is injective, where $\omega_1^p : F_p^{**} \rightarrow F_1^{**}$ is the canonical map. Since $\omega_1 = \omega_1^p \cdot \omega_p$ and by the uniqueness of X , shrinking U_p we get

$$\frac{h_1}{\sigma_1} \Big|_{U_p} = \frac{\omega_1^p \cdot h_p}{\sigma_p}.$$

From the injectivity of ω_1^p we have

$$Z(\omega_1^p h_p, \sigma_p) = Z(h_p, \sigma_p),$$

and hence

$$\text{codim } Z(\omega_1^p h_p, \sigma_p) = \text{codim } Z(h_p, \sigma_p) \geq 2.$$

Indeed, we have

$$\frac{h_1}{\sigma_1} \Big|_{X \setminus P(f_p)} = \frac{\omega_1^p \cdot h_p}{\sigma_p} \Big|_{X \setminus P(f_p)}$$

or

$$\sigma_p h_1 \Big|_{X \setminus P(f_p)} = \omega_1^p \cdot \sigma_1 h_p \Big|_{X \setminus P(f_p)}.$$

Since $X \setminus P(f_p)$ is dense in X ,

$$\sigma_p h_1 \Big|_X = \omega_1^p \cdot \sigma_1 h_p \Big|_X.$$

By Lemma 3.2, it follows that $\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_p} \Big|_{U_p}$ is holomorphic for $p \geq 1$. Again by the uniqueness of X we can define a linear map

$$\tilde{h} : F_{bor}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(X)$$

by

$$\tilde{h} \Big|_{F_p^{**}} = \left(\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_p} \right) \tilde{h}_p \quad \text{for } p \geq 1,$$

where $\tilde{h}_p(x^*)(z) = x^*(h_p(z))$ for $z \in U_p$ and $x^* \in F_p^*$. Obviously \tilde{h} has a closed graph. The open mapping theorem of Grothendieck [6] yields the continuity of \tilde{h} .

By [5], $[\mathcal{H}(X)]^*$ is isomorphic to a quotient space of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^n) \cong \lambda_\infty \left(j^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)$ and hence by [8]:

$$\mathcal{LB}(F^*, [\mathcal{H}(X)]) = \mathcal{L}(F^*, [\mathcal{H}(X)]).$$

It follows that we can find a neighbourhood W of $0 \in F_{bor}^*$ such that $\tilde{h}(W)$ is bounded in $\mathcal{H}(X)$. This yields p for which $\tilde{h}(W)$ is contained and bounded in $\mathcal{H}^\infty(U_p)$, the Banach space of bounded holomorphic functions on U_p . Thus, the form

$$\widehat{h}(z)(x^*) = \tilde{h}(x^*)(z) \quad \text{for } z \in U_p, \quad x^* \in F_{bor}^*$$

defines a holomorphic function \widehat{h} from U_p into $[F_{bor}^*]^*$ such that $\frac{\widehat{h}}{\sigma_1}|_X = f$.

Hence $f \in M(X, [F_{bor}^*]^*)$.

Conversely, by Lemma 3.3 we can choose a compact polar uniqueness set X in \mathbb{C} . It is known that $[\mathcal{H}(X)]^* \cong \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C} \setminus X) \cong \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$. Here the first isomorphism follows from the dual Grothendieck theorem and the second one was proved by Zaharjuta [10].

By Vogt [8] it suffices to show that

$$\mathcal{LB}([\mathcal{H}(X)]^*, [F_{bor}^*]^*) = \mathcal{L}([\mathcal{H}(X)]^*, [F_{bor}^*]^*).$$

Given $T \in \mathcal{L}([\mathcal{H}(X)]^*, [F_{bor}^*]^*)$. Consider $T^* : [F_{bor}^*]^{**} \rightarrow [\mathcal{H}(X)]^{**}$. Since $[\mathcal{H}(X)]^{**} = \mathcal{H}(X)$, we can define a map $f : X \rightarrow [F_{bor}^*]^*$ by

$$f(z)(x^*) = (T^* x^*)(z) \quad \text{for } x^* \in [F_{bor}^*]^{**}, \quad z \in X.$$

By the $\sigma([F_{bor}^*]^{**}, [F_{bor}^*]^*)$ -continuity of $f(z)$, $f(z) \in [F_{bor}^*]^*$. Moreover, $f \in M_w(X, [F_{bor}^*]^*)$. By the hypothesis we can find a neighbourhood U of X in \mathbb{C}^n and a $[F_{bor}^*]^*$ -valued meromorphic function \widehat{f} on U such that

$$\widehat{f}|_{X \setminus P(\widehat{f})} = f|_{X \setminus P(\widehat{f})}.$$

Hence we have

$$\widehat{\sigma}(z)(T^* x^*)(z) = \widehat{\sigma}(z)\widehat{f}(z)(x^*) = \widehat{h}(z)(x^*),$$

where $\widehat{h} : U \rightarrow [F_{bor}^*]^*$ and $\widehat{\sigma} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are bounded holomorphic functions and $\widehat{\sigma} \neq 0$ such that $\widehat{f} = \frac{\widehat{h}}{\widehat{\sigma}}$ and $Z(\widehat{h}, \widehat{\sigma}) = \emptyset$.

We have that $\widehat{\sigma}T^*(B^0)$ is contained and bounded in $\mathcal{H}^\infty(U)$, where $B = \widehat{h}(U)$. It follows that $T^*(B^0)$ is contained and bounded in $\mathcal{H}(U \setminus$

$Z(\widehat{\sigma})$). Shrinking U we may assume that $P(\widehat{f}) = Z(\widehat{\sigma}) \subset X$ and has only finite many points.

Since X is unique, X does not have an isolated point. On the other hand, from the continuity of f on X it follows that $Z(\widehat{\sigma}) = \emptyset$ and hence \widehat{f} is holomorphic on U . Choose a relatively compact neighbourhood V of X in U . We have

$$\inf_V |\widehat{\sigma}| > 0.$$

Hence T^* is bounded on B° .

Put $W = T^*(B^\circ)$. Then $V = W^\circ$ is a neighbourhood of $O \in [\mathcal{H}(X)]^*$ and $T(V) \subset B^{\circ\circ}$ is bounded in $[F_{bor}^*]^*$. Hence $[F_{bor}^*]^* \in (LB_\infty)$. The theorem is now proved.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM B

We need the following results.

Lemma 4.1. *Let K be a compact set in \mathbb{C}^n such that $[\mathcal{H}(K)]' \in (LB_\infty)$. Then K is a unique set.*

Proof. Given $f \in \mathcal{H}(K)$ with $f|_K = 0$. Let (U_k) be a neighbourhood basis of K in \mathbb{C}^n . For each $k \geq 1$, put

$$\varepsilon_k = \|f\|_{U_k} = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in U_k\}.$$

Then $\varepsilon_k \downarrow 0$. By applying (LB_∞) to $\rho_N = \sqrt{-\log \varepsilon_N} \uparrow +\infty$ we have for $p \geq 1$, $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(U_p)$, there exists $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $\tilde{N} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C_N > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N \leq k_n \leq \tilde{N}$ and

$$\|f^n\|_q^{1+\rho_{k_n}} \leq C_N \|f^n\|_{k_n} \|f^n\|_p^{\rho_{k_n}}.$$

This yields

$$\|f\|_q^{1+\rho_{k_n}} \leq C_N^{\frac{1}{n}} \|f\|_{k_n} \|f\|_p^{\rho_{k_n}}.$$

Choose $N \leq k \leq \tilde{N}$ such that $\#A = \infty$, where $A = \{n : k_n = k\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_q &\leq \lim_{n \in A} C_N^{\frac{1}{n}} \|f\|_{k_n}^{\frac{1}{1+\rho_{k_n}}} \|f\|_p^{\frac{\rho_{k_n}}{1+\rho_{k_n}}} \\ &= \|f\|_k^{\frac{1}{1+\rho_k}} \|f\|_p^{\frac{\rho_k}{1+\rho_k}} \\ &= (\varepsilon_k)^{\frac{1}{1+\rho_k}} (\varepsilon_p)^{\frac{\rho_k}{1+\rho_k}} \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$ because

$$\frac{1}{1 + \rho_k} \log \varepsilon_k = \frac{\log \varepsilon_k}{1 + \sqrt{-\log \varepsilon_k}} \rightarrow -\infty$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\varepsilon_p)^{\frac{\rho_k}{1+\rho_k}} = \varepsilon_p.$$

Hence $f = 0$ on V_q . This means that K is a uniqueness set.

Lemma 4.2 [2]. *Let F be a Frechet space with $F \in (DN)$. Then $[F_{\text{bor}}^*]^* \in (DN)$.*

Now we are able to prove Theorem B.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). By Vogt [8], to prove $[\mathcal{H}(X)]' \in (LB^\infty)$ it suffices to show that every continuous linear map $T : [\mathcal{H}(X)]' \rightarrow (\mathbf{C})$ is bounded on some neighbourhood of $0 \in [\mathcal{H}(X)]'$.

Define the function

$$f_T(x, \lambda) = T(\delta_x)(\lambda) \quad \text{for } x \in X, \lambda \in \mathbf{C},$$

where δ_x is the Dirac functional defined by x :

$$\delta_x(\varphi) = \varphi(x) \quad \text{for } \varphi \in \mathcal{H}(X).$$

Let $\{V_p\}$ be a neighbourhood basis of X in \mathbf{C}^n . For each $p \geq 1$, put

$$A_p = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbf{C} : f_T^\lambda \in \mathcal{H}(V_p); \|f_T^\lambda\|_{V_p} \leq p \right\},$$

where

$$f_T^\lambda(x) = f_T(x, \lambda).$$

Then A_p is closed in \mathbf{C} for $p \geq 1$ because $\mathcal{H}(V_p)$ is Montel. Moreover, $\mathbf{C} = \bigcup_{p \geq 1} A_p$. The Baire Theorem yields p_0 such that $\text{Int } A_{p_0} \neq \emptyset$.

Consider the separate holomorphic function

$$\tilde{f}_T : (X \times \mathbf{C}) \cup (V \times \text{Int } A_{p_0}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$$

given by

$$\tilde{f}_T(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} f_T(x, \lambda) & \text{if } (x, \lambda) \in X \times \mathbf{C}, \\ f_T^\lambda(x) & \text{if } (x, \lambda) \in V \times \text{Int } A_{p_0}, \end{cases}$$

where $V = V_{p_0}$. By Nguyen T. Van and Zeriahi [7], there exists a holomorphic extension \widehat{f}_T of \widetilde{f}_T to a neighbourhood $V \times \mathbb{C}$ of $X \times \mathbb{C}$. Since

$$\mathcal{H}(V, \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})) \cong \mathcal{H}(V) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathcal{L}([\mathcal{H}(V)]', \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})),$$

the form

$$S(\delta_z)(\lambda) = \widehat{f}_T(z)(\lambda) \quad \text{for } z \in V, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$$

defines a continuous linear map from $[\mathcal{H}(V)]'$ into $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$. By the uniqueness of X , from the relations

$$\begin{aligned} T\left(\sum_j \lambda_j \delta_{z_j}\right) &= \sum_j \lambda_j T(\delta_{z_j}) = \sum_j \lambda_j f_T(z_j) \\ &= \sum_j \lambda_j S(\delta_{z_j}) = S\left(\sum_j \lambda_j \delta_{z_j}\right) \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $T = S$. Hence T is compact.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let $F \in (DN)$ and $f \in M_w(X, F)$, where X is a compact set in \mathbb{C}^n with $[\mathcal{H}(X)]' \in (LB^\infty)$. By Lemma 4.1, X is a unique set. As in the proof of Theorem A, we can define a linear continuous mapping

$$\widetilde{h} : F_{\text{bor}}^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(X).$$

Since $[\mathcal{H}(X)]' \in (LB^\infty)$ and $[F_{\text{bor}}^*]^* \in (DN)$ (Lemma 4.2), by Vogt [8] we have

$$\mathcal{L}(F_{\text{bor}}^*, \mathcal{H}(X)) = \mathcal{LB}(F_{\text{bor}}^*, \mathcal{H}(X)).$$

By an argument analogous to that used in the proof of Theorem A, we can find a neighbourhood W of $0 \in F_{\text{bor}}^*$ and a neighbourhood U_p of W such that $\widetilde{h}(W)$ is contained and bounded in $\mathcal{H}^\infty(U_p)$, the Banach space of bounded holomorphic functions on U_p . Thus, the form

$$\widehat{h}(z)(x^*) = \widetilde{h}(x^*)(z) \quad \text{for } z \in U_p, x^* \in F^*$$

defines a holomorphic function \widehat{h} from U_p into F . From this it follows that $f \in M(X, F)$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Assume that X is pluripolar. Consider a plurisubharmonic function φ on \mathbb{C}^n for which $\varphi|_X - \infty$, $\varphi \not\equiv -\infty$ and the Hartogs domain

$$\Omega_\varphi = \left\{ (z, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| < e^{-\varphi(z)} \right\}.$$

Let f be holomorphic function with Ω_φ being a domain of existence of f [4]. Since $X \times \mathbb{C} \subset \Omega_\varphi$, f induces $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{H}_w(X, \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}))$, where $\mathcal{H}_w(X, \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}))$ is the space of weakly holomorphic functions on X with values in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$.

Indeed, let $\mu \in [\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})]'$. Choose $r > 0$ such that μ can be considered as a continuous linear functional on $\mathcal{H}(r\Delta)$. Let V be a neighbourhood of X for which $V \times r\Delta$ is a compact subset of Ω_φ . Then $\widehat{f} : V \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(r\Delta)$ is a holomorphic and hence $\mu\widehat{f}$ is holomorphic on V . By the hypothesis there exist a neighbourhood W of X in \mathbb{C}^n and a meromorphic function \widehat{g} on W with values in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\widehat{f}|_{X \setminus P(\widehat{g})} = \widehat{g}|_{X \setminus P(\widehat{g})}.$$

Write $\widehat{g} = \frac{\widehat{h}}{\widehat{\sigma}}$, where $\widehat{h} \in \mathcal{H}(W, \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}))$, $\widehat{\sigma} \in \mathcal{H}(W)$, $\widehat{\sigma} \neq 0$, such that $\text{codim } Z(\widehat{h}, \widehat{\sigma}) \geq 2$. It follows that $\widetilde{f} : W \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is meromorphic and $P(\widetilde{f}) = P(\widehat{g}) \times \mathbb{C}$, where \widetilde{f} is induced by \widehat{g} . Moreover,

$$\widetilde{f}|_{[X \setminus P(\widehat{g})] \times \mathbb{C}} = f|_{[X \setminus P(\widehat{g})] \times \mathbb{C}}.$$

Write the Hartogs expansion of f on Ω_φ as

$$f(z, \lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n(z) \lambda^n,$$

where

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\lambda|=e^{-\delta\varphi}} \frac{f(z, \lambda)}{\lambda^{n+1}} d\lambda \quad (\delta > 1).$$

Since the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{n} \log |f_n(z)| \right\}$ is locally bounded from above, for each $m \geq 1$ we can define

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_m(z) &= \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \log |f_n(z)| : n \geq m \right\}, \\ \psi_m^*(z) &= \limsup_{z' \rightarrow z} \psi_m(z'). \end{aligned}$$

By Bedford-Taylor [1] ψ_m^* is plurisubharmonic and the set $\left\{ \psi_m < \psi_m^* \right\}$ is pluripolar.

Let

$$\widehat{\psi} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \psi_m^*.$$

Since Ω_φ is the domain of existence of f , $\widehat{\psi}$ is not equal to $-\infty$ on every non-empty open set in \mathbb{C}^n . Indeed, if $\widehat{\psi} = -\infty$ on a non-empty open subset U of \mathbb{C}^n , then the Hartogs lemma implies that the series $\sum_n f_n(z)\lambda^n$ converges to a holomorphic function on $U \times \mathbb{C}$. This yields that $U \times \mathbb{C} \subset \Omega_\varphi$ and hence $\varphi|_U = -\infty$. It follows that $\widehat{\psi}$ is plurisubharmonic and $\{\psi < \widehat{\psi}\}$ is pluripolar, where $\psi = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \psi_m$. Choose a neighbourhood V of $X \setminus P(\tilde{f})$ in W such that $V \times \Delta \subset \Omega_\varphi$. Consider the Hartogs expansion of \tilde{f} on $V \times \Delta$ with

$$\tilde{f}(z, \lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \tilde{f}_n(z)\lambda^n.$$

Then

$$\tilde{f}_n|_{X \setminus P(\hat{g})} = \hat{f}|_{X \setminus P(\hat{g})} \quad \text{for } n \geq 0,$$

and hence

$$\tilde{f}_n|_V = f_n|_V \quad \text{for } n \geq 0.$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} -\infty &= \limsup \frac{1}{n} \log |\tilde{f}_n(z)| = \limsup \frac{1}{n} \log |f_n(z)| \\ &= \widehat{\psi}(z) \end{aligned}$$

for $z \in V \setminus (\psi < \widehat{\psi})$, which is impossible.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks Professor Nguyen Van Khue and the referee for helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor, *A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions*, Acta Math. **149** (1982), 1-40.
2. Nguyen Van Dong and Le Mau Hai, *Meromorphic functions with values in a Fréchet space and linear topological invariant (DN)*, Vietnam J. Math. **25** (1997), 319-330.
3. Le Mau Hai, Nguyen Van Khue and Nguyen Thu Nga, *Weak meromorphic extension*, Colloq. Math. **64** (1993), 65-70.

4. L. Hormander, *An Introduction to Complex Analysis in Several Variables*, North-Holland, 1973.
5. R. Meise and D. Vogt, *Holomorphic functions of uniformly bounded type on nuclear Frechet spaces*, *Studia Math.* **83** (1986), 147-166.
6. A. P. Robertson and W. Robertson, *Topological Vector Spaces*, Cambridge, 1964.
7. T. V. Nguyen and A. Zeriahi, *Familles de polynomes presque partout bornées*, *Bull. Sci. Math. 2^{eme} série* **107** (1983), 81-91.
8. D. Vogt, *Frechetraum zwischen deren jede stetige lineare Abbildung beschränkt ist*, *J. Rein. Angew. Math.* **345** (1983), 182-200.
9. D. Vogt, *On two classes of F-spaces*, *Arch. Math.* **45** (1985), 255-266.
10. V. P. Zaharjuta, *Spaces of functions of one variable, analytic in open sets and on compacta*, *Math. USSR Sbornik* **7** (1970), 75-88 (in Russian).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
HO CHI MINH CITY UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION
280 AN DUONG VUONG, DISTRICT 5.