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#### Abstract

We consider global monodromy fibrations defined by a family of polynomials of two complex variables. The main result gives certain sufficient conditions for the conjugacy of global monodromies.


## 1. Introduction

1.1. Let $f: \mathbf{C}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a polynomial function. It is well-known that there exists a finite set $A_{f} \subset \mathbf{C}$ called the bifurcation set of $f$ such that the restriction:

$$
f: \mathbf{C}^{n} \backslash f^{-1}\left(A_{f}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} \backslash A_{f}
$$

is a locally trivial $C^{\infty}$-fibration (see, for example, $[\mathrm{P}],[\mathrm{T}],[\mathrm{V}]$ ). This fibration allows us to introduce the global monodromy fibration which, for

$$
r>\max \left\{|t| \mid \quad t \in A_{f}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad S_{r}^{1}:=\{t \in \mathbf{C}|\quad| t \mid=r\},
$$

is the restriction

$$
f:\left\{z \in \mathbf{C}^{n} \quad|\quad| f(z) \mid=r\right\} \longrightarrow S_{r}^{1} .
$$

Fix $t_{0} \in S_{r}^{1}$. The geometric monodromy associated with the path $s \longrightarrow$ $t_{0} e^{2 \pi i s}, s \in[0,1]$, is a diffeomorphism of $f^{-1}\left(t_{0}\right)$ onto itself which induces an isomorphism

$$
h: H_{n-1}\left(f^{-1}\left(t_{0}\right), \mathbf{Z}\right) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}\left(f^{-1}\left(t_{0}\right), \mathbf{Z}\right)
$$

that will be called the global monodromy of $f$.
We will give sufficient conditions for a family of polynomials of two

[^0]variables $f_{\alpha}(x, y), \alpha \in[0,1]$, such that the global monodromies of $f_{0}$ and $f_{1}$ are conjugate.
1.2. Let us recall some facts on the topology of polynomials of two variables. We say that a value $t_{0} \in \mathbf{C}$ is regular at infinity if there exist a small $\delta>0$ and a compact $K \subset \mathbf{C}^{2}$ such that the restriction
$$
f: f^{-1}\left(D_{\delta}\right) \backslash K \longrightarrow D_{\delta}, \quad D_{\delta}:=\left\{t|\quad| t-t_{0} \mid<\delta\right\}
$$
is a trivial $C^{\infty}$-fibration [ N ]. If $t_{0}$ is not regular at infinity, it is called a critical value at infinity of $f$. If we denote by $C_{f}$ (resp., $A_{f, \infty}$ ) the set of critical values (resp., the set of critical values at infinity) of $f$, then $A_{f}=C_{f} \cup A_{f, \infty}$ (see, for example, [HL]).

Let $d$ be the degree of $f(x, y)$ and $f_{d}(x, y)$ be the homogeneous part of degree $d$ of $f$. In $\mathbf{C} P^{2}$ we consider the family of curves

$$
\overline{V_{t}}=\left\{(x: y: z) \left\lvert\, \quad z^{d} f\left(\frac{x}{z}, \frac{y}{z}\right)-t z^{d}=0\right.\right\}
$$

We see that $\overline{V_{t}}$ is the compactification of $V_{t}=f^{-1}(t)$. For any $t$, the curves $\overline{V_{t}}$ intersect the line $z=0$ at the points of $\left\{(x: y: z) \mid f_{d}(x, y)=\right.$ $0, z=0\}=\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{s}\right\}$. Let $\mu_{\overline{V_{t}}}\left(A_{i}\right)$ be the Milnor number of $\overline{V_{t}}$ at $A_{i}$. For $t_{0} \in \mathbf{C}$ put

$$
\lambda\left(t_{0}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left[\mu_{\bar{V}_{t_{0}}}\left(A_{i}\right)-\mu_{\bar{V}_{t}}\left(A_{i}\right)\right]
$$

for t general enough.
It is proved in [HL] that $t_{0} \in A_{f, \infty}$ if and only if $\lambda\left(t_{0}\right)>0$. For every polynomial $f$, let

$$
\lambda(f)=\sum_{t \in A_{f, \infty}} \lambda(t)
$$

The total Milnor number of a polynomial $f$ denoted by $\mu(f)$ is defined by

$$
\mu(f):=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{C}} \frac{\mathbf{C}[x, y]}{\left(f_{x}, f_{y}\right)}
$$

Also, we put $\sigma(f):=\# A_{f, \infty}$, and $d(f):=\operatorname{deg} f(x, y)$.
In this note, we always suppose that all fibers of polynomials are reducible. In particular, this implies that the polynomials are primitive ([A],[S]).
1.3. In the next section we will prove the following

Theorem. Let $f_{\alpha}(x, y)$ be a family of polynomials of two variables whose coefficients are smooth complex-valued functions of $\alpha \in I:=[0,1]$. Suppose that the numbers $\mu\left(f_{\alpha}\right), \lambda\left(f_{\alpha}\right), \sigma\left(f_{\alpha}\right)$ and $d\left(f_{\alpha}\right)$ are independent of $\alpha$. Then the global monodromies of the polynomials $f_{0}$ and $f_{1}$ are conjugate.

The above result can be considered as a global analogue of the LêRamanujam theorem [LR]. In [HZ] a stronger result is proved for families of M-tame polynomials of $n$ variables $(n \neq 3)$. Note that for M-tame polynomials $f, \lambda(f)=\sigma(f)=0$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

2.1. Let $f \in \mathbf{C}[x, y]$. Suppose that $A_{f} \subset D_{r}:=\{t \in \mathbf{C}| | t \mid<r\}$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{r}^{1} & =\partial D_{r}, \\
B_{R} & =\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbf{C}^{2} \mid\|(x, y)\| \leq R\right\} \\
\stackrel{\circ}{*}_{R} & =\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbf{C}^{2} \mid\|(x, y)\|<R\right\}, \\
S_{R}^{3} & =\partial B_{R} .
\end{aligned}
$$

First of all we show that there exists $R_{0} \gg 1$ such that the fibrations

$$
\begin{align*}
& f: f^{-1}\left(S_{r}^{1}\right) \longrightarrow S_{r}^{1}  \tag{1}\\
& f: f^{-1}\left(S_{r}^{1}\right) \cap \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{R_{0}} \longrightarrow S_{r}^{1}  \tag{2}\\
& f: f^{-1}\left(S_{r}^{1}\right) \cap \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{R} \longrightarrow S_{r}^{1} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

are isomorphic for $R \geq R_{0}$. To this end we need the following.
Lemma. For $r>\max \left\{|t| \mid t \in A_{f}\right\}$, there exists $R_{0} \gg 1$ such that all fibres $f^{-1}(t), t \in S_{r}^{1}$, are transversal to all spheres $S_{R}^{3}$ with $R \geq R_{0}$.
Proof. We first recall some characterizations of the values of $A_{f, \infty}$.
Suppose $t_{0} \in \mathbf{C}$. For $\delta>0, R \gg 1$, put

$$
\varphi_{\delta, t_{0}}(R)=\inf _{\|z\|=R, f(z) \in \bar{D}_{\delta}}\|\operatorname{grad} f(z)\|
$$

The Lojasiewicz number at infinity of the curve $f^{-1}\left(t_{0}\right)$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\infty, t_{0}}(f)=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \varphi_{\delta, t_{0}}(R)}{\ln R}
$$

It is proved in [H1, H3] that $t_{0} \in A_{f, \infty}$ if and only if $\mathcal{L}_{\infty, t_{0}}(f)<0$. In particular, $t_{0} \in A_{f, \infty}$ if there is a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbf{C}^{2}$ such that $\left\|z_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty,\left\|\operatorname{grad} f\left(z_{n}\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0$, and $f\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow t_{0}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

For a polynimial $f$ let

$$
\operatorname{grad} f=\left(\overline{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}}, \frac{\overline{\partial f}}{\partial y}\right)
$$

Assume for the contrary that there exist $z_{n} \in \mathbf{C}^{2}, \lambda_{n} \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $\left\|z_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $\operatorname{grad} f\left(z_{n}\right)=\lambda_{n} z_{n}$. By a version at infinity of the Curve Selection Lemma, there exists a real meromorphic curve

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi:(0, \epsilon] & \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2} \\
\tau & \mapsto z(\tau)
\end{aligned}
$$

such that $f(z(\tau)) \in S_{r}^{1}, \operatorname{grad} f(z(\tau))=\lambda(\tau) z(\tau)$, and $\|z(\tau)\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$. Since $|f(z(\tau))|=r, f(z(\tau))=t_{0}+a_{1} \tau^{\rho}+\cdots$ for some $t_{0} \in S_{r}^{1}$ and $\rho>0$. We have

$$
\frac{d f(z(\tau))}{d \tau}=\left\langle\frac{d z}{d \tau}, \operatorname{grad} f(z(\tau))\right\rangle=\bar{\lambda}(\tau)\left\langle\frac{d z}{d \tau}, z(\tau)\right\rangle
$$

Then

$$
\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}(\tau)} \frac{d f(z(\tau))}{d \tau}+\frac{1}{\lambda(\tau)} \frac{\overline{d f(z(\tau))}}{d \tau}=\frac{d}{d \tau}\|z(\tau)\|^{2} .
$$

It follows that

$$
|\lambda(\tau)| \leq 2 \frac{\left|\frac{d f(z(\tau))}{d \tau}\right|}{\frac{d\|z(\tau)\|^{2}}{d \tau}}
$$

Let $\|z(\tau)\|=b_{1} \tau^{\beta}+\cdots, \beta<0$, then $|\lambda(\tau)| \leq c \frac{|\tau|^{\rho-1}}{|\tau|^{2 \beta-1}}=c|\tau|^{\rho-2 \beta}$ for some $c>0$. We have

$$
\|\operatorname{grad} f(z(\tau))\|=|\lambda(\tau)| \cdot\|z(\tau)\| \leq c|\tau|^{\rho-\beta}
$$

Since $\rho>0$ and $\beta<0,\|\operatorname{grad} f(z(\tau))\| \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$. Thus, according to the result mentioned above, $t_{0} \in A_{f, \infty}$, which is a contradiction and the lemma is proved.

Now, using this lemma we can construct a vector field tangent to $f^{-1}\left(S_{r}^{1}\right)$ and pointing to the infinity. In fact, there exists a smooth vector field $v(z)$ such that
(i) $\langle v(z), \operatorname{grad} f(z)\rangle=0$,
(ii) $\langle v(z), z\rangle>0$.
(By the Lemma, we can construct such a vector field locally, then extend it over $f^{-1}\left(S_{r}^{1}\right)$ by a smooth partition of unity). Put

$$
w(z)=\frac{v(z)}{2\langle v(z), \bar{z}\rangle}\left(\|z\|^{4}+1\right) .
$$

This vector field is completely integrable, and let $p_{z_{0}}(\tau)$ be its integral curve with $p_{z_{0}}(0)=z_{0}$. By condition (i), if $z_{0} \in f^{-1}(t) \cap \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{R_{0}}$, then $p_{z_{0}}(\tau) \in f^{-1}(t)$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\left\|p_{z_{0}}(\tau)\right\|^{2}}{d \tau} & =\left\langle\frac{d p_{z_{0}}(\tau)}{d \tau}, p_{z_{0}}(\tau)\right\rangle+\left\langle p_{z_{0}}(\tau), \frac{d p_{z_{0}}(\tau)}{d \tau}\right\rangle \\
& =2 \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\frac{d p_{z_{0}}(\tau)}{d \tau}, p_{z_{0}}(\tau)\right\rangle \\
& =2 \operatorname{Re}\left\langle w\left(p_{z_{0}}(\tau)\right), p_{z_{0}}(\tau)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\|p_{z_{0}}(\tau)\right\|^{4}+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\arctan \left\|p_{z_{0}}(\tau)\right\|^{2}-\arctan \left\|z_{0}\right\|^{2}=\tau
$$

or

$$
\left\|p_{z_{0}}(\tau)\right\|^{2}=\tan \left(\tau+\arctan \left\|z_{0}\right\|^{2}\right)
$$

Let $\tau_{0}=\frac{\pi}{2}-\arctan R_{0}^{2}$. Then $p_{z_{0}}\left(\tau_{0}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\left\|z_{0}\right\| \rightarrow R_{0}$. Thus, the mapping

$$
f^{-1}\left(S_{r}^{1}\right) \cap \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{R_{0}} \ni z_{0} \mapsto p_{z_{0}}\left(\tau_{0}\right) \in f^{-1}\left(S_{r}^{1}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism between two fibrations.
2.2. In this step of the proof, we show that the conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu\left(f_{\alpha}\right)=\text { const }, \\
& \sigma\left(f_{\alpha}\right)=\text { const }, \\
& \lambda\left(f_{\alpha}\right)=\text { const }, \\
& d\left(f_{\alpha}\right)=\text { const }
\end{aligned}
$$

imply the existence of a number $r>0$ such that $A_{f_{\alpha}} \subset D_{r}$ for all $\alpha \in I$.
We will show that there exists $r$ such that $C_{f_{\alpha}} \subset D_{r}$. Let $\Sigma_{f_{\alpha}}$ be the set of all critical points of $f_{\alpha}$. It is enough to show that there exists
$R \gg 1$ such that $\Sigma_{f_{\alpha}} \subset B_{R}$ for all $\alpha \in I$. In fact, if we choose $R_{0}$ such that $\Sigma_{f_{0}} \subset \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{R_{0}}$ and put

$$
\varphi_{0, R}:=\frac{\operatorname{grad} f_{0}}{\left\|\operatorname{grad} f_{0}\right\|}: S_{R}^{3} \longrightarrow S_{1}^{3}
$$

then $\mu\left(f_{0}\right)$ is the degree of $\varphi_{0, R}: \mu\left(f_{0}\right)=d\left(\varphi_{0, R}\right), R>R_{0}$. Consider the mapping

$$
\varphi_{\alpha, R}:=\frac{\operatorname{grad} f_{\alpha}}{\left\|\operatorname{grad} f_{\alpha}\right\|}: S_{R}^{3} \longrightarrow S_{1}^{3}
$$

Then, for all sufficiently small $\alpha, d\left(\varphi_{\alpha, R}\right)=d\left(\varphi_{0, R}\right)=\mu\left(f_{0}\right)$. Suppose that there exists $z(\alpha) \in \Sigma_{f_{\alpha}}$ such that $\|z(\alpha)\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. Take $\alpha_{1}$ sufficiently small with $\left\|z\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right\|>R$ and $R_{1}>\left\|z\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right\|$. We have

$$
d\left(\varphi_{\alpha_{1}, R_{1}}\right)>d\left(\varphi_{\alpha_{1}, R}\right)=d\left(\varphi_{0, R}\right)=\mu\left(f_{0}\right)
$$

On the other hand, $\mu\left(f_{\alpha_{1}}\right) \geq d\left(\varphi_{\alpha_{1}, R_{1}}\right)$. These inequalities give a contradiction to the condition $\mu\left(f_{\alpha}\right)=$ const.

We now show that there exists $r$ such that $A_{f_{\alpha}, \infty} \subset D_{r}$, for all $\alpha \in I$. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that for $t \in \mathbf{C}$, and $\alpha$ sufficiently close to 0 , the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{\alpha, t}:=\pi_{\left.\right|_{f_{\alpha}^{-1}(t)}}: f_{\alpha}^{-1}(t) & \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} \\
(x, y) & \longmapsto x
\end{aligned}
$$

is proper. Considering $f_{\alpha}(x, y)-t$ as a polynomial in $\mathbf{C}[x, t][y]$, we put $\Delta(\alpha, x, t)=\operatorname{disc}_{y}\left(f_{\alpha}(x, y)-t\right)$. Let

$$
\Delta(\alpha, x, t)=q_{0}(\alpha, t) x^{m(\alpha)}+q_{1}(\alpha, t) x^{m(\alpha)-1}+\cdots
$$

We first claim that the degree $m(\alpha)$ in $x$ of $\Delta(\alpha, x, t)$ is constant. In fact, $m(\alpha)$ can be computed in terms of $d\left(f_{\alpha}\right), \mu\left(f_{\alpha}\right), \lambda\left(f_{\alpha}\right)$ as follows.

For generic systems of coodinates, $\pi_{\alpha, t}$ has only simple critical points and the number of these points is exactly equal to $m(\alpha)$. Let

$$
\left(x_{1}(\alpha, t), y_{1}(\alpha, t)\right), \ldots,\left(x_{m(\alpha)}(\alpha, t), y_{m(\alpha)}(\alpha, t)\right)
$$

be critical points of $\pi_{\alpha, t}$. In the plane of $x$ 's, choose $x_{0} \neq x_{i}(\alpha, t), i=$ $1, \ldots, m(\alpha)$. We connect $x_{0}$ with $x_{i}(\alpha, t)$ by paths $T_{i}$ such that each $T_{i}$ has no points of self-intersection, and that $T_{i} \cap T_{j}=\left\{x_{0}\right\}(i \neq j)$. Put

$$
O_{\alpha, t}=\pi_{\alpha, t}^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m(\alpha)} T_{i}\right)
$$

Then, $O_{\alpha, t}$ is a deformation retract of $f_{\alpha}^{-1}(t)$ (see [H2]). Hence,

$$
\chi\left(f_{\alpha}^{-1}(t)\right)=\chi\left(O_{\alpha, t}\right)
$$

The set $O_{\alpha, t}$ can be identified with an 1-dimensional graph of $d\left(f_{\alpha}\right)+$ $m(\alpha)$ vertices and $2 m(\alpha)$ edges. Thus

$$
\chi\left(O_{\alpha, t}\right)=d\left(f_{\alpha}\right)+m(\alpha)-2 m(\alpha)=d\left(f_{\alpha}\right)-m(\alpha) .
$$

Since $f_{\alpha}$ is primitive, by $[\mathrm{B}]$ we have

$$
\chi\left(f_{\alpha}^{-1}(t)\right)=1-\mu\left(f_{\alpha}\right)-\lambda\left(f_{\alpha}\right) .
$$

These equalities imply

$$
m(\alpha)=d\left(f_{\alpha}\right)+\mu\left(f_{\alpha}\right)+\lambda\left(f_{\alpha}\right)-1=\text { const } .
$$

This means that for any $\alpha \in I, q_{0}(\alpha, t)$ is the non-zero polynomial in $t$ (possibly of degree 0 ). Since the coefficients of $q_{0}(\alpha, t)$ are smooth complexvalued functions of $\alpha \in I$,

$$
\#\left\{t \mid q_{0}(\alpha, t)=0\right\} \geq \#\left\{t \mid q_{0}(0, t)=0\right\}
$$

Here, we have a strict inequality iff there exists $t(\alpha) \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $q_{0}(\alpha, t(\alpha))=0, t(\alpha) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand, according to a result of [H2],

$$
A_{f_{\alpha}, \infty}=\left\{t(\alpha) \in \mathbf{C} \mid q_{0}(t(\alpha))=0\right\} .
$$

It follows from the condition $\sigma(\alpha)=$ const that there exists $r>0$ such that $A_{f_{\alpha}, \infty} \subset D_{r}$.

Now, we can repeat the proof of [L].
2.3. Lemma. Suppose that $r$ is chosen as in 2.2. Then there exist $R_{0} \gg 1$ and $\alpha_{0}>0$ such that for any $\alpha \in\left[0, \alpha_{0}\right]$, the maps

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\alpha}: f_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(S_{r}^{1}\right) \cap B_{R_{0}} \longrightarrow S_{r}^{1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

are $C^{\infty}$ locally trivial fibrations. Moreover, the fibrations defined by $f_{0}$ and $f_{\alpha_{0}}$ are differentiably isomorphic.
Proof. By Lemma 2.1, there exists $R_{0} \gg 1$ such that for all $t \in S_{r}^{1}$, the fibres $f_{0}^{-1}(t)$ are transversal to $S_{R_{0}}^{3}$. We claim that there exists $\alpha_{0}>0$ such that if $\alpha \in\left[0, \alpha_{0}\right]$, all fibres $f_{\alpha}^{-1}(t)$ with $t \in S_{r}^{1}$ are transversal to $S_{R_{0}}^{3}$. In
fact, if it is not so, there exist $z(\alpha) \in S_{R}^{3}, \lambda(\alpha) \in \mathbf{C}, t(\alpha)=f_{\alpha}(z(\alpha)) \in S_{r}^{1}$, and $\operatorname{grad} f_{\alpha}(z(\alpha))=\lambda(\alpha) z(\alpha)$. By the Curve Selection Lemma, we may assume that $z(\alpha), \lambda(\alpha), t(\alpha)$ are real analytic functions of $\alpha$. Letting $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, we see that there exist $z(0) \in S_{R_{0}}^{3}, \lambda(0) \in \mathbf{C}, t_{0} \in S_{r}^{1}$ such that $\operatorname{grad} f_{0}(z(0))=\lambda(0) z(0), f_{0}(z(0))=t_{0}$. This means that the fibre $f_{0}^{-1}\left(t_{0}\right)$ is not transversal to $S_{R_{0}}^{3}$. Hence we obtain a contradiction.

Now, suppose $R_{0}, \alpha_{0}$ as above. Let $I_{1}:=\left[0, \alpha_{0}\right]$. Consider the map

$$
\Phi: \mathbf{C}^{2} \times I_{1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} \times I_{1}: \quad(x, y, \alpha) \mapsto\left(f_{\alpha}(x, y), \alpha\right)
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Sigma_{0}=\Phi^{-1}\left(S_{r}^{1} \times\{0\}\right) \cap\left(B_{R_{0}} \times\{0\}\right), \\
\Sigma_{1}=\Phi^{-1}\left(S_{r}^{1} \times\left\{\alpha_{0}\right\}\right) \cap\left(B_{R_{0}} \times\left\{\alpha_{0}\right\}\right), \\
\varphi_{0}: \Sigma_{0} \underset{(x, y, 0) \mapsto f_{0}(x, y)}{\longrightarrow} S_{r}^{1}, \\
\varphi_{1}: \Sigma_{1} \underset{\left(x, y, \alpha_{0}\right) \mapsto f_{\alpha_{0}}(x, y)}{\longrightarrow} S_{r}^{1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\operatorname{rank} \Phi=2$ over $\Phi^{-1}\left(S_{r}^{1} \times I_{1}\right)$, by Lemma 2.1, $\Sigma_{0}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\Sigma_{1}\right)$ is a compact manifold with boundary. Furthermore the map $\varphi_{0}$ (resp. $\varphi_{1}$ ) has no critical point in the interior of $\Sigma_{0}\left(\right.$ resp. $\Sigma_{1}$ ), and its restriction to the boundary has maximal rank. Thus, by a version of the Ehresmann lemma for the case of manifolds with boundary, $\varphi_{0}$ and $\varphi_{1}$ are locally trivial fibrations. To see that these fibrations are isomorphic we suppose that $\Omega$ and $V$ are open neighborhoods of $I_{1}$ and $S_{r}^{1}$, respectively. By the choice of $R_{0}$ the restriction

$$
\Phi: \Phi^{-1}(V \times \Omega) \cap\left(S_{R_{0}}^{3} \times \Omega\right) \longrightarrow V \times \Omega
$$

is a submersion over $V \times \Omega$. Let $v$ be a vector field on $V \times \Omega$ defined by $v(t, \alpha)=\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)$. Then we can construct in $\Phi^{-1}(V \times \Omega) \cap\left(S_{R_{0}}^{3} \times \Omega\right)$ a vector field $v_{1}$ which is tangent to $S_{R_{0}}^{3} \times \Omega$ such that for every $z \in$ $\Phi^{-1}(V \times \Omega) \cap\left(S_{R_{0}}^{3} \times \Omega\right)$,

$$
D_{z} \Phi \cdot v_{1}(z)=v(\Phi(z))=\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right) .
$$

Let $z_{i} \in \Phi^{-1}(V \times \Omega) \cap\left(S_{R_{0}}^{3} \times \Omega\right)$. There exist a neighborhood $U_{i}$ of $z_{i}$ in $\Phi^{-1}(V \times \Omega)$ and a diffeomorphism

$$
\theta_{i}: U_{i} \longrightarrow\left[U_{i} \cap\left(S_{R_{0}}^{3} \times \Omega\right)\right] \times\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right), \quad 0<R_{1}<R_{0}<R_{2}
$$

such that for every $R \in\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)$,

$$
\theta_{i}\left(U_{i} \cap\left(S_{R}^{3} \times \Omega\right)\right)=\left[U_{i} \cap\left(S_{R_{0}}^{3} \times \Omega\right)\right] \times\{R\}
$$

and $\Phi \theta_{i}^{-1}$ has maximum rank on $\left[U_{i} \cap\left(S_{R_{0}}^{3} \times \Omega\right)\right] \times\{R\}$. This is possible because for $R$ sufficiently close to $R_{0}$, the restriction of $\Phi$ to $\Phi^{-1}(V \times \Omega) \cap$ $\left(S_{R}^{3} \times \Omega\right)$ induces a submersion over $V \times \Omega$. Thus, we can define a vector field $w_{i}$ on $U_{i}$ such that for every $z \in U_{i} \cap\left(S_{R_{0}}^{3} \times \Omega\right), w_{i}(z)=v_{1}(z)$ and for every $z \in U_{i} \cap\left(S_{R}^{3} \times \Omega\right), R \in\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)$, the following hold.
(i) $w_{i}(z)$ is tangent to $\left(S_{R}^{3} \times \Omega\right)$,
(ii) $D_{z} \Phi \cdot w_{i}(z)=\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)$.

Let $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}$ be indices such that $\left(U_{i_{j}}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ is a covering of $\Phi^{-1}\left(S_{r}^{1} \times\right.$ $\left.I_{1}\right) \cap\left(S_{R_{0}}^{3} \times I_{1}\right)$. Let $\bar{U}$ be a compact neighborhood of $\Phi^{-1}\left(S_{r}^{1} \times I_{1}\right) \cap\left(S_{R_{0}}^{3} \times\right.$ $I_{1}$ ) contained in $\cup_{i=1}^{n} U_{i_{j}}$. In $U_{2}:=\Phi^{-1}(V \times \Omega) \cap\left(B_{R_{0}} \times \Omega\right) \backslash \bar{U}$ we consider a vector field $v_{2}$ such that for every $z \in U_{2}, \quad D_{z} \Phi \cdot v_{2}(z)=\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)$. This is possible because $\Phi$ induces a submersion of $U_{2}$ on $V \times \Omega$.

Let $\left\{\bar{\psi}_{i_{1}}, \cdots, \bar{\psi}_{i_{n}}, \bar{\psi}_{2}\right\}$ be a partition of unity associated with $U_{i_{1}}, \cdots$ $U_{i_{n}}, U_{2}$. Then the vector field $w$ defined by

$$
w=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{\psi}_{i_{j}} w_{i_{j}}+\bar{\psi}_{2} \cdot v_{2}
$$

is differentiable with compact support. For every $z \in \Phi^{-1}\left(S_{r}^{1} \times I_{1}\right) \cap$ ( $S_{R_{0}}^{3} \times I_{1}$ ) we have
(i) $w(z)$ is tangent to $S_{R_{0}}^{3} \times I_{1}$,
(ii) $D_{z} \Phi \cdot w(z)=\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)$.

Moreover, for every $z \in \Phi^{-1}\left(S_{r}^{1} \times I_{1}\right) \cap\left(\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{R_{0}} \times I_{1}\right), D_{z} \Phi . w(z)=\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)$.

This vector field is completely integrable and, if $p_{z}: \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \Phi^{-1}(V \times \Omega) \cap$ $\left(B_{R_{0}} \times \Omega\right)$ is an integral curve with $p_{z}(0)=z$, then for $z \in \Sigma_{0}$ we have $p_{z}(1) \in \Sigma_{1}$.

Thus, we obtain a diffeomorphism $\Psi$ from $\Sigma_{0}$ onto $\Sigma_{1}$ which makes the following diagram

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Sigma_{0} @>h \gg \Sigma_{1} \\
@ V \varphi_{0} V V @ V \varphi_{1} V V \\
S_{r}^{1} @>i d \gg S_{r}^{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

commutative. Thus, $\varphi_{0}$ and $\varphi_{1}$ are isomorphic. The proof of Lemma 2.3 is now complete.
2.4. Now we prove that the monodromies of $f_{0}$ and $f_{1}$ are conjugate.

First, we will show that their fibrations are of the same fibre homotopy. Indeed, by Lemma 2.3, the fibrations

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}: f_{0}^{-1}\left(S_{r}^{1}\right) \cap B_{R_{0}} \longrightarrow S_{r}^{1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\alpha_{0}}: f_{\alpha_{0}}^{-1}\left(S_{r}^{1}\right) \cap B_{R_{0}} \longrightarrow S_{r}^{1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

are isomorphic. By Lemma 2.1, there exists $R_{1} \gg 1$ such that the fibration

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\alpha_{0}}: f_{\alpha_{0}}^{-1}\left(S_{r}^{1}\right) \cap B_{R_{1}} \longrightarrow S_{r}^{1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is isomorphic to the global monodromy fibration of $f_{1}$. If $R_{1} \leq R_{0}$, everything is clear. Suppose that $R_{0}<R_{1}$. The fibration (6) is contained in the fibration (7). Hence we have to prove that the inclusion of (6) in (7) is a fibre homotopy equivalence. To prove this, by a result of $[D]$ it is sufficient to show that the inclusion of the fiber $F=f_{\alpha_{0}}^{-1}(t) \cap B_{R_{0}}$ of (6) in the fiber $\widetilde{F}=f_{\alpha_{0}}^{-1}(t) \cap B_{R_{1}}$ of (7) is a homotopy equivalence for every $t \in S_{r}^{1}$. We claim that the inclusion $F$ in $\widetilde{F}$ gives an isomorphism $\eta$ of homology groups $H_{1}(F)$ and $H_{1}(\widetilde{F})$. In fact, the function $\|z\|_{\left.\right|_{\widetilde{F}}}^{2}$ is Morse and the index at each critical point is 0 or 1 [AF]. Thus $\widetilde{F}$ is obtained from $F$, up to homotopy type, by attaching cells of dimension $\leq 1$. It follows that the group $H_{1}(\widetilde{F}, F)$ is free. In the sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow H_{1}(F) \longrightarrow H_{1}(\widetilde{F}) \longrightarrow H_{1}(\widetilde{F}, F) \longrightarrow 0
$$

we have by $[B]$

$$
\operatorname{rank} H_{1}(F)=\mu\left(f_{0}\right)+\lambda\left(f_{0}\right)=\mu\left(f_{\alpha_{0}}\right)+\lambda\left(f_{\alpha_{0}}\right)=\operatorname{rank} H_{1}(\widetilde{F})
$$

Thus $H_{1}(\widetilde{F}, F)=0$, and the inclusion of $F$ in $\widetilde{F}$ is an isomorphism of homology groups. Since $\widetilde{F}$ is connected, the inclusion of $F$ in $\widetilde{F}$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
X & =f_{\alpha_{0}}^{-1}\left(S_{r}^{1}\right) \cap B_{R_{0}} \\
\widetilde{X} & =f_{\alpha_{0}}^{-1}\left(S_{r}^{1}\right) \cap B_{R_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the Wang diagram
$0 @ \ggg H_{2}(\widetilde{X}) @ \ggg H_{1}(\widetilde{F}) @>\widetilde{h}-i d \gg H_{1}(\widetilde{F}) @ \ggg H_{1}(\widetilde{X}) @ \ggg 0$

> @.@AAA@AŋAA@AŋAA@AAA
$0 @ \ggg H_{2}(X) @ \ggg H_{1}(F) @>h-i d \gg H_{1}(F) @ \ggg H_{1}(X) @ \ggg 0$,
where the vertical arrows are the inclusions and $h, \widetilde{h}$ are associated with the monodromy maps $\varphi_{1}$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}_{1}$. By the Five-Lemma, the inclusion $X \subset \widetilde{X}$ induces isomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{2}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{2}(\widetilde{X}), \\
& H_{1}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{1}(\widetilde{X}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

These imply

$$
\eta(h-i d) \eta^{-1}=\widetilde{h}-i d .
$$

Hence $\eta \circ h \circ \eta^{-1}=\widetilde{h}$. Thus, Theorem 1.4 is proved.
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