

MODULES WITH FLAT SOCLES AND ALMOST EXCELLENT EXTENSIONS

WANG DINGGUO*

ABSTRACT. Modules (resp. rings) with flat socles are called FS-modules (resp. FS-rings) which are preserved by Morita equivalences and (almost) excellent extensions. One result of Xue Weimin [7] is generalized. We show that when S is an almost excellent extension of R , if either ring is (i) left SF-ring, or (ii) right coherent, or (iii) left semi-hereditary, or (iv) left perfect, then so is another. This answers a question of Xue Weimin [8] in the affirmative.

According to Nicholson and Watters [5], a module M is called a PS-module if its socle $\text{Soc}(M)$ is projective, and a ring R is called a (left) PS-ring if ${}_R R$ is a PS-module. Examples of PS-modules include nonsingular left R -modules, regular left R -modules in the sense of Zelmanowitz, and left R -modules with zero socle. In [7], Xue Weimin proved that PS-modules are preserved by Morita equivalences and excellent extensions. As a generalization of PS-modules and PS-rings, Liu Zhongkui [3] gave the following definition.

Definition. *A left R -module M is called an FS-module if every simple submodule is flat; equivalently if $\text{Soc}({}_R M)$ is flat. A ring R is called a left FS-ring if ${}_R R$ is an FS-module.*

Liu Zhongkui [3] proved, among other things, that the notion of FS-rings is preserved by a Morita equivalence (cf. [3, Theorem 3.7]) or an excellent extension (cf. [3, Theorem 3.2]). The purpose of this paper is to prove that FS-modules are preserved by Morita equivalences and (almost) excellent extensions. We also show that a weakly duo reduced PS-ring must be a right PS-ring. Finally, for an almost excellent extension S of R , the relation between properties of the ring S and the ring R are studied.

Throughout the paper, all rings have a unity and all modules are left unitary. We freely use the terminologies and notions of [1] and [3].

Received October 25, 1995

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16D40, 16S20

Keywords and phrases. FS-module, FS-ring, excellent extension, right coherent, left perfect

Modifying the proof of [3], Theorem 2.4, we first have

Proposition 1. *The following are equivalent for an R -module ${}_R M$:*

- (i) ${}_R M$ is a FS-module;
- (ii) If L is a maximal left ideal of R then either $r_M(L) = 0$ or $a \in aL$ for every $a \in L$;
- (iii) Every simple left R -module ${}_R K$ is either flat or $\text{Hom}(K, M) = 0$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let L be a maximal left ideal of R and $r_M(L) \neq 0$. Let $0 \neq m \in r_M(L)$. Since $L \subseteq l_R(m) \neq R$ and L is a maximal left ideal, we have $L = l_R(m)$. Now $R/L \cong Rm$ is flat by hypothesis and so $a \in aL$ for every $a \in L$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let ${}_R K = Rk$ be simple. Then $K = Rk \cong R/L$ where $L = l_R(k)$ is a maximal left ideal of R . If $a \in aL$ for every $a \in L$, then ${}_R K \cong R/L$ is flat. If $r_M(L) = 0$, let $f \in \text{Hom}_R(K, M)$. If $f(k) = m \in M$, then $Lm = f(Lk) = f(0) = 0$, so $m = 0$ and $f = 0$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). If K is a simple submodule of ${}_R M$ then $\text{Hom}_R(K, M) \neq 0$ and so K is flat by the hypothesis.

Theorem 2. *Let $F : R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow S\text{-Mod}$ define a Morita equivalence. Then an R -module ${}_R M$ is a FS-module if and only if the S -module $F(M)$ is a FS-module.*

Proof (\Leftarrow). Let ${}_R K$ be a simple module which is not flat. By [1, Proposition 21.6 and p. 268, Ex. 12], $F(K)$ is a simple S -module which is not flat. Then $\text{Hom}_S(F(K), F(M)) = 0$ by Proposition 1. By [1, Proposition 21.2], $\text{Hom}_R(K, M) = 0$. Hence ${}_R M$ is a FS-module by Proposition 1. The implication (\Rightarrow) can be proved similarly.

Corollary 3. *If $F : R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow S\text{-Mod}$ defines a Morita equivalence, then R is a FS-ring if and only if S is a FS-ring.*

Proof. If R is a FS-ring then the faithful S -module $F({}_R R)$ is a FS-module by Theorem 2, hence S is a FS-ring by [3], Theorem 2.4.

Xue [7, Proposition 4] proved that for each R -module ${}_R M$, the power series module $M[[x]]$ is a PS-module over the power series ring $R[[x]]$. In particular, $R[[x]]$ is a PS-ring for any ring R . Thus we have

Proposition 4. *For each R -module ${}_R M$, the power series module $M[[x]]$ is a FS-module over the power series ring $R[[x]]$. In particular, $R[[x]]$ is a FS-ring for any ring R .*

A ring R is duo if each one-sided ideal of R is a two-sided ideal. As a generalization of left duo rings, a ring R is called weakly left duo if for every $r \in R$ there is a natural number $n(r)$ such that $Rr^{n(r)}$ is a two-sided ideal of R . A local ring with nil radical is weakly left duo, but not necessarily left duo. A ring R is weakly duo if it is weakly right and left duo.

The notion of PS-rings is not left-right symmetric (cf. [5]). Recently, Xue Weimin [7] proved that a duo ring R is a PS-ring if and only if it is right PS-ring. We generalize this result to weakly duo rings.

Proposition 5. *A weakly duo reduced ring R is a PS-ring if and only if R is a right PS-ring.*

Proof. Let R be a weakly duo PS-ring. If rR is a minimal right ideal, then there is a natural number $n(r)$ such that $r^{n(r)}R$ is a two-sided ideal of R , thus $r^{n(r)}R = rR$ and $Rr^{n(r)} = r^{n(r)}R$ is also a minimal left ideal since $r^{n(r)}R \subseteq rR$ and rR is a minimal right ideal. Hence $Rr^{n(r)}$ is projective and $l_R(r^{n(r)}) = Re$ for some $e^2 = e \in R$ since e lies in the center of R , we see $e \in r_R(r^{n(r)})$. Since Re is a maximal left ideal, $eR = Re$ is also a maximal right ideal. Now $eR \subseteq r_R(r^{n(r)}) \neq R$, so $eR = r_R(r^{n(r)})$ and rR is a projective right R -module.

Let R and S be rings with the same unity, $R \subseteq S$. The ring S is an excellent extension of R if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) If ${}_S M$ is an S -module with an S -submodule ${}_S N$ and N is a direct summand of M as an R -module, then N is a direct summand of M as an S -module.

(ii) There is a finite set $\{1 = s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\} \subseteq S$ such that S is free left and right R -module with basis $\{1 = s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ and $Rs_i = s_iR$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Examples include finite matrix rings, and crossed product RG where G is a finite group with $|G|^{-1} \in R$. Xue Weimin [8] weakened condition (ii) as follows:

(iii) $S = \sum_{i=1}^n Rs_i \supseteq R$ is a finite normalizing extension such that ${}_R S$ is a projective R -modules.

Following [8], the ring extension $R \subseteq S$ is called an almost excellent extension if the conditions (i) and (iii) are satisfied. See [4, 8] for further information about excellent extensions and almost excellent extensions.

Theorem 6. *Let S be an almost excellent extension of R . If ${}_S M$ is an S -module then*

- (i) *${}_S M$ is flat if and only if ${}_R M$ is flat.*
- (ii) *${}_S M$ is a FS-module if and only if ${}_R M$ is a FS-module.*

Proof. (i) One direction follows from [8], Lemma 6. Now assume that ${}_R M$ is flat. Thus $(M^*)_R$ is injective, where M^* is the character module of M . Obviously, when the character module $(M^*)_S$ of S -module ${}_S M$ is regarded as an R -module, it coincides with the character module $(M^*)_R$ of R -module ${}_R M$. Thus $(M^*)_S$ is injective by [8, Theorem 1 (1)]. Therefore ${}_S M$ is flat.

(ii) By [8, Theorem 1 (4)], $\text{Soc}({}_S M) = \text{Soc}({}_R M)$. It follows from (i) that ${}_S \text{Soc}({}_S M)$ is flat if and only if ${}_R \text{Soc}({}_R M)$ is flat.

Corollary 7. *Let S be an excellent extension of R . If ${}_S M$ is an S -module then*

- (i) *${}_S M$ is flat if and only if ${}_R M$ is flat.*
- (ii) *${}_S M$ is a FS-module if and only if ${}_R M$ is a FS-module.*

Corollary 8 [3]. *If S is an excellent extension of R then S is a FS-ring if and only if R is a FS-ring.*

A ring R is called a left (right) SF-ring if all simple left (right) R -modules are flat. Xue Weimin [8] proved that for an almost excellent extension S of R , if S is a left SF-ring then R is a left SF-ring. He also asked whether or not the converse is true. The following result answers this question in the affirmative.

Theorem 9. *Let S be an almost excellent extension of R . Then S is a left SF-ring if and only if R is a left SF-ring.*

Proof. One direction follows from [8, Proposition 7]. Now assume that R is a left SF-ring and ${}_S M$ is a simple module. By [8, Theorem 1 (4)], ${}_R M$ is semisimple. Thus ${}_R M$ is flat and ${}_S M$ is also flat by Theorem 6(1). Therefore S is a left SF-ring.

Recall that a ring R is called a right coherent ring if every direct product of R -modules is flat. For right coherent rings we have

Theorem 10. *If S is an almost excellent extension of R then S is right coherent if and only if R is right coherent.*

Proof. Let R be a right coherent ring. Suppose that $\{M_i : i \in I\}$ is a collection of flat S -modules. By Theorem 6(1), M_i is a flat R -module for every $i \in I$. Thus $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ is a flat R -module by the left coherence of R . Obviously, when the product $\prod_{i \in I} {}_S M_i$ of S -modules ${}_S M_i$ is regarded as an R -module, it coincides with the direct product $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ of R -modules ${}_R M_i$. Again by Theorem 6(1), $\prod_{i \in I} {}_S M_i$ is a flat S -module. Thus S is right coherent.

Conversely, if S is right coherent and let $\{N_j : j \in J\}$ be a collection of flat R -modules. It is easy to see that $S \otimes_R N_j$ is a flat S -module for every R -module N_j . Thus the direct product $\prod_{j \in J} (S \otimes_R N_j)$ is a flat S -module by the coherence of S . Obviously, S is a finitely presented right R -module. Therefore, there exists an isomorphism of S -modules:

$$S \otimes_R \left(\prod_{j \in J} N_j \right) \cong \prod_{j \in J} (S \otimes_R N_j).$$

Thus $S \otimes_R \left(\prod_{j \in J} N_j \right)$ is a flat S -module and $\prod_{j \in J} N_j$ is a flat R -module by [6, Proposition 2.1]. Hence R is right coherent.

Corollary 11. *Let R be a ring and G a finite group such that $|G|^{-1} \in R$. Then the crossed product $R * G$ is right coherent if and only if R is right coherent.*

Recall that R is a left semi-hereditary ring if and only if every finitely generated left ideal of R is projective if and only if each finitely generated submodule of a projective left R -module is projective.

Theorem 12. *If S is an almost excellent extension of R then S is left semi-hereditary if and only if R is left semi-hereditary.*

Proof. Let R be a left semi-hereditary ring. Suppose that M is a projective S -module and N a finitely generated submodule of S -module M . Assume that $N = Sx_1 + Sx_2 + \dots Sx_m$ where $x_i \in N$. Set $y_{ij} = s_i x_j, i = 1, 2, \dots, n; j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, where the letters s_1, \dots, s_n come from the definition of almost excellent extensions. Obviously, $N = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m R y_{ij}$, i.e. ${}_R N$ is finitely generated R -module. By [8, Theorem 1(2)], ${}_R M$ is projective, hence ${}_R N$ is projective since R is left semi-hereditary. Again by [8, Theorem 1 (2)], ${}_S N$ is projective. Therefore S is left semi-hereditary.

Conversely, suppose that S is left semi-hereditary and let M be a projective R -module and N a finitely generated submodule of R -module M . By [6, Corollary 3.4 (2)], $S \otimes_R M$ is a projective S -module. Obviously, $S \otimes_R N$ is a finitely generated S -module, and thus it is a projective S -module since S is left semi-hereditary. Again by [6, Corollary 3.3], N is a projective R -module. Thus R is left semi-hereditary.

Corollary 13. *Let R be a ring and G a finite group such that $|G|^{-1} \in R$. Then the crossed product $R * G$ is left semi-hereditary if and only if R is left semi-hereditary.*

Recall that R is left perfect if and only if every flat left R -module is projective. For left perfect rings we have

Theorem 14. *If S is an almost excellent extension of R then S is left perfect if and only if R is left perfect.*

Proof. Suppose that S is left perfect and M a flat R -module. Then by [6, Corollary 3.4 (1)], $S \otimes_R M$ is a flat S -module. Thus $S \otimes_R N$ is a projective S -module since S is left perfect. Again by [6, Corollary 3.3], M is a projective R -module. Thus R is left perfect.

Conversely, assume that R is a left perfect ring. Suppose that M is a flat S -module. By Theorem 6 (1), ${}_R M$ is flat. Thus ${}_R M$ is projective since R is left perfect. Therefore ${}_S M$ is projective by [8, Theorem 1]. This proves that S is left perfect.

Corollary 15. *Let R be a ring and G a finite group such that $|G|^{-1} \in R$. Then the crossed product $R * G$ is left perfect if and only if R is left perfect.*

Let S be an almost excellent extension of R . It is proved in [8] that S is regular if and only if R is regular. Here we give a new method to prove this result by using the concept of direct summand sum property of modules.

Recall from [2] that an R -module M is said to have the direct summand sum property if the sum of two direct summands of M is again a direct summand of M . Garcia [2, Proposition 1.7] proved that R is a regular ring if and only if every finitely generated projective R -module has the direct summand sum property.

Theorem 16. *If S is an almost excellent extension of R then S is regular if and only if R is regular.*

Proof. If S is regular, then it is clear that R is regular. Let R be a

regular ring. Suppose that M is a finitely generated projective S -module and N, L are direct summands of M . It is clear that ${}_R M$ is a projective module by [8, Theorem 1 (2)]. Suppose $M = Sx_1 + \dots + Sx_m$. Set $y_{ij} = s_i x_j, i = 1, 2, \dots, n; j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, where the letters s_1, \dots, s_n come from the definition of almost excellent extensions. Then $\{y_{ij} | 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$ is the generating set of ${}_R M$. This means that ${}_R M$ is finitely generated. Obviously, ${}_R N, {}_R L$ are also direct summands of ${}_R M$. Thus ${}_R(N + L)$ is a direct summand of ${}_R M$ by [2, Proposition 1.7]. Since S is an almost excellent extension of R , it follows that ${}_S(N + L)$ is a direct summand of ${}_S M$. This means that ${}_S M$ has the direct summand sum property. Thus, by [2, Proposition 1.7], S is a regular ring.

REFERENCES

1. F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of modules*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
2. J. L. Garcia, *Properties of direct summands of modules*, *Comm. Alg.* **17** (1) (1989), 73-92.
3. Li Zhongkui, *Rings with flat left socle*, *Comm. Alg.* **23** (5) (1995), 1645-1656.
4. M. M. Parmenter and P. N. Stewart, *Excellent extensions*, *Comm. Alg.* 1988 **16** (1988), 703-713.
5. W. K. Nicholson and J. F. Watters, *Rings with projective socle*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **102** (3) (1988), 443-450.
6. A. Shamsuddin, *Finite normalizing extensions*, *J. Alg.* **151** (1992), 218-220.
7. Xue Weimin, *Modules with projective socles*, *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma* **5** (1992), 311-315.
8. ———, *On a generalization of excellent extensions*, *Acta Mathematica Vietnamica* **19** (2) (1995), 31-38.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, P.R. CHINA

Permanent address: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, QUFU NORMAL UNIVERSITY QUFU, SHANDONG 273165, P.R.CHINA.