

ON THE GEOMETRIC COMPOSED VARIABLE AND THE ESTIMATE OF THE STABLE DEGREE OF THE RENYI'S CHARACTERISTIC THEOREM

TRAN KIM THANH, NGUYEN HUU BAO

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be nonnegative independent identically distributed random variable, $P\{X_j > x\} = \bar{F}(x)$, $F(x) = 1 - \bar{F}(x)$, and $E(X_j) = \int_0^{\infty} x dF(x) < +\infty$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$ and let N be independent of X_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots$ with the geometric distribution function, i.e.

$$P\{N = k\} = p(1 - p)^{k-1}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (0 < p < 1).$$

In [1], the random variable $z = \sum_{j=1}^N X_j$ is called the *geometric composed variable* of X_{j-s} . Put

$$(1.1) \quad G(x) = P\{z \leq x\}, \quad G_p(x) = P\{pz \leq x\} \text{ and } \bar{G}_p(x) = P\{pz > x\}.$$

Renyi [3] characterized the exponential distribution by proving the following two assertions:

- (i) $\lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \bar{G}_p(x) = e^{-x}$,
- (ii) $\bar{G}_p(x) = \bar{F}(x) \leftrightarrow \bar{F}(x) = e^{-x}$.

We will consider the stability of this theorem.

Suppose that $\varphi(t)$, $\varphi_z(t)$ and $\varphi_{fz}(t)$ are characteristic functions of $F(x)$, $G(x)$, $G_p(x)$, respectively. Then, if $a(z) = \frac{pz}{1 - qz}$, ($q = 1 - p$) is the generating function of N , we have (see [2])

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_z(t) &= a[\varphi(t)] = \frac{p\varphi(t)}{1 - q\varphi(t)}, \\ \varphi_{pz}(t) &= \varphi_z(pt) = a[\varphi(pt)] = \frac{p\varphi(pt)}{1 - q\varphi(pt)}. \end{aligned}$$

We will distinguish two cases:

1. $F(x)$ is a ε -exponential distribution, i.e., $\exists T(\varepsilon) > 0$, $T(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \infty$ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, such that

$$(1.3) \quad |\varphi(t) - \varphi_0(t)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall t: |t| \leq T(\varepsilon),$$

where

$$(1.4) \quad \varphi_0(t) = \frac{1}{1 - it}.$$

2. $G_p(x) = P\{pz \leq x\}$ is the ε -exponential distribution function, i.e., $\exists T(\varepsilon) > 0$, $T(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \infty$ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, such that

$$(1.5) \quad |\varphi_{pz}(t) - \varphi_0(t)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall t; |t| \leq T(\varepsilon),$$

where $\varphi_{pz}(t)$ and $\varphi_0(t)$ are the characteristic functions from (1.2) and (1.4).

2. STABILITY THEOREMS

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that $F(x)$ is a ε -exponential distribution function. Then we have*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & |\varphi_{pz}(t) - \varphi_0(t)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{p}, \quad \forall t: |t| \leq \frac{T(\varepsilon)}{p}; \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \lambda(G_p; F_0) = \max \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{2f}; \frac{1}{T(\varepsilon)} \right\} \quad (\text{with } T(\varepsilon) \text{ as above}), \end{aligned}$$

where $F_0(x)$ is the exponential distribution function and $G_p(x)$ as in (1.1) and

$$\lambda(G_p; F_0) = \min_{T>0} \left\{ \max \left[\max_{|t| \leq T(\varepsilon)} \frac{1}{2} |\varphi_{pz} - \varphi_0(t)|; \frac{1}{T(\varepsilon)} \right] \right\}$$

Proof. (i) From (1.2), (1.4), we have the following estimations

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.2) \quad |\varphi_{pz}(t) - \varphi_0(t)| &= |\varphi_z(pt) - \varphi_0(t)| \\
 &= \left| \frac{p\varphi(pt)}{1 - q\varphi(pt)} - \varphi_0(t) \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{p\varphi(pt)}{1 - q\varphi(pt)} - \frac{1}{1 - it} \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{p\varphi(pt) - it \cdot p\varphi(pt) - 1 + q\varphi(pt)}{[1 - q\varphi(pt)](1 - it)} \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $r(t) = \varphi(t) - \varphi_0(t)$. According to (1.3), there exists $T(\varepsilon)$ with $T(\varepsilon) \rightarrow +\infty$ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ such that $|r(t)| \leq \varepsilon \forall t : |t| \leq T(\varepsilon)$. Therefore

$$(2.3) \quad |r(pt)| = |\varphi(pt) - \varphi_0(pt)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall t : |t| \leq \frac{T(\varepsilon)}{p}.$$

Hence, from (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\varphi_{pz}(t) - \varphi_0(t)| &= \left| \frac{(1 - ipt)[\varphi_0(pt) + r(pt)] - 1}{(1 - it)[1 - q\varphi(pt)]} \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{1 - ipt}{1 - it} \right| \cdot \left| \frac{r(pt)}{1 - q\varphi(pt)} \right| \\
 &= \frac{\sqrt{1 + p^2t^2}}{\sqrt{1 + t^2}} \cdot \frac{|r(pt)|}{|1 - q\varphi(pt)|}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\sqrt{1 + p^2t^2} \leq \sqrt{1 + t^2}$ and $\forall z \in C, 1 - q \leq 1 - q|z| \leq |1 - qz|$. So,

$$0 < 1 - q \leq 1 - q|\varphi(pt)| \leq |1 - q\varphi(pt)|.$$

Thus,

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{\sqrt{1 + p^2t^2}}{\sqrt{1 + t^2}} \cdot \frac{|r(pt)|}{|1 - q\varphi(pt)|} \leq \frac{|r(t)|}{1 - q} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - q} = \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \quad \forall t : |t| \leq \frac{T(\varepsilon)}{p}.$$

(ii) Since $F(x)$ is a ε -exponential distribution function, by (1.3) we can find $T(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$(2.5) \quad \max_{|t| \leq T(\varepsilon)} |\varphi(t) - \varphi_0(t)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Using (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \left\{ \max_{|t| \leq T(\varepsilon)} \frac{1}{2} |\varphi_{pz}(t) - \varphi_0(t)|; \frac{1}{T(\varepsilon)} \right\} \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \max_{|t| \leq \frac{T(\varepsilon)}{p}} \frac{1}{2} |\varphi_{pz}(t) - \varphi_0(t)|; \frac{1}{T(\varepsilon)} \right\} \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{2p}; \frac{1}{T(\varepsilon)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\lambda(G_p; F_0) < \max \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{2p}, \frac{1}{T(\varepsilon)} \right\}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that $\mu_0 = E|x_j| < +\infty$ and $F(x)$ is the ε -exponential distribution function with $T(\varepsilon)$ as in (2.5) which satisfies the condition $T(\varepsilon) = O(\varepsilon^{-\alpha})$ (for some α and ε sufficiently small). Then*

$$\rho(G_p; F_0) < C_1 \varepsilon^\alpha + C_2 \varepsilon |\ln \varepsilon|,$$

where C_1, C_2 are the constants independent of ε and

$$\rho(G_p, F_0) = \sup_{x \in R^1} |F_p(x) - F_0(x)|.$$

Proof. At first, since $F_0(x)$ is exponential distribution function,

$$F'_0(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-x}, & x \geq 0, \\ 0, & x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence, $\sup_x F'_0(x) = 1$. Using Esseen's inequality (see [1]) with $q(x)$ and $F_0(x)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G_p; F_0) & < \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-T(\varepsilon)}^{T(\varepsilon)} \left| \frac{\varphi_{pz}(t) - \varphi_0(t)}{t} \right| dt + \frac{24}{\pi T(\varepsilon)} \sup_{x \in \Omega} |F'_0(x)| \\ & = I + \frac{24}{\pi T(\varepsilon)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $T(\varepsilon)$ is defined by (1.3). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-T(\varepsilon)}^{T(\varepsilon)} \left| \frac{\varphi_{pz}(t) - \varphi_0(t)}{t} \right| dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\int_{|t| \leq \delta} \dots dt + \int_{\delta < |t| < T(\varepsilon)} \dots dt \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} (I_1 + I_2), \end{aligned}$$

for some number δ , $0 < \delta < T(\varepsilon)$, which will be chosen later.

In order to estimates I_1 , we put

$$I_1^* = \int_{|t| \leq \delta} \left| \frac{\varphi_{pz}(t) - 1}{t} \right| dt, \tag{2.7}$$

$$I_1^{**} = \int_{|t| < \delta} \left| \frac{\varphi_0(t) - 1}{t} \right| dt.$$

Then we have

$$I_1 \leq I_1^* + I_1^{**}. \tag{2.8}$$

Since there exist the moments $\mu_0 = E|x_j| = 1$; $j = 1, 2, \dots$, there exist also the moments $\mu_z = E|z|$ and $\mu_{pz} = E|pz|$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_z(t) - 1| &\leq \mu_z |t|, \quad \forall t \in \mathcal{R}; \\ |\varphi_{pz}(t) - 1| &\leq \mu_{pz} \quad \forall t \in \mathcal{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^* &\leq \int_{|t| \leq \delta} \frac{\mu_{pz} |t|}{|t|} dt = 2\mu_{pz} \delta, \\ I_1^{**} &\leq \int_{|t| \leq \delta} \frac{\mu_0 |t|}{|t|} dt = 2\delta \quad (\mu_0 = 1). \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Using (2.8), (2.9), we obtain

$$I_1 < 2(1 + \mu_{pz})\delta.$$

In order to estimate I_2 , we notice that if $F(x)$ is a ε -exponential function, then from (2.1) with $T'(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{p}T(\varepsilon)$, and $T'(\varepsilon) > T(\varepsilon)$ (so that $|\varphi_{pz}(t) - \varphi_0(t)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \forall |t| \leq T'(\varepsilon)$), we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \int_{\delta < |t| < T(\varepsilon)} |\dots| dt \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \int_{\delta < |t| < T'(\varepsilon)} \frac{1}{|t|} dt \\ &= \frac{2\varepsilon}{p} \int_{\delta}^{T'(\varepsilon)} \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{2}{p} \varepsilon \ln\left(\frac{T'(\varepsilon)}{\delta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

If we choose $\delta = \varepsilon^\beta$ for some $\beta > 0$, we will have the following estimations:

$$I_1 \leq 2(1 + \mu_{pz})\varepsilon^\beta, \quad I_2 \leq \frac{2}{p} \varepsilon \left| \ln \frac{T'(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^\beta} \right|.$$

By using (2.6), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G_p; F_0) &\leq I + \frac{24}{\pi T(\varepsilon)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi}(I_1 + I_2) + \frac{24}{\pi + T(\varepsilon)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} [2(1 + \mu_{pz})\varepsilon^\beta] + \frac{2}{p\pi} \varepsilon \left| \ln \frac{T'(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^\beta} \right| + \frac{24}{\pi T(\varepsilon)} \\ &= K(\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where $K(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

If $T(\varepsilon) = 0(\varepsilon^{-\alpha})$, then $T'(\varepsilon) = \frac{T(\varepsilon)}{p} = 0(\varepsilon^{-\alpha})$ and

$$K(\varepsilon) = C_1 \varepsilon^\beta + C_2 \varepsilon \left| \ln \frac{C_3}{\varepsilon^{\alpha+\beta}} \right| + C_4 \varepsilon^\alpha,$$

where C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 are the constants independent of ε . Since $0 < \delta < T(\varepsilon)$ we can choose $\beta > \alpha$. Then

$$K(\varepsilon) < C_1 \varepsilon^\alpha + C_2 \varepsilon |\ln \varepsilon^{-2\beta}| + C_4 \varepsilon^\alpha < \xi_1 \varepsilon^\alpha + \xi_2 \varepsilon |\ln \varepsilon|.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.3. *Assume that $G_{pz}(x)$ is a ε -exponential distribution function for some sufficiently small ε . Then*

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{(i) } F(x) \text{ is a } \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{p - q\varepsilon}\right)\text{-exponential distribution function} \\ & \text{(ii) } \lambda(F; F_0) \leq \max \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{2(p - q\varepsilon)} ; \frac{1}{pT(\varepsilon)} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $T(\varepsilon)$ is defined as in the definition of the ε -exponential function $G_{pz}(x)$.

Proof. (i) According to the hypothesis, for a given ε , there exists $T = T(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\varphi_{pz}(t) - \varphi_0(t)| = |r(t)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall t : |t| \leq T(\varepsilon), \\ & \left| r\left(\frac{t}{p}\right) \right| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall t : |t| \leq pT(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

By (1.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{pz}(t) &= \frac{p\varphi(pt)}{1 - q\varphi(pt)}, \\ \varphi(pt) &= \frac{\varphi_{pz}(t)}{p + q\varphi_{pz}(t)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\varphi(u) = \frac{\varphi_{pz}\left(\frac{u}{p}\right)}{p + q\varphi_{pz}\left(\frac{u}{p}\right)}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\varphi(u) - \varphi_0(u)| &= \left| \frac{\varphi_{pz}\left(\frac{u}{p}\right)}{p + q\varphi_{pz}\left(\frac{u}{p}\right)} - \frac{1}{1 - iu} \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{\varphi_{pz}\left(\frac{u}{p}\right) - iu\varphi_{pz}\left(\frac{u}{p}\right) - p - q\varphi_{pz}\left(\frac{u}{p}\right)}{(1 - iu)[p + q\varphi_{pz}\left(\frac{u}{p}\right)]} \right|, \\
(2.12) \quad & \left| \frac{\left[r\left(\frac{u}{p}\right) + \varphi_0\left(\frac{u}{p}\right)\right](p - iu) - p}{(1 - iu)[p + q\varphi_{pz}\left(\frac{u}{p}\right)]} \right| = \frac{|p - iu| \left|r\left(\frac{u}{p}\right)\right|}{(1 - iu)[p + q\varphi_{pz}\left(\frac{u}{p}\right)]} \\
&\leq \frac{\left|r\left(\frac{u}{p}\right)\right|}{\left|p + q\varphi_{pz}\left(\frac{u}{p}\right)\right|}.
\end{aligned}$$

We notice that for all complex numbers u ,

$$|u| \geq \max\{|\operatorname{Im}u|; |\operatorname{Re}u|\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
|p + q\varphi_{pz}\left(\frac{u}{p}\right)| &= |p + q[r\left(\frac{u}{p}\right) + \varphi_0\left(\frac{u}{p}\right)]| \\
&= \left| p + \frac{qp^2}{p^2 + u^2} + qr\left(\frac{u}{p}\right) + iu\frac{pq}{p^2 + u^2} \right| \\
&\geq \operatorname{Re}\left\{ p + \frac{qp^2}{p^2 + q^2} + qr\left(\frac{u}{p}\right) + iu\frac{pq}{p^2 + u^2} \right\} \\
(2.13) \quad &\geq p - q\left|\operatorname{Re}r\left(\frac{u}{p}\right)\right| \\
&\geq p - q\varepsilon, \quad \forall u : |u| \leq pT(\varepsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13) we can derive (2.10).

(ii) This follows directly from (2.10) and the definition of the metric $\lambda(\cdot, \cdot)$.

REFERENCES

1. A. Kovats, *On bivariate geometric compounding*, Proc. of the 5th Pannonian Symp. on Math. Stat., Visegrad, Hungary, 1985.
2. Nguyen Huu Bao, *On the stability of the characterization of the complicated random variables*, Vietnam Journal of Mathematics, to appear.
3. A. Rényi, *A characterization of the Poisson process*, Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kut. Int. Köz. **1** (1976), 519-527.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, HUE UNIVERSITY

HYDROLOGICAL FACULTY, HANOI WATER RESOURCES UNIVERSITY