

A SUBCLASSIFICATION OF UNIMODAL DISTRIBUTIONS

NGUYEN VAN THU

Abstract. We introduce and study classes $\mathcal{P}_\alpha, \alpha > 0$, of α -times unimodal distributions. Various characterizations of these distributions are obtained and relations between them and fractional calculus are established.

1. Introduction

A real variable (*r.v.*) or its distribution function F (shortly, distribution) or its density f is called *unimodal about a* if F is convex on $(-\infty, a)$ and concave on (a, ∞) .

A famous theorem of Khintchine [3] (see also Shepp [9]) asserts that F is unimodal about 0 if and only if it is a distribution of a product of two independent *r.v.*'s one of which is uniform on the interval $(0,1)$.

Let X and Y be independent *r.v.*'s with distributions G and F , respectively. We denote by $G \circ F$ the distribution of the product XY . Then F is unimodal about 0 if and only if $F = U \circ G$, U being the uniform distribution on $(0,1)$ and G a distribution. The latter equation can be written in the following integral form

$$F(x) = \int_0^1 G(x/t) dt, \quad x \in \mathcal{R}. \quad (1.1)$$

Let \mathcal{P} be the class of all distributions on the real line \mathcal{R} equipped with the weak convergence \Rightarrow . We denote by \mathcal{P}_1 the class of all distributions on \mathcal{R} which are unimodal about 0. For $u = 2, 3, \dots$ let \mathcal{P}_n be the subclass of \mathcal{P}_1 consisting of distributions $F = U \circ G$ for which $G \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$. Every distribution in \mathcal{P}_n will be called *n -times unimodal about 0*.

Received October 20, 1992.

This paper was supported in part by the National Basic Research Program in Natural Science, Vietnam

The simplest example of distributions in \mathcal{P}_n is the distribution $U^n = U \circ \dots \circ U$ (n times). More generally, every distribution in \mathcal{P}_n is of the form

$$F = U^n \circ G, \quad G \in \mathcal{P}, \quad (1.2)$$

which, by virtue of (1.1), is equivalent to the following formula

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{(n-1)} \int_0^1 G(x/u)(-\log u)^{n-1} du, \quad x \in \mathcal{R} \quad (1.3)$$

The above formula suggests the following interpolation of classes \mathcal{P}_n : For every positive number α let \mathcal{P}_α denote the class of all distributions F on \mathcal{R} such that for some distribution G

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 G(x/u)(-\log u)^{\alpha-1} du, \quad x \in \mathcal{R}. \quad (1.4)$$

It should be noted that for every distribution G and for every $\alpha > 0$ the right-hand side of (1.4) is finite and defines a distribution.

As in the integer case, the distributions in \mathcal{P}_α will be called α -times unimodal about 0. Note that the class of α -times unimodal distributions introduced above differs from the class of α -unimodal distributions introduced earlier by Olshen and Savage [5] (see also Dharmadhikari and Joag-dev [1], p.72). To see this the reader is referred to Example 5.3 and should compare Theorem 2.2 of this paper and Theorem 3.5 in Dharmadhikari and Joag-dev [1], p.74.

The main purpose of this paper is to discuss representation problems of multiply unimodal distributions. In a subsequent paper we will study infinitely divisible distributions in \mathcal{P}_α .

The paper is organized as follows. In §2 we prove a generalization of Khintchine-Shepp representation of unimodal distributions. The general properties of the class \mathcal{P}_α is studied in §3. In §4 we prove a representation of α -times unimodal distributions by fractional integrals. In §5 an analogue of Olshen Savage representation is obtained which gives a clear aspect of extension of the concept to multidimensional spaces. Finally, in §6 we present a result of Yamazato about the convolution of elements of \mathcal{P}_α and give some examples of infinitely divisible distributions in \mathcal{P}_α .

2. Generalized Khintchine-Shepp Representation.

The formula (1.2) is a generalization of Khintchine-Shepp's representation to n -times unimodal distributions ($n=1,2 \dots$). We will replace n by a positive number α extending the representation to the fractional case.

We start with some facts about the uniform distribution U on $(0,1)$. We associate with every r.v. X or its distribution F the following diagonal matrix W_F defined by

$$W_F(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0(t) & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_1(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in \mathcal{R}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\omega_k(t) = E|X|^{it} \operatorname{sgn}^k X$, $k = 1, 2$.

The matrix W_F is called the characteristic transform of X . For the basic properties of characteristic transform see Zolotarev [11]. In particular, we have the following relation

$$W_{F \circ G} = W_F W_G \quad (2.2)$$

Moreover, $F_n \Rightarrow F$ if and only if, $W_{F_n}(t)$ uniformly converges to $W_F(t)$.

We say that a distribution F is o -infinitely divisible if for every $n=1,2 \dots$, there exists F_n such that $F = F_n^n$.

2.1. PROPOSITION. *The uniform distribution U on $(0,1)$ is o -infinitely divisible. Let U^α be its power under the operation o of order $\alpha > 0$. The density f_α of U^α is given by*

$$f_\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (-\log x)^{\alpha-1}, \quad 0 < x < 1. \quad (2.3)$$

PROOF. Let F_α be the distribution with density f_α . From (2.1) and (2.3) it follows that

$$W_U(t) = \begin{pmatrix} (it+1)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & (it+1)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.4)$$

$$W_{F_\alpha}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} (it+1)^{-\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & (it+1)^{-\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.5)$$

($t \in \mathcal{R}$), which implies that for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $U = F_{1/n}^n$, i.e. U is o -infinitely divisible (cf. Zolotarev [12]) and that

$$U^\alpha = F_\alpha, \quad \alpha > 0. \quad \square \quad (2.6)$$

2.2. THEOREM. A distribution F of \mathcal{R} is α -times unimodal about zero if and only if there exists independent r.v.'s Y and Z such that U^α is the distribution of Z and F is the distribution of YZ . In other words, F belongs to \mathcal{P}_α if and only if for some G from \mathcal{P}

$$F = U^\alpha \circ G. \quad (2.7)$$

PROOF. It follows from (1.4) and Proposition 2.1. \square

COROLLARY. If $F \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$ and $H \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$ then $F \circ H \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha+\beta}$.

2.4. COROLLARY. Every α -times unimodal distribution F ($\alpha > 0$) is absolutely continuous on $\mathcal{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and its density F is given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^\infty (-\log x/y)^{\alpha-1} G(dy)/y, & x > 0 \\ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{-\infty}^x (-\log x/y)^{\alpha-1} G(dy)/y, & x < 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

where $G \in \mathcal{P}$.

2.5. COROLLARY. Suppose f is an α -times unimodal (about 0) density. If $\alpha \geq 1$, then f is non-increasing on $(0, \infty)$ and non decreasing on $(-\infty, 0)$. If $\alpha > 1$, then 0 is a discontinuity point of f and

$$\begin{cases} f(0+) = \infty & \text{if } 1 - G(0+) > 0 \\ f(-0) = \infty & \text{if } G(0-) > 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

2.6. COROLLARY. If f is a continuous or bounded density on \mathcal{R} , then it can not be α -times unimodal about zero for every $\alpha > 1$.

PROOF OF COROLLARY 2.3. It follows from (2.7). \square

PROOF OF COROLLARY 2.4. It is a consequence of formulas (1.4) and (2.7). \square

PROOF OF COROLLARY 2.5. The first statement follows from (2.8). Suppose that $x > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$. Then (2.8) implies that

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty 1_{(0,y)}(x) (-\log x/y)^{\alpha-1} G(dy)/y. \quad (2.10)$$

Note that for every y the integrand of (2.10) is a non-increasing function of x . Therefore it tends to ∞ as x tends to 0 and $x > 0$, which shows that $f(0+) = \infty$ whenever $1 > G(0+)$. Similarly, we have $f(0-) = \infty$ whenever $G(0-) > 0$. \square

PROOF OF COROLLARY 2.6. It follows directly from Corollary 2.5. \square

2.7. EXAMPLE. The standard normal distribution $N(0, 1)$ is unimodal but not α -times unimodal about 0 for $\alpha > 1$ because its density is continuous on \mathcal{R} .

2.8. EXAMPLE. By Sato and Yamazato's results [11] and by Corollary 2.6 it follows that all nondegenerate distributions in L are absolutely continuous on \mathcal{R} and unimodal. Moreover, if the density f is continuous on \mathcal{R} (for example, if its Gaussian component is not trivial), then for every $\alpha > 1$ f is not α -times unimodal.

2.9. REMARK. Independently, Example (2.8) was proved by Yamazato for the case $\alpha > 1$ (private communication) who applied the results to prove Example 2.7. Earlier, Zolotarev (private communication) showed that $N(0, 1)$ is not 2-times unimodal.

The following theorem is a generalization of Theorem 8 in Zolotarev [12].

2.9. THEOREM. A distribution F is α -times unimodal about 0 if and only if the matrix $(1 + it)^\alpha W_F(t)$ is a characteristic transform.

PROOF. It follows from (2.4), (2.6) and (2.7). \square

3. The monotonicity, convexity and continuity of Classes \mathcal{P}_α

We begin with proving the following theorem:

3.1. THEOREM.

(i) \mathcal{P}_α ($\alpha > 0$) is closed under weak convergence and convex combinations.

(ii) If $\alpha > \beta$,

$$\mathcal{P}_\alpha \not\subseteq \mathcal{P}_\beta. \quad (3.1)$$

(iii) δ_0 is the only distribution belonging to all classes \mathcal{P}_α . Here we write δ_a for the degenerate distribution at a .

(iv) For any $\beta > 0$,

$$\overline{\bigcup_{\alpha > \beta} \mathcal{P}_\alpha} = \mathcal{P}_\beta$$

where the bar denotes the closure in weak topology and for $\beta = 0$ we write $\mathcal{P}_\beta = \mathcal{P}_0$.

PROOF. (i) The convexity of \mathcal{P}_α is clear. Further, we have $\delta_0 \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$. Let $\{F_n\}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{P}_α converging to a distribution F other than δ_0 . By Theorem

2.2. there exists a sequence $\{G_n\} \subset \mathcal{P}$ such that for every n

$$F_n = U^\alpha \circ G_n, \quad (3.2)$$

Taking the characteristic transform of both sides of (3.2) we get

$$W_{F_n} = W_{U^\alpha}(t)W_{G_n}(t).$$

Therefore, the sequence G_n is convergent to a limit G . Thus we have $F = U^\alpha \circ G$ which shows that F is an element of \mathcal{P}_α and consequently, the set \mathcal{P}_α is closed in weak topology.

(ii) Suppose $\alpha > \beta$ and $F = U^\alpha \circ G \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$. Then $F = U^\beta \circ (U^{\alpha-\beta} \circ G)$ which implies that \mathcal{P}_α is a subset of \mathcal{P}_β . It remains to prove that the inclusion cannot be replaced by an equality.

In fact, we shall prove that $U^\beta \in \mathcal{P}^\beta \setminus \mathcal{P}^\alpha$. Suppose that for some G from \mathcal{P} we have

$$U^\beta = U^\alpha \circ G. \quad (3.3)$$

Taking the characteristic transform of both sides of (3.3) we get the formula

$$I = W_{U^{\alpha-\beta}}(t)W_G(t), \quad (3.4)$$

I being the unit matrix. Consequently,

$$U^{\alpha-\beta} \circ G = \delta_1 \quad (3.5)$$

which contradicts the fact that the left-hand side of (3.5) is absolutely continuous on $\mathcal{R} \setminus \{0\}$ (cf. Corollary 2.4).

(iii) It is clear that δ_0 belongs to all classes \mathcal{P}_α . Suppose that there exists another distribution F with the same property. Then for every $n=1,2 \dots$ there is G_n such that

$$F = U^n \circ G_n. \quad (3.6)$$

Let X, Y and Z_n be independent r.v.'s with distributions F, U and G_n respectively. Passing to the absolute value and changing the probability space if necessary, one may assume, without loss of generality, that X is positive with probability one. Then Z_n 's share the same property. Let f, g, h_n denote the

characteristic functions of $\log X, \log Y$, and $\log Z_n$, respectively. From (3.6) we get the relation

$$f(t) = g^n(t)h_n(t), \quad t \in P \tag{3.7}$$

Let $N_a(f)$ be the Khintchine functional defined on characteristic functions f by

$$N_a(f) = -\operatorname{Re} \int_0^a \log f(t) dt.$$

Choosing $a > 0$ such that $N_a(f) < \infty$ we get the equation

$$N_a(f) = nN_a(g) + N_a(h_n)$$

which implies that

$$N_a(g) \leq \frac{1}{n} N_a(f), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \tag{3.8}$$

Consequently, $N_a(g) = 0$ which contradicts the fact that

$$N_a(g) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^a \log(1 + t^2) dt > 0.$$

(iv) follows from Theorem 2.2 and from the relation

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} U^\beta \circ U^a \circ G = U^\beta \circ G$$

where $\beta \geq 0$ and $G \in \mathcal{P}$. \square

4. Representation by Fractional Integrals

Given a function H on $\mathcal{R} \setminus \{0\}$ we define two auxiliary functions H_+ and H_- by

$$\begin{cases} H_+(t) = e^t H(e^{-t}) \\ H_-(t) = e^t H(-e^{-t}), \quad t \in \mathcal{R} \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

It is evident that H_+ and H_- determine H uniquely.

Let F be a distribution from \mathcal{P}_a . Then for some distribution G the formula (1.4) holds. By a simple change of variables in (1.4) we get:

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} G(0) & x = 0 \\ \frac{x}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^\infty (\log u/x)^{\alpha-1} G(u) \frac{du}{u^2} & x > 0 \\ \frac{x}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{-\infty}^{-x} (\log u/x)^{\alpha-1} G(u) \frac{du}{u^2} & x < 0 \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

Putting $x = \operatorname{sgn} t e^{-t}$ and $u = e^{-v}$ and taking into account the formulas (4.1) and (4.2) we have

$$\begin{cases} F_+(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{-\infty}^t (t-v)^{\alpha-1} G_+(v) dv \\ F_-(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{-\infty}^t (t-v)^{\alpha-1} G_-(v) dv, t \in \mathcal{R} \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

which are integrals of fractional order α of G_+ and G_- . That means

$$F_{\pm}(t) = D^{\alpha} F_{\pm}(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{R} \quad (4.5)$$

The reader is referred to Post (1930) and Letnikov (1968) for an account of fractional calculus.

We summarize the above results in the following theorem

4.1. THEOREM. For every α -times distribution F on \mathcal{R} there exists a unique distribution G such that F_+ and F_- are integrals of order α of G_+ and G_- , respectively. Conversely, for every distribution G on \mathcal{R} the formula (4.4) defines an α -times distribution.

4.2. REMARK. From the above theorem it follows that if F is α -times unimodal then F_+ and F_- are α -times differentiable and (4.5) holds.

5. An analogue of Olshen-Savage's Representation

As mentioned in §1, Olshen and Savage [5] introduced and studied an important concept of α -unimodality. Their results extend, enrich and illuminate Khintchine's original results on unimodality. Following Olshen and Savage [5] we say that a r.v. X is α -unimodal about 0 if for every bounded, nonnegative, Borel measurable function g on \mathcal{R} , the quantity $t^{\alpha} E[g(tX)]$ is non-decreasing in $t \in (0, \infty)$.

The following theorem was proved in Olshen and Savage [5], (see also Dharmadhikari and Joag-dev [1], Theorem 3.5, p.74).

5.1. THEOREM. A r.v. X is α -unimodal if and only if X is distributed as $W^{1/\alpha} Z$ where W is uniform on $(0,1)$ and Z is independent of W .

Given a r.v. X and a bounded, Borel measurable function g we put

$$H(X, g, x) = e^x E g(e^x X), \quad x \in \mathcal{R}. \quad (5.1)$$

Further, if F is a function bounded on $(-\infty, a]$, $a \in \mathcal{R}$, its right-handed difference of fractional order $\alpha > 0$ is defined by

$$\Delta_t^\alpha f(x) = \sum_0^\infty (-1)^k \binom{\alpha}{k} f(x - kt),$$

($t > 0, x \in \mathcal{R}$), where

$$\binom{\alpha}{k} = \begin{cases} 1 & k = 0 \\ \alpha(\alpha - 1)\dots(\alpha - k + 1)/k! & k = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

A function f of \mathcal{R} is called α -non-decreasing if for every $x \in \mathcal{R}$ and for every $t > 0$,

$$\Delta_t^\alpha f(x) \geq 0. \tag{5.2}$$

Note that for $\alpha = 1$ the above concept is reduced to that of nondecreasing functions. The following theorem stands for an analogue of Olshen-Savage's representation (cf. Theorem 5.1 and the definition of α -unimodality).

5.2. THEOREM. A r.v. X is α -times unimodal about 0 if and only if the function $H(X, g, x)$ defined by (5.1) is α -nondecreasing in x for every bounded, nonnegative, Borel measurable function g

PROOF. We borrow some ideas from the proof of Theorem 1 and 2 in Olshen and Savage [5].

Suppose first that X is α -times unimodal about 0. By Theorem 2.2, there exists independent r.v.'s Y and Z such that Y is α -uniform on $(0,1)$ and $X \stackrel{d}{=} YZ$. Let g be as in the theorem. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(YZ, g, x) &= \frac{e^x}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 Eg(e^x yZ)(-\log y)^{\alpha-1} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{-\infty}^x (x - y)^{\alpha-1} e^Y g(e^Y Z) dy \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$H(X, g, x) = I^\alpha H(Z, g, x), \quad x \in \mathcal{R}. \tag{5.3}$$

Consequently, $H(X, g, x)$ is α -nondecreasing.

Conversely, let \mathcal{S} be the class of all functions f on \mathcal{R} with continuous and bounded derivatives $f^{(k)}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, [\alpha] + 1$. Here $[\alpha]$ denotes the integer part of α . By Dominated Convergence Theorem

$$\frac{d^k}{dx^k} E f(e^x Z) = E \frac{d^k}{dx^k} f(e^x Z)$$

for every $k = 1, 2, \dots, [\alpha] + 1$ and every f from \mathcal{S} and every r.v. Z . Consequently,

$$D^\alpha E f(e^x Z) = E D^\alpha f(e^x Z).$$

Suppose that X is a r.v. such that for every bounded, nonnegative, Borel measurable function g the function $H(X, g, x)$ is α -nondecreasing in x . Let L be a linear functional on \mathcal{S} defined by

$$L(f) = D^\alpha H(X, f, x) \Big|_{x=0}, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}. \quad (5.4)$$

It is clear that L is nonnegative and normalized by the condition $L(1) = 1$. By virtue of the Riesz representation theorem it follows that there exists an unique probability distribution G such that

$$L(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) G(dx), \quad f \in \mathcal{S}. \quad (5.5)$$

Applying (5.4) and (5.5) to the function $f(e^t x)$ where t is fixed number, we get the formula

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(e^t x) G(dx) = e^{-t} D^\alpha H(X, f, t). \quad (5.6)$$

Let Y and Z be r.v.'s with the same property as in proof of the "only part". By (5.3) and (5.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} D^\alpha H(YZ, f, t) &= H(Z, f, t) \\ &= e^t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(dx) \\ &= D^\alpha H(X, f, t) \end{aligned}$$

The above equalities together with the fact that

$$H(YZ, f, -\infty) = H(X, f, -\infty) = 0$$

imply the equation

$$Ef(YZ) = Ef(X), \quad f \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Consequently, X and YZ are identically distributed which implies that X is α -times unimodal. \square

The following simple example can be used to distinguish the two concepts of α unimodality and α -times unimodality.

5.3. EXAMPLE. Let Y be a r.v. uniformly distributed on $(0,1)$ and $X = Y^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, \beta > 0$. By Lemma 1 in Dharmadhikari and Joag-dev [1] p.73, X is β -unimodal. It is trivial to see that if $\beta > 1$, then density of X is increasing on $(0,1)$ which, by Corollary 2.5, implies that X is not β -times unimodal.

Now let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and Z be a r.v. distributed as U^α . By Theorem 3.1, Z is not unimodal and therefore it is not α -unimodal. Note that Z is α -times unimodal.

6. Multiple Unimodality of Infinitely Divisible Distributions

We start with the following proposition which was essentially given by Yamazato (private communication).

6.1. PROPOSITION. Suppose $\alpha > 1$. There exists symmetric α -times unimodal distributions μ and ν such that the convolution $\mu * \nu$ is not α -times unimodal (about 0).

PROOF. Suppose that for every pair of symmetric α -times unimodal (about 0) distributions μ and ν , $\mu * \nu$ is symmetric and α -times unimodal.

Let ν be a symmetric α -times unimodal distribution and $c > 0$. Since the mixture of symmetric α -times unimodal distributions is symmetric α -times unimodal the distribution μ defined by

$$\mu = e^{-c} \sum_0^\infty c^n \mu^{*n} / n!$$

is α -times unimodal.

Put

$$G_n(a, r) = \begin{cases} Kndx & 0 < |x| \leq 1/n \\ 0 & |x| > 1/n \end{cases}$$

where $K = \frac{3}{4}(\int_0^1 (-\log y)^{\alpha-1} y^2 dy)^{-1}$ and

$$\nu_n(dx) = \int_0^1 (-\log y)^{\alpha-1} G_n(dx/y) dy.$$

Then $c_n^{-1}\nu_n$ is a symmetric α -times unimodal distribution, where $c_n = \nu_n(\mathcal{R})$.

Let μ_n be an infinitely divisible distribution with the characteristic function

$$\hat{\mu}_n(t) = \exp \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{itx} - 1 - \frac{itx}{1+x^2}) \nu_n(dx).$$

then μ_n is symmetric α -times unimodal. Our further aim is to show that μ_n converges to $N(0, 1)$.

Accordingly, let us consider the positive part of $\nu_n(dx)$. We have

$$\nu_n([\frac{1}{n}, \infty)) = \int_0^1 (-\log y)^{\alpha-1} G_n([\frac{1}{n}, \infty)) dy = 0. \quad (6.1)$$

Given $\epsilon > 0$ choose n such that $n\epsilon > 1$. Then we have

$$\int_0^\epsilon = \int_0^1 (-\log y)^{\alpha-1} \int_0^\epsilon G_n(dx/y) dy = \frac{1}{4}.$$

By (6.1) and (6.2) we infer that μ_n converges to the standard normal distribution which implies that $N(0, 1)$ is α -times unimodal. This contradicts Example 2.7. \square

In a private communication Yamazato asked whether or not there exists an infinitely divisible α -times unimodal distribution. In what follows we will answer Yamazato's question by giving some examples which show that the class of infinitely divisible α -times unimodal distribution is rich enough.

6.2. EXAMPLES. Suppose a r.v. X has the following distribution:

(i) F is symmetric with log-convex characteristic function on the positive half-line (cf. Keilson and Steutel [2]).

(ii) F is the distribution of $|Y|^{-r}$, Y being symmetric stable with exponent $p < 2$ and $r > \frac{2p}{2-p}$ (cf. Shandhag, Pestana and Sreehari [8]).

(iii) F is the distribution of Y^r , Y being a Gamma r.v. with index $q > 0$ and $r > \max(1, p)$ (cf. Shandhag and Sreeheri [7]).

Then, if X and Z are independent, the product XZ is infinitely divisible. Moreover, if Z is α -times unimodal, then XZ is α -times unimodal and infinitely divisible.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Professor M. Yamazato for communicating Example 2.8, Proposition 6.1 and for many useful discussions. Thanks are also due to Professor V.M. Zolotarev for showing his proof of Example 2.7 in the case $\alpha = 2$.

REFERENCES

- [1] S.M. Dharmadhikari and J.K. Joag-Dev, "Unimodality, Convexity, and Applications," Academic Press, Inc., 1988.
- [2] J. Keilson and F.W. Steutel, *Families of infinitely divisible distributions closed under mixing and convolution*, Ann. Math. Sta. **43** (1972), 242-250.
- [3] A.Y. Khintchine, *On unimodal distributions*, Izv. Nauchno, Isled. Inst. Mat. Mach. Tomsk. Gos. Univ. **2** (1938), 1-7.
- [4] A.V. Letnikov, *Theory of differentiation of fractional order*, Math. Sb. **3** (1968), 1-68.
- [5] R.A. Olshen and L.J. Savage, *A generalized unimodality*, J. Appl. Probability **7** (1970), 21-34.
- [6] E.L. Post, *Generalized differentiation*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **32** (1930), 723-781.
- [7] D.N. Shandhag and Sreehari, *An extension of Goldie's result and further results in infinite divisibility*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete **47** (1972), 19-25.
- [8] D.N. Shandhag, D. Pestana and M. Sreehari, *Some further results in infinite divisibility*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **82** (1977), 289-295.
- [9] L.A. Shepp, *Symmetric random walk*, Trans. Math. Soc. **104** (1962), 144-153.
- [10] M. Yamazati, *Unimodality of infinitely divisible distribution functions of class*, L. Ann. Probability **6** (1978), 523-531.
- [11] K. Sato and M. Yamazato, *On distribution functions of class*, L. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete **43** (1978), 273-308.
- [12] V.M. Zolotarev, *On general theory of multiplication of independent random variables*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **3** (1962), 166-170.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
P.O. BOX 631, BO HO, 10.000 HANOI