

# LOCALLY LIPSCHITZ SET-VALUED MAPS ON TOPOLOGICAL VECTOR SPACES AND SURJECTIVITY THEOREMS

DUONG TRONG NHAN

## Introduction

A subject of great importance in optimization theory is the study of set-valued maps and their properties. Being intermediate between continuity and differentiability, the Lipschitz properties take on a special significance. In Section 1, a definition of Lipschitz set-valued maps in topological vector spaces is given. In Section 2, a surjectivity theorem is proved for Lipschitz approachable set-valued maps defined on a locally convex space (i.e. for those maps which can be Lipschitz approximated by a continuous map having a positive constant of surjection). As consequences of the theorem we obtain again the known results on surjectivity of M. Fabian and D. Preiss [3], P.C. Duong and H. Tuy [2] in Banach spaces.

## 1. Locally Lipschitz set-valued maps

Let  $X, Y$  be two real topological vector spaces and  $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  a set-valued map. We denote

$$CL(Y) = \{A \subseteq Y; A \text{ closed}\},$$

$$\text{Dom } F = \{x \in X; F(x) \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$\text{Graph } F = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y; y \in F(x), x \in \text{Dom } F\}.$$

Let  $z_0 = (x_0, y_0) \in \text{Graph } F$ . The following maps are considered:

$$K : X \rightarrow 2^Y \quad \text{u.s.c at } 0 \quad \text{and } K(0) = \{0\},$$

$$r : ]0, 1] \times X \times X \rightarrow Y \quad \text{satisfying}$$

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{t \downarrow 0, x \rightarrow x_0} r(t, x, v) = 0 & \text{for each } v \in X \text{ and} \\ \lim_{t \downarrow 0} r(t, x, v) = 0. & \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

DEFINITION 1.1. A point-valued map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called a selection of  $F$  at  $x_0$  if  $f(x_0) = y_0$  and  $f(x) \in F(x)$  for all  $x$  sufficiently close to  $x_0$ . Such a selection will be denoted by  $f_{x_0 y_0}$ .

DEFINITION 1.2. We say that  $F$  is Lipschitz around  $x_0$  if there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$ , a neighborhood  $O$  of the origin and a number  $\eta > 0$  such that for any  $x \in U$ ,  $z = (x, y) \in \text{Graph } F$ , we can find a selection  $f_{xy}$  of  $F$  at  $z$  satisfying

$$\frac{1}{t}[f_{xy}(x + tv) - y] \in K(v) + r(t, x, v) \quad \forall t \in ]0, \eta], \forall v \in O.$$

DEFINITION 1.3. A point-valued map  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be in a Lipschitz proximity of  $F$  around  $x_0$  if the set-valued map  $G$  defined by  $G(x) = F(x) - g(x)$  is Lipschitz around  $x_0$ .

Assume that  $U$  is a closed circled neighborhood of the origin. The gauge of  $U$  is a real function  $\varrho_U(x) = \inf\{t > 0; x \in tU\}$  for all  $x \in X$ .

As we know,  $\varrho_U(\cdot)$  is positively homogeneous and continuous at the origin with  $\varrho_U(0) = 0$ . Moreover,  $x \in U$  if and only if  $\varrho_U(x) \leq 1$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} d_U(x, B) &= \inf\{\varrho_U(x - y); y \in B\}, \\ e_U(A, B) &= \sup\{d_U(x, B); x \in A\}, \\ H_U(A, B) &= \max\{e(A, B), e(B, A)\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $X$  is a norm space, the gauge of the unit ball is the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, B) &= \inf\{\|x - y\|; y \in B\}, \\ e(A, B) &= \sup\{d(x, B); x \in A\}, \\ H(A, B) &= \max\{e(A, B), e(B, A)\}. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 1.4. *If  $F$  is Lipschitz around  $x$ , then  $F$  is Lipschitz around  $x$  in the sense that for any closed circled neighborhood  $W$  of the origin in  $Y$ , there exist a closed circled neighborhood  $U$  of the origin in  $X$  and a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that*

$$H_W((F(x_1), F(x_2))) \leq \rho_U(x_2 - x_1), \forall x_1, x_2 \in V.$$

PROOF. Assume that  $F$  is Lipschitz around  $x_0$ , then there exist a neighborhood  $U_0$  of  $x_0$ , a neighborhood  $O$  of the origin and a number  $\eta > 0$  such that  $\forall z = (x, y), x \in U_0, y \in F(x)$ , we can find a selection  $f_{xy}$  of  $F$  satisfying :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{t}[f_{xy}(x + tv) - y] &\in K(v) + r(t, x, v) & (1.4.1) \\ \forall t \in ]0, \eta], \forall v \in O. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $W$  be a closed circled neighborhood of the origin in  $Y$ . Choose  $W_1$ , a neighborhood of the origin such that

$$W_1 + W_1 \subseteq W.$$

Since  $K$  is u.s.c at 0,  $K(0) = \{0\}$  and  $\lim_{\substack{v \rightarrow 0 \\ t \downarrow 0, x \rightarrow x_0}} r(t, x, v) = 0$ , we can choose then a closed circled neighborhood  $U$  of the origin in  $X$  and a number  $\gamma > 0$  satisfying

$$K(v) \subseteq W_1 \quad \forall x \in U \quad \text{and} \quad r(t, x, v) \subseteq W_1 \quad \forall t \in ]0, \gamma], \forall x \in x_0 + U, \forall v \in U.$$

(In fact, we can take  $\gamma \leq \eta$  and  $U \subseteq O$ ). Hence, for any  $t \in ]0, \gamma], v \in U$ , we have in view of (1.4.1)

$$\frac{1}{t}[f(x + tv) - y] \in W_1 + W_1 \subseteq W,$$

or equivalently

$$f_{xy}(x + \omega) - y \in tW, \forall t \in ]0, \gamma], \forall \omega \in tU. \tag{1.4.2}$$

Let  $V = x_0 + \frac{1}{4}\gamma U$  be a neighborhood of  $x_0$ . We have to show that

$$H_W(F(x_1), F(x_2)) \leq \rho_U(x_2 - x_1), \forall x_1, x_2 \in V.$$

Indeed, let  $x_1, x_2 \in V$ . We have  $x_2 - x_1 \in \frac{1}{2}\gamma U$  and  $\rho_U(x_2 - x_1) \leq \frac{1}{2}\gamma < \gamma$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a positive number satisfying  $\rho_U(x_2 - x_1) \leq \alpha < \gamma$ . Then

$$x_2 - x_1 \in \alpha U. \quad (1.4.3)$$

Now, for every  $y_1 \in F(x_1)$ , there exists a selection  $f_{x_1 y_1}$  of  $F$  such that

$$f_{x_1 y_1}(x_1 + \omega) - y_1 \in tW, \quad \forall t \in ]0, \gamma], \quad \forall \omega \in tU.$$

Let  $\omega = x_2 - x_1$ . Then we get by (1.4.3) :

$$f_{x_1 y_1}(x_1 + \omega) - y_1 = f_{x_1 y_1}(x_2) - y_1 \in \alpha W.$$

Since  $y_2 = f_{x_1 y_1}(x_2) \in F(x_2)$  and  $\rho_W(y_2 - y_1) \leq \alpha$ , we obtain

$$d_W(y_1, F(x_2)) \leq \alpha.$$

Moreover, this inequality holds for every  $y_1 \in F(x_1)$ , i.e.

$$e_W(F(x_1), F(x_2)) \leq \alpha.$$

By symmetry we also have

$$e_W(F(x_2), F(x_1)) \leq \alpha$$

Consequently,

$$H_W(F(x_1), F(x_2)) \leq \alpha.$$

Since  $\alpha$  can be arbitrarily chosen in the interval  $[\rho_U(x_2 - x_1), \gamma]$  we get

$$H_W(F(x_1), F(x_2)) \leq \rho_U(x_2 - x_1).$$

As a consequence of Proposition 1.4, we have the following corollary:

**COROLLARY 1.5.** *If  $F$  is Lipschitz around  $x_0$ , then  $F$  is continuous at  $x_0$ .*

**REMARK.**

1) If  $X$  and  $Y$  are norm spaces, Definition 1.2 reduces to the usual definition of the Lipschitz continuity, i.e. there exist a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  and a number

$L > 0$  such that

$$H(F(x), F(y)) \leq L\|x - y\|, \forall x, y \in U.$$

2) In the case  $F$  is point-valued and  $K(v)$  is compact (or bounded) for every  $v \in X$ , the Lipschitz property in the sense of Definition 1.2 means the strictly compactly Lipschitz (or strictly boundedly Lipschitz) property in Thibault's sense (see [7]).

### 2. Surjectivity theorems

Let  $X$  be a locally convex space and  $Y$  a norm space. We recall that a map  $F$  from  $X$  to  $Y$  is surjective at a point  $x_0$  if for every neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$ , the set  $F(U)$  is a neighborhood of  $F(x_0)$ .

Let  $U$  be a closed bounded circled neighborhood of the origin in  $X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  be a point-valued map. Then the surjectivity of  $g$  can be characterized by a quantity called the constant of surjection.

DEFINITION 2.1. The function

$$t \rightarrow M_U(g, x_0, t) = \frac{1}{t} \sup\{s > 0; g(x_0) + sB \subseteq \overline{g(x_0 + tU)}\}$$

is called the modulus of surjection of  $g$  at  $x_0$  with respect to  $U$ .

The quantity

$$C_U(g, x_0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} M_U(g, x_0, t)$$

is called the constant of surjection of  $g$  at  $x_0$  with respect to  $U$ .

If  $X$  is a norm space, we denote by  $C(g, x_0)$  the constant of surjection of  $g$  at  $x_0$  with respect to the unit ball and

$$C(g, x_0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \sup\{s > 0; B_Y(g(x), s) \subseteq \overline{g(B_X(x, t))}\}.$$

LEMMA 2.2. Let  $\beta > 0$  and  $g$  a linear point-valued map from a norm space  $X$  to a norm space  $Y$ . Assume that for each  $y \in Y$  there exists  $x \in X$  satisfying

$$g(x) = y \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \|x\| \leq \|y\|.$$

Then  $C(g, x_0) \geq \beta$  for any  $x_0 \in X$ .

PROOF. Since  $g$  is linear,  $y = g(x)$  and  $\beta \|x\| \leq \|y\|$ , we have

$$t\beta B_Y \subseteq g(tB_X) \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Again by the linearity of  $g$  we get

$$g(x) + t\beta B_Y \subseteq g(x + tB_X), \quad \forall x \in X, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

This means that

$$M(g, t, x) = \frac{1}{t} \sup\{s > 0; g(x) + sB_Y \subseteq \overline{g(x + tB_X)}\} \geq \beta.$$

It follows then

$$C(g, x_0) \geq \beta, \quad \forall x_0 \in X.$$

Let  $G$  be a convex process from  $X$  to  $Y$ , i.e., Graph  $G$  is a convex cone. The inverse of  $G$  is a convex set-valued map  $G^{-1}$  defined by  $G^{-1}(y) = \{x; y \in G(x)\}$ . The norm  $\|G^{-1}\|$  of  $G^{-1}$  is defined as the smallest number  $\gamma \geq 0$  such that for every  $y$  in the range of  $G$  there is a point  $x \in G^{-1}(y)$  satisfying  $\|x\| \leq \gamma \|y\|$ .

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $X, Y$  be norm spaces,  $G : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  a closed convex set-valued and  $P : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$  defined by  $P(x, y) = y$ . Denote by  $P|_{\text{Graph } G}$  the restriction of  $P$  on Graph  $G$ . If  $\|G^{-1}\| > 0$ , then

$$C(P|_{\text{Graph } G}, (x, y)) \geq \|G^{-1}\|^{-1}, \quad \forall x \in X, \quad y \in G(x).$$

PROOF. Let  $Z = X \times Y$  be equipped with  $\|(x, y)\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|G^{-1}\| \|y\|\}$ . Then for every  $y$  in the range of  $G$ , there is  $(x, y) \in X \times Z \cap \text{Graph } G$  satisfying

$$P(x, y) = y \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\|G^{-1}\|} \|(x, y)\| \leq \|y\|.$$

Hence Lemma 2.3 follows from Lemma 2.2.

Now let  $g$  be a point-valued map from a locally convex space  $X$  into a norm space  $Y$ . Assume that  $g$  is in a Lipschitz proximity of  $F$  around  $x_0$ , then by Proposition 1.4 we have

$$H(F(x_1) - g(x_1), F(x_2) - g(x_2)) \leq \varrho_{\bar{U}}(x_2 - x_1) \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in V$$

for some neighborhood  $\bar{U}$  of the origin and some neighborhood  $V$  of  $x_0$ .

Since  $U$  is bounded, there exists a constant  $L > 0$  such that  $LU \supseteq \bar{U}$  and hence  $L\varrho_U(x) \geq \varrho_{\bar{U}}(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in X$ . We get

$$H(F(x_1) - g(x_1), F(x_2) - g(x_2)) \leq L\varrho_U(x_2 - x_1) \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in V. \quad (*)$$

DEFINITION 2.4. We say that  $g$  is a  $(U, L)$ -Lipschitz approximating of  $F$  around  $x_0$  if  $(*)$  holds.

THEOREM 2.5. Let  $X$  be a complete locally convex space,  $Y$  a norm space,  $F : X \rightarrow CL(X)$  a closed set-valued map and  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  a continuous point-valued map. Assume that  $g$  is a  $(U, L)$  - Lipschitz approximating of  $F$  around  $x_0$  and  $C_U(g, x_0) > L > 0$ . Then  $F$  is surjective at  $x_0$ .

PROOF. Since  $L < C_U(g, x_0)$ , we can choose  $\theta, \epsilon \in ]0, 1[$  such that  $L + \theta < C_U(g, x_0) - \epsilon$ . Put  $\gamma = C_U(g, x_0) - \epsilon$ . Then there exist a number  $b > 0$  and a neighborhood  $M$  of the origin satisfying

$$M_U(g, x, t) \geq \gamma, \quad \forall t \in ]0, b], \quad \forall x \in x_0 + M,$$

i.e.

$$\frac{1}{t} \sup\{s > 0; g(x) + sB \subseteq \overline{g(x + tU)}\} \geq \gamma$$

$$\sup\{s > 0; g(x) + sB \subseteq \overline{g(x + tU)}\} \geq \gamma t, \quad \forall t \in ]0, b], \quad \forall x \in x_0 + M.$$

This means that

$$g(x) + \gamma t B \subseteq \overline{g(x + tU)}, \quad \forall t \in ]0, b], \quad \forall x \in x_0 + M. \quad (2.5.1)$$

On the other hand, since  $g$  is a  $(UL)$ -Lipschitz approximating of  $F$  around  $x_0$ , there is a neighborhood  $N$  of the origin such that:

$$H(F(x) - g(x), F(z) - g(z)) \leq L_{\theta U}(z - x), \forall x, z \in x_0 + N. \quad (2.5.2)$$

Let  $V = M \cap N$  be a neighborhood of the origin and take  $t_0 \in ]0, b]$  such that  $t_0 U \subseteq V$ . Then we have  $tU \subseteq V$  for all  $t \in ]0, t_0]$ .

Put  $s = (L + \theta)\gamma^{-1} < 1$ . We shall prove that for any  $y \in F(x_0) + \gamma(1 - s)t_0 B$ , there exists an element  $\bar{x} \in x_0 + t_0 U$  such that  $y \in F(\bar{x})$ .

First, we construct two sequences  $\{y_n\}$  and  $\{z_n\}$  in  $Y$  satisfying

$$1) y_n \in F(x_n) : x_n \in x_{n-1} + s^{n-1}(1 - s)t_0 U.$$

$$2) z_n = g(x_n) - y_n + y, \|y_n - y\| = \|z_n - g(x_n)\| \leq \theta_{\theta U}(x_{n+1} - x_n).$$

Take  $y_0 \in F(x_0)$  such that  $\|y_0 - y\| \leq \gamma(1 - s)t_0$  and  $z_0 = g(x_0) - y_0 + y$ . Then we have

$$\|z_0 - g(x_0)\| = \|y - y_0\| \leq \gamma(1 - s)t_0.$$

Hence  $z_0 \in g(x_0) + \gamma(1 - s)t_0 B$ . It follows from (2.5.1) :

$$z_0 \in \overline{g(x_0 + (1 - s)t_0 U)}. \quad (2.5.3)$$

Let  $a_0 = \|z_0 - g(x_0)\| = \|y - y_0\|$ . If  $a_0 = 0$ , then  $y = y_0$  and so  $\bar{x} = x_0$  satisfying  $y \in F(\bar{x})$ . If  $a_0 > 0$ , by the continuity of  $g$ , there is a neighborhood  $U_0$  of the origin such that

$$\|g(x) - g(x')\| \leq \frac{a_0}{2}, \forall x, x' \in x_0 + U.$$

Take  $\delta_0 > 0 : \delta_0 U \subseteq U_0$ . Then we have

$$\|g(x) - g(x')\| \leq \frac{a_0}{2}, \forall x, x' \in x_0 + \delta_0 U.$$

In view of (2.5.3) we can choose  $x_1 \in x_0 + (1 - s)t_0 U$  satisfying :

$$\|z_0 - g(x_1)\| \leq \theta \min\left\{\frac{a_0}{2}, \delta_0\right\}.$$



Evidently, we have  $x_1 \notin x_0 + \delta_0 U$ , i.e.  $\rho_U(x_1 - x_0) > \delta_0$ . In the contrary case we have  $\|g(x_1) - g(x_0)\| < \frac{a_0}{2}$  and since  $\|z_0 - g(x_0)\| < \frac{a_0}{2}$  we get  $\|z_0 - g(x_1)\| < a_0$ , a contradiction. Thus we have

$$\|z_0 - g(x_1)\| \leq \theta \delta_0 \leq \theta \rho_U(x_1 - x_0).$$

Since  $x_0, x_1 \in x_0 + N$ , by (2.5.2) we obtain

$$H(F(x_1) - g(x_1), F(x_0) - g(x_0)) \leq L \rho_U(x_1 - x_0).$$

Now choose  $y_1 \in F(x_1)$  such that

$$\|y_1 - g(x_1) - y_0 + g(x_0)\| \leq L \rho_U(x_1 - x_0).$$

Put  $z_1 = g(x_1) - y_1 + y_0$ . Then

$$\|z_1 - g(x_1)\| \leq \|y_1 - g(x_1) - y_0 + g(x_0)\| + \|g(x_0) - y_0 + y_0 - g(x_1)\|$$

$$\|z_1 - g(x_1)\| \leq L \rho_U(x_1 - x_0) + \|z_0 - g(x_1)\|$$

$$\|z_1 - g(x_1)\| \leq L \rho_U(x_1 - x_0) + \theta \rho_U(x_1 - x_0) \leq (L + \theta)(1 - s)t_0$$

$$\|z_1 - g(x_1)\| \leq \gamma(1 - s)st_0,$$

i.e.  $z_1 \in g(x_1) + \gamma(1 - s)st_0 B$ . In view of (2.5.1) we get

$$z_1 \in \overline{g(x_1 + s(1 - s)t_0 U)}. \tag{2.5.4}$$

Put  $a_1 = \|z_1 - g(x_1)\|$ . If  $a_1 = 0$  we take  $\bar{x} = x_1$  and then  $y = y_1 \in F(\bar{x})$ . If  $a_1 > 0$ , we choose  $\delta_1 > 0$  and  $U_1$  a neighborhood of the origin such that

$$\|g(x) - g(x')\| \leq \frac{a_1}{2}, \quad \forall x, x' \in x_1 + \delta_1 U \subseteq U_1.$$

Let  $x_2 \in x_1 + (1 - s)st_0 U$  satisfying

$$\|z_1 - g(x_2)\| \leq \theta \min\left\{\frac{a_1}{2}, \delta_1\right\} \quad (\text{in view of (2.5.4)}).$$

We then have

$$\rho_U(x_2 - x_1) \leq (1 - s)st_0.$$

Again we choose  $y_2 \in F(x_2)$  such that (in view of (2.5.1)) :

$$\|y_2 - g(x_2) - y_1 + g(x_1)\| \leq L \rho_U(x_2 - x_1).$$

Let  $z_2 = g(x_2) - y_2 + y$ . Then

$$\|z_2 - g(x_2)\| \leq \|y_2 - g(x_2) - y_1 + g(x_1)\| + \|z_1 - g(x_2)\|$$

$$\|z_2 - g(x_2)\| \leq (L + \theta)\rho_U(x_2 - x_1) \leq \gamma(1-s)s^2 t_0 U,$$

i.e.

$$z_2 \in g(x_2) + \gamma(1-s)s^2 t_0 B \subseteq \overline{g(x_2 + (1-s)s^2 t_0 U)}.$$

Continuing this procedure, we obtain two sequences  $\{y_n\}$  and  $\{z_n\}$  in  $Y$  which satisfy conditions 1) and 2). Hence,  $y_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} y$  in view of 2).

Now we show that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, i.e., for any neighborhood  $\bar{V}$  of the origin in  $X$  (we can obviously assume that  $\bar{V}$  is closed, circled) there is an integer  $k$  such that  $x_m - x_n \in \bar{V}$ ,  $\forall m, n \geq k$ .

Since  $s < 1$ , we can find  $k$  such that  $s^k t_0 U \subset \bar{V}$ . Let  $m > n > k$ . From 1) we get

$$x_m - x_{m-1} \in (1-s)s^{m-1} t_0 U \subseteq (1-s)s^{m-k-1} \bar{V},$$

$$x_{n+1} - x_n \in (1-s)s^n t_0 U \subseteq (1-s)s^{n-k} \bar{V}.$$

Put  $v = x_m - x_n = x_m - x_{m-1} + x_{m-1} - x_{m-2} + \dots + x_{n+1} - x_n$ . Then

$$v = (1-s)s^{m-k-1} v_{m-k-1} + \dots + (1-s)s^{n-k} v_n$$

where

$$v_i \in \bar{V} \quad (\text{or } \rho_{\bar{V}}(v_i) \leq 1), \quad \forall i = n-k, m-k-1.$$

So

$$\rho_{\bar{V}}(v) \leq (1-s)s^{m-k-1} \rho_{\bar{V}}(v_{m-k-1}) + \dots + (1-s)s^{n-k} \rho_{\bar{V}}(v_n),$$

$$\rho_{\bar{V}}(v) \leq (1-s)s^{n-k} (s^{m-n-1} + \dots + 1) \leq s^{n-k}.$$

This leads to

$$\rho_{\bar{V}}(v) \leq 1, \quad \text{i.e., } v = x_m - x_n \in \bar{V}.$$

By the completeness of  $X$ , the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to a point  $\bar{x} \in x_0 + t_0 U$ . Since  $F$  is a closed map,  $y \in F(\bar{x})$  and the theorem is proved.

**COROLLARY 2.6.** *Let  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces,  $F : X \rightarrow CL(Y)$  a closed set-valued map and  $g \in L(X, Y)$  satisfying the condition that for each  $y \in Y$ , there is  $x \in X$  such that  $g(x) = y$  and  $\|y\| \geq \beta\|x\|$ . Assume that  $g$  is a  $(U, L)$ -Lipschitz approximating of  $F$  around  $x_0$  and  $\beta > L > 0$ . Then  $F$  is surjective at  $x_0$ .*

**PROOF.** Applying Lemma 2.2, we get  $C(g, x_0) \geq \beta > L$ , hence the result follows.

**REMARK.** By letting  $F$  (in Corollary 2.6) be point-valued, we obtain a result of M. Fabian and D. Preiss in [3].

Now, let  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  be a point-valued map and  $M$  a closed convex cone in  $Y$ . We define  $F, G : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  as follows:

$$F(x) = f(x) - M \quad \text{and} \quad G(x) = g(x) - M$$

We obtain the following corollary which is a result of P.C. Duong and H. Tuy in [2] (cf. S.M. Robinson [6]).

**COROLLARY 2.7.** *Assume that  $f, g$  are continuous and satisfy the following conditions:*

- 1)  $G$  is a surjective closed convex map
- 2)  $0 \leq K\|G^{-1}\| < 1$
- 3)  $\|f(x) - f(x') - g(x) + g(x')\| \leq K\|x - x'\|, \forall x, x' \in B(0, r)$ .

Then  $F$  is surjective at  $x_0$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $Z = X \times Y$  be equipped with  $\|(x, y)\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|G^{-1}\| \|y\|\}$ ,  $P : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$  defined by  $P(x, y) = y$  and  $\ell : \text{Graph } G \rightarrow Y$  defined by

$$\ell(x, y) = f(x) - g(x) + y.$$

By Lemma 2.3,  $C(P|_{\text{Graph } G}(x_0, f(x_0))) \geq \|G^{-1}\|$ . On the other hand, 3) means that  $P$  is a  $(B, K)$ -Lipschitz approximating of  $\ell$ , so that by Theorem

2.5:

$f(x_0) = y_0 = \ell(x_0, y_0) \in \text{Int}(P(B_r(x_0, y_0) \cap \text{Graph } G))$  for some  $r > 0$ ,

i.e.

$y_0 \in \text{Int}(\ell(U \times T \cap \text{Graph } G))$  for every neighborhood of  $x_0$ .

But

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(U \times Y \cap \text{Graph } G) &= \{f(x) - g(x) + y; x \in U, y \in g(x) - M\} \\ &= \{f(x) - M; x \in U\} = F(U) \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $F$  is surjective at  $x_0$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] F.H. Clark, "Optimization and nonsmooth analysis," Wiley-Interscience publication, 1983.
- [2] P.C. Duong and H. Tuy, *Stability, surjectivity and local invertibility of nondifferentiable mappings*, Acta Math. Vietnam. **3** (1978), 89-105.
- [3] M. Fabian and D. Preiss, *A generalization of the interior mapping theorem of Clarke and Pourciau*, Com. Math. Univ. Carolinae **28** (1987), 311-324.
- [4] L.V. Hot, *On the open mapping principle and convex multi-valued mappings*, Acta Univer. Carolinae Math. et Phys. **26** (1985), 53-59.
- [5] A.D. Ioffe, *Nonsmooth analysis: Differential calculus of nondifferentiable mappings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **206** (1981), 11-55.
- [6] S.M. Robinson, *Regularity for convex multivalued functions*, Math. of Oper. Resear. **1** (1976), 130-143.
- [7] L. Thibault, *Sur les fonctions compactement Lipschitziennes et leurs applications*, Univ. du. Languedoc 1980.

HANOI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
P.O. BOX 631, 10 000 HANOI, VIETNAM