

ON LEVY'S CONVERGENCE THEOREMS OF TWO-PARAMETER MULTIVALUED RANDOM PROCESSES

NGO HOANG LONG AND VU VIET YEN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove the Levy's upward and downward theorems for the convergence of two-parameter multivalued random processes in Hausdorff's sense.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The Levy's convergence theorems of one-parameter multivalued processes were presented in the works of Z. P. Wang and X. H. Xue [6], D. Wenlong and W. Zhenpeng [7]. In this paper we will extend these results to the two-parameter cases.

Let $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mathbb{P})$ be a complete probability space and \mathfrak{X} a real separable Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $P_c(\mathfrak{X})$ denote the family of all nonempty bounded closed convex subsets of \mathfrak{X} . For $A, B, C \in P_c(\mathfrak{X})$, the Hausdorff distance $h(A, B)$, the radius $|C|$ of the set C are defined as in [4, 6]. The concepts and notations such as \mathfrak{X} -valued Bochner integrable random variables space $L^1(\Omega, \mathfrak{X})$, measurable multifunction, Aumann integral, conditional multivalued expectation, etc. are the same as in the above references.

For each $p \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{L}_c^p[\Omega, \mathfrak{X}]$ denote the family of measurable multifunction $F : \Omega \rightarrow P_c(\mathfrak{X})$ satisfying $\int_{\Omega} |F(\omega)|^p d\mathbb{P} < \infty$, where two multifunctions F, G are identical if $F(\omega) = G(\omega)$ a.e. Let $F, G \in \mathcal{L}_c^p[\Omega, \mathfrak{X}]$. Since

$$h^p(F(\omega), G(\omega)) \leq (|F(\omega)| + |G(\omega)|)^p \leq 2^{p-1}(|F(\omega)|^p + |G(\omega)|^p),$$

the function $\omega \mapsto h^p(F(\omega), G(\omega))$ is in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and we define

$$\Delta_p(F, G) = \left(\int_{\Omega} h^p(F, G) d\mathbb{P} \right)^{1/p}.$$

For $F, G, H \in \mathcal{L}_c^p[\Omega, \mathfrak{X}]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_p(F, G) &= \|h(F, G)\|_p \leq \|h(F, H) + h(H, G)\|_p \\ &\leq \|h(F, H)\|_p + \|h(H, G)\|_p = \Delta_p(F, H) + \Delta_p(H, G), \end{aligned}$$

Received February 13, 2006.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 60G48.

Key words and phrases. Two-parameter multivalued random processes, commuting filtration, maximal inequality.

then $(\mathcal{L}_c^p[\Omega, \mathfrak{X}], \Delta_p)$ is a metric space. A measurable multivalued function F is called *simple* if there exists a finite measurable partition $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ of Ω and nonempty subsets X_1, \dots, X_n of \mathfrak{X} such that $F(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^n I_{A_i}(\omega)X_i$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. We denote by $\mathbb{L}_c^p[\Omega, \mathfrak{X}]$ the closure of the set of all simple functions in $\mathcal{L}_c^p[\Omega, \mathfrak{X}]$. It should be mention that $\mathbb{L}_c^p[\Omega, \mathfrak{X}] \subsetneq \mathcal{L}_c^p[\Omega, \mathfrak{X}]$ (see Example 3.4 [4]).

We denote by \mathbb{N} (resp., $-\mathbb{N}$) the set of nonnegative (resp., nonpositive) integers. The ordering on $J = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ (resp., $K = (-\mathbb{N}) \times (-\mathbb{N})$) is defined as the natural one. Namely, for $s = (s_1, s_2)$ and $t = (t_1, t_2)$, we put $s \leq t$ whenever $s_1 \leq t_1$ and $s_2 \leq t_2$. We also denote $s \wedge t = (s_1 \wedge t_1, s_2 \wedge t_2)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or $n \in -\mathbb{N}$, let $\bar{n} = (n, n)$. $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ is a *two-parameter filtration* of Σ if for each t , \mathcal{F}_t is a sub- σ -field of Σ and whenever $s \leq t$, $\mathcal{F}_s \subset \mathcal{F}_t$. \mathcal{F} is *commuting* if for all s, t , \mathcal{F}_s and \mathcal{F}_t are conditionally independent, given $F_{s \wedge t}$.

Definition 1.1. A two-parameter process $\{M_t\}$ is a *martingale with respect to the filtration \mathcal{F}* if for each t , M_t is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable, $\mathbb{E}|M_t| < \infty$ and whenever $s \leq t$, $\mathbb{E}(M_t | \mathcal{F}_s) = M_s$ a.s.

In the sequel, we will frequently use the following well known result (see [1]).

Lemma 1.1. (Cairoli’s Maximal inequality) *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t, t \in J\}$ be a commuting filtration and $M = \{M_{i,j} : i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ a two-parameter martingale. If $p > 1$, then for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n,m)} |M_{i,j}|^p\right) \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{2p} \mathbb{E}|M_{n,m}|^p.$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that $p > 1$, $F \in \mathbb{L}_c^p[\Omega, \mathfrak{X}]$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t, t \in J\}$ is a commuting filtration of Σ . Let $F_t = \mathcal{E}[F | \mathcal{F}_t]$ and $F_\infty = \mathcal{E}[F | \mathcal{F}_\infty]$ where $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \sigma(\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_{\bar{n}})$. Then F_t converges to F_∞ a.s. in the Hausdorff’s sense.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that F is \mathcal{F}_∞ -measurable. For any $\epsilon > 0$, pick a simple function $H \in \mathcal{L}_c^p$ such that H is \mathcal{F}_∞ -measurable and $\Delta_p(F, H) < \epsilon^2$. Assume that $H = \sum_{i=1}^K H_i I_{A_i}$, where $\{A_i : i = 1, \dots, K\}$ is a measurable partition of Ω and $H_k \in P_c(\mathfrak{X})$. Pick $\delta > 0$ such that $\delta < \epsilon^{2p} (2^p \max_{1 \leq i \leq K} |H_i|^p)^{-1}$. Choose $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_K$ such that for each i , there exists $B_i \in \mathcal{F}_{\bar{n}_i}$ satisfying $\mathbb{P}(A_i \Delta B_i) < \delta/2K$. Let

$$C_i = B_i \setminus \left(\bigcup_{1 \leq j < i} B_j\right), \quad 1 \leq i < K, \quad C_K = \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq i < K} C_i,$$

and $G(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^K H_i I_{C_i}$. Then

$$[\Delta_p(G, H)]^p = \mathbb{E}(h^p(G, H)) = \sum_{j,i=1}^K \mathbb{E}(h^p(G, H) I_{C_i \cap A_j})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^K \mathbb{E}(h^p(H_i, H_j) I_{C_i \cap A_j}) \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^K \mathbb{E}(2^{p-1}(|H_i|^p + |H_j|^p) I_{C_i \cap A_j}) \\
&\leq 2^p \max_{1 \leq i \leq K} |H_i|^p \sum_{j=1}^K \mathbb{P}(C_j \cap \bigcup_{i \neq j} A_i) \\
(2.1) \quad &= 2^p \max_{1 \leq i \leq K} |H_i|^p \left[\sum_{j=1}^{K-1} \mathbb{P}(C_j \cap A_j^c) + \mathbb{P}(C_K \cap A_K^c) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq j < K - 1$,

$$(2.2) \quad \mathbb{P}(C_j \cap A_j^c) \leq \mathbb{P}(B_j \cap A_j^c) \leq \mathbb{P}(B_j \Delta A_j) \leq \frac{\delta}{2K}.$$

Since

$$C_K = \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq i < K} C_i = \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq i < K} B_i$$

we have

$$(C_K \cap A_K^c)^c = C_K^c \cup A_K = \left(\bigcup_{1 \leq i < K} B_i \right) \cup A_K$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq i < K} B_i\right) \cup A_K\right) &\geq \mathbb{P}\left(\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq i < K} B_i A_i\right) \cup A_K\right) = \sum_{1 \leq i < K} \mathbb{P}(A_i B_i) + \mathbb{P}(A_K) \\
&\geq \mathbb{P}(A_K) + \sum_{1 \leq i < K} \left(\mathbb{P}(A_i) - \mathbb{P}(A_i \Delta B_i)\right) \\
&= 1 - \sum_{1 \leq i < K} \mathbb{P}(A_i \Delta B_i) \\
&\geq 1 - (K-1) \frac{\delta}{2K}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbb{P}(C_K \cap A_K^c) \leq \frac{(K-1)\delta}{2K}.$$

According to (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$[\Delta_p(G, H)]^p \leq 2^p \max_{1 \leq i \leq K} |H_i|^p \frac{2(K-1)\delta}{2K} \leq \epsilon^{2p}.$$

Then, $\Delta_p(G, H) \leq \epsilon^2$ and

$$(2.4) \quad \Delta_p(G, F) \leq \Delta_p(G, H) + \Delta_p(H, F) \leq 2\epsilon^2.$$

For any $t \geq \bar{n}_k$, by Lemma 2.6 of [3], we have

$$h(F_t, G) = h(\mathcal{E}[F|\mathcal{F}_t], \mathcal{E}[G|\mathcal{F}_t]) \leq \mathbb{E}(h(F, G)|\mathcal{F}_t) = h_t.$$

For any $m > n_k$, using Markov's inequality, Lemma 1.2, Jensen's inequality and (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{\bar{n}_k \leq t \leq \bar{m}} h_t > \epsilon\right) &\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^p} \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\bar{n}_k \leq t \leq \bar{m}} h_t^p\right) \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^p} \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{2p} \mathbb{E}(h_{\bar{m}}^p) \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^p} \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{2p} \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}^p(h(F, G)|\mathcal{F}_{\bar{m}})\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^p} \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{2p} \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}(h^p(F, G)|\mathcal{F}_{\bar{m}})\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^p} \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{2p} \mathbb{E}h^p(F, G) \leq (2\epsilon)^p \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{2p}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \geq \bar{n}_k} h_t > \epsilon\right) \leq (2\epsilon)^p \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{2p}.$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \geq \bar{n}_k} h(F_t, F) > 2\epsilon\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \geq \bar{n}_k} h(F_t, G) > \epsilon\right) + \mathbb{P}(h(F, G) > \epsilon) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \geq \bar{n}_k} h_t > \epsilon\right) + \frac{\mathbb{E}h^p(F, G)}{\epsilon^p} \\ &\leq (2\epsilon)^p \left(1 + \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{2p}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and by Lemma 2 of [5], we obtain that $h - \lim F_t = F$ a.s. The theorem is proved. \square

We have proved the upward case of Levy's convergence theorem. For the downward case, we need first the following technical lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let M be a square integrable random variable, $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t : t \in K\}$ a commuting filtration. Then $\mathbb{E}(M|\mathcal{F}_t) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(M|\mathcal{F}_{-\infty})$ a.s. where $\mathcal{F}_{-\infty} = \bigcap_{n=-1}^{-\infty} \mathcal{F}_{\bar{n}}$.*

Proof. To prove this convergence, we consider the set

$$\mathbb{G} = \{X \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{F}_t) = \mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{F}_{-\infty}) \text{ for some } t \in K\}.$$

Then, we claim that the closed linear span of \mathbb{G} is all of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Suppose that there exists a random variable $Y \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $Y \perp \mathbb{G}$, it means that $\mathbb{E}XY = 0$ for all $X \in \mathbb{G}$. For each $t \in K$, since $X = Y - \mathbb{E}(Y|\mathcal{F}_t) \in \mathbb{G}$ then we have

$$\mathbb{E}(Y(Y - \mathbb{E}(Y|\mathcal{F}_t))) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}(Y - \mathbb{E}(Y|\mathcal{F}_t))^2 = 0.$$

It implies that Y is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable. Since this is true for all $t \in K$, Y is $\mathcal{F}_{-\infty}$ -measurable. On the other hand, \mathbb{G} contains all $\mathcal{F}_{-\infty}$ -measurable random variables, so $Y \perp \mathbb{G}$ implies $Y = 0$.

Next, we show that the set

$$\mathbb{H} = \{X \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{F}_t) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{F}_{-\infty}) \text{ a.s.}\}$$

is a closed linear space of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Indeed, for any random variable X in the closed hull of \mathbb{H} and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $Y \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $\|X - Y\|_2^2 < \epsilon^3/4$. For each $t \in K$, put $X_t = \mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{F}_t)$, $Y_t = \mathbb{E}(Y|\mathcal{F}_t)$. Then for any $n \in -\mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{\bar{n} \leq t \leq -1} |X_t - Y_t| \geq \epsilon\right) &\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\bar{n} \leq t \leq -1} |X_t - Y_t|^2\right) \\ &\leq \frac{4}{\epsilon^2} \mathbb{E}|X_{-1} - Y_{-1}|^2 \leq \frac{4}{\epsilon^2} \|X - Y\|_2^2 \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Letting n tend to $-\infty$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_t |X_t - Y_t| \geq \epsilon\right) \leq \epsilon.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_t |\mathbb{E}(Y_t|\mathcal{F}_{-\infty}) - \mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{F}_{-\infty})| &= |\mathbb{E}(Y|\mathcal{F}_{-\infty}) - \mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{F}_{-\infty})| \\ &= |\mathbb{E}(Y - X|\mathcal{F}_{-\infty})| \leq \mathbb{E}(|Y - X||\mathcal{F}_{-\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_t |\mathbb{E}(Y_t|\mathcal{F}_{-\infty}) - \mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{F}_{-\infty})| \geq \epsilon\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{E}(|Y - X||\mathcal{F}_{-\infty}) \geq \epsilon) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \|Y - X\|_2^2 \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $Y_t \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(Y|\mathcal{F}_{-\infty}) = \mathbb{E}(Y_t|\mathcal{F}_{-\infty})$ a.s., there exists $t_0 \in K$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \leq t_0} |Y_t - \mathbb{E}(Y_t|\mathcal{F}_{-\infty})| \geq \epsilon\right) \leq \epsilon.$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \leq t_0} |X_t - \mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{F}_{-\infty})| \geq 3\epsilon\right) \leq 3\epsilon,$$

which implies that $X_t \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{F}_{-\infty})$ a.s., so $X \in \mathbb{H}$ and \mathbb{H} is closed.

Moreover, $\mathbb{G} \subset \mathbb{H}$ then $L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \overline{\mathbb{G}} \subset \mathbb{H} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$, it implies that $\mathbb{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$. The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that \mathcal{F} is a commuting filtration, $F \in \mathbb{L}_c^p$, $F_t = \mathcal{E}[F|\mathcal{F}_t]$, $t \in K$. Then $F_t \xrightarrow{h} F_{-\infty}$ a.s., where $F_{-\infty} = \mathcal{E}[F|\mathcal{F}_{-\infty}]$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that F is $\mathcal{F}_{(-1,-1)}$ -measurable. First, we suppose that F is a simple function in \mathcal{L}_c^p , i.e. $F = \sum_{i=1}^k H_i I_{A_i}$, where (A_i) is a measurable partition of Ω and $H_k \in P_c(\mathfrak{X})$. For any $F_1, F_2, G_1, G_2 \in P_c(\mathfrak{X})$ it is known that

$$h(F_1 + F_2, G_1 + G_2) \leq h(F_1, G_1) + h(F_2, G_2).$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(F_t, F_{-\infty}) &= h\left(\sum_{i=1}^k H_i \mathbb{E}(I_{A_i} | \mathcal{F}_t), \sum_{i=1}^k H_i \mathbb{E}(I_{A_i} | \mathcal{F}_{-\infty})\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k h(H_i \mathbb{E}(I_{A_i} | \mathcal{F}_t), H_i \mathbb{E}(I_{A_i} | \mathcal{F}_{-\infty})) \\ &\leq \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq K} |H_i|\right) \sum_{i=1}^k |\mathbb{E}(I_{A_i} | \mathcal{F}_t) - \mathbb{E}(I_{A_i} | \mathcal{F}_{-\infty})| \longrightarrow 0 \text{ a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

Now, we suppose that $F \in \mathbb{L}_c^p$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a simple function $H \in \mathcal{L}_c^p$ such that H is $\mathcal{F}_{(-1, -1)}$ -measurable and $\Delta_p(F, H) \leq \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^2 \epsilon^{(p+1)/p}$. Suppose that $H = \sum_{i=1}^k H_i I_{A_i}$, where (A_i) is a $\mathcal{F}_{(-1, -1)}$ -measurable partition of Ω and $H_i \in P_c(\mathfrak{X})$. For each $t \in K$, denote $H_t = \mathcal{E}[H | \mathcal{F}_t]$ and $H_{-\infty} = \mathcal{E}[H | \mathcal{F}_{-\infty}]$. Since $h(H_t, H_{-\infty}) \rightarrow 0$ a.s., there exists $t_0 \in K$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \leq t_0} (h(H_t, H_{-\infty})) \geq \epsilon\right) < \epsilon.$$

For any $t \in K$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(F_t, H_t) &= h(\mathcal{E}[F | \mathcal{F}_t], \mathcal{E}[H | \mathcal{F}_t]) \leq \mathbb{E}(h(F, H) | \mathcal{F}_t) = h_t, \\ h(F_{-\infty}, H_{-\infty}) &= h(\mathcal{E}[F | \mathcal{F}_{-\infty}], \mathcal{E}[H | \mathcal{F}_{-\infty}]) \leq \mathbb{E}(h(F, H) | \mathcal{F}_{-\infty}) = h_{-\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{h_t, \mathcal{F}_t, \bar{n} \leq t \leq \overline{-1}\}$ is a real martingale for any $n \in -\mathbb{N}$, by Lemma 1.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{\bar{n} \leq t \leq \overline{-1}} h_t \geq \epsilon\right) &\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^p} \mathbb{E}\left(\max_{\bar{n} \leq t \leq \overline{-1}} h_t^p\right) \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^p} \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{2p} \mathbb{E}(h_{\overline{-1}}^p) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^p} \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{2p} \Delta_p^p(F, H) \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow -\infty$, we obtain $\mathbb{P}(\sup_t h_t \geq \epsilon) \leq \epsilon$. Moreover,

$$\mathbb{P}(h(F_{-\infty}, H_{-\infty}) \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^p} \mathbb{E}(h_{-\infty}^p) \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^p} \Delta_p^p(F, H) < \epsilon.$$

Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $t_1 \in K$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \leq t_1} h(F_t, F_{-\infty}) \geq 3\epsilon\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \leq t_1} h(F_t, H_t) \geq \epsilon\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \leq t_1} h(H_t, H_{-\infty}) \geq \epsilon\right) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}(h(H_{-\infty}, F_{-\infty}) \geq \epsilon) < 3\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which give $F_t \rightarrow F_{-\infty}$ a.s. The theorem is proved. □

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Cairoli, *Une inégalité pour martingales à indices multiples et les application*. Séminaire de Probabilités IV, Lecture Note in Math. **124** (1970), Springer-Verlag.
- [2] G. A. Edgar and L. Sucheston, *Stopping Times and Directed Processes*, Cambridge Univ. Press (1992).
- [3] F. Hiai, *Convergence of conditional expectations and strong laws of large numbers for multivalued random variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **291** (2) (1985), 613-627.

- [4] F. Hiai and H. Umegaki, *Integrals, conditional expectations and martingales of multivalued functions*, J. Multivariate Anal. **7** (1977) 149-182.
- [5] D. Q. Luu and N. H. Hai, *On the essential convergence in law of two-parameter random processes*, Bull. Polon. Acad. Sci.: Math. **40** (3) (1992), 197-204.
- [6] Z. P. Wang and X. H. Xue, *On convergence and closedness of multivalued martingale*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **341** (2) (1994), 807-827.
- [7] D. Wenlong and W. Zhenpeng, *On representation and regularity of continuous parameter multivalued martingales*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (6) (1998), 1799-1810.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
HANOI UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION
HANOI, VIET NAM

