

THE GROWTH OF COMPOSITE MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS WITH DEFICIENT FUNCTIONS

JIANWU SUN

ABSTRACT. Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function of order ρ_f , g a transcendental entire function of lower order λ_g ($\lambda_g < +\infty$), and $a_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n; n \leq \infty$) be entire functions satisfying $T(r, a_i(z)) = o(T(r, g))$. If $\sum_{i=1}^n \delta(a_i(z), g) = 1$, $\delta(a_i(z), g) > 0$ and $a_i(z) \not\equiv \infty$ for each i , then

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \log(T(r, f(g)))/T(r, g) = \pi\rho_f.$$

1. INTRODUCTION

In [8], Song and Huang proved the following result:

Theorem A. *Let f be a meromorphic function and let g be a transcendental entire function with $\sum_{a \neq \infty} \delta(a(z), g) = 1$, ($T(r, a(z)) = o(T(r, g))$). If f and g are of finite order, then*

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \log(T(r, f(g)))/T(r, g) \leq \pi\rho_f.$$

When f is entire, Theorem A is due to [10]. In this paper, we will prove that the above inequality holds as an equality.

Theorem 1. *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function of order ρ_f , g a transcendental entire function of lower order λ_g ($\lambda_g < +\infty$), and $a_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n; n \leq \infty$) entire functions satisfying $T(r, a_i(z)) = o(T(r, g))$. If*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \delta(a_i(z), g) = 1,$$

$\delta(a_i(z), g) > 0$ and $a_i(z) \not\equiv \infty$ for each i , then

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \log(T(r, f(g)))/T(r, g) = \pi\rho_f.$$

Received March 12, 2004; in revised form May 13, 2005.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 30D35.

Key words and phrases. Entire function, meromorphic function, growth, deficient function.

2. LEMMAS

Lemma 1 ([1]). *Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function, $g(z)$ a transcendental entire function, then for all $\sigma > 1$ and $r > r_0 = r_0(f, g)$ we have*

$$T(r, f(g)) \leq AT(BM(\sigma r, g), f) \log M(\sigma r, g),$$

where $A > 0, B > 0$ are constants.

Lemma 2 ([4]). *For any entire function f , if $0 \leq r < R < +\infty$ then we have*

$$T(r, f) \leq \log^+ M(r, f) \leq \frac{R+r}{R-r} T(R, f).$$

Lemma 3. *Let g be an entire function of order ρ_g and lower order λ_g ($\lambda_g < +\infty$), let $a_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n; n \leq \infty$) be entire functions satisfying $T(r, a_i(z)) = o(T(r, g))$. If $\sum_{i=1}^n \delta(a_i(z), g) = 1$, $\delta(a_i(z), g) > 0$ and $a_i(z) \not\equiv \infty$ for each i , then*

1. ([3]) $g(z)$ is of regular growth and $\rho_g = \lambda_g$ is a positive integer.
2. ([7])

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} T(r, g) / \log M(r, g) = 1/\pi.$$

3. For an arbitrary small $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, there exists $a_1(z), a_2(z), \dots, a_k(z)$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k \delta(a_i(z), g) = 1 > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}.$$

Let $a_1(z), a_2(z), \dots, a_h(z)$ ($h \leq k$) be maximal linearly independent group in $a_1(z), a_2(z), \dots, a_k(z)$. Put

$$L(g) = \begin{vmatrix} g(z) & a_1(z) & a_2(z) & \cdots & a_h(z) \\ g^{(1)}(z) & a_1^{(1)}(z) & a_2^{(1)}(z) & \cdots & a_h^{(1)}(z) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g^{(h)}(z) & a_1^{(h)}(z) & a_2^{(h)}(z) & \cdots & a_h^{(h)}(z) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Then

(i) ([6]) *The order of $L(g)$ is equal to lower order of $L(g)$ and $L(g), g(z)$ have the same order.*

(ii) ([5])

$$K[L(g)] = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, L(g)) + N(r, 1/L(g))}{T(r, L(g))} = 0.$$

Lemma 4 ([2]). *Let $f(z)$ be meromorphic function of lower order λ and order ρ , let P be an integer defined by $P \geq 1, P - \frac{1}{2} \leq \lambda < P + \frac{1}{2}, \rho < P + 1$. If for $A_0 > 0, 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, we have*

$$K(f) = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, f) + N(r, 1/f)}{T(r, f)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{A_0(P+1)}.$$

Then, for $1 < \sigma \leq 36$ and $r > r_0$, we have

$$(2.2) \quad T(\sigma r, f) = \sigma^P T(r, f)(1 + \eta(r, \sigma)), \quad |\eta(r, \sigma)| < \varepsilon.$$

Lemma 5 ([6]). *Let $f(z)$ be meromorphic function, $a_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) be distinct meromorphic functions satisfying $T(r, a_i(z)) = o(T(r, f))$. Let $\{a_i(z)_{i=1}^h\}$ be maximal linearly independent group of $\{a_i(z)_{i=1}^k\}$ ($h \leq k$). Put*

$$A_0 = \begin{vmatrix} a_1(z) & a_2(z) & \cdots & a_h(z) \\ a_1^{(1)}(z) & a_2^{(1)}(z) & \cdots & a_h^{(1)}(z) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_1^{(h)}(z) & a_2^{(h)}(z) & \cdots & a_h^{(h)}(z) \end{vmatrix} = A(a_1(z), a_2(z), \dots, a_h(z)),$$

thus

$$(2.3) \quad L(f) = \frac{(-1)^h}{A_0} A(f, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_h) = f^{(h)} + \frac{A_1}{A_0} f^{(h-1)} + \dots + \frac{A_h}{A_0} f.$$

Then, the inequality

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^h m\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a_i(z)}\right) \leq m\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + o(T(r, f))$$

holds outside a set E of a finite linear measure except in positive real number axis ($\text{mes}E < +\infty$).

Lemma 6. *Let g be an entire function of order ρ and lower order λ ($\lambda < +\infty$), let $a_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n; n \leq \infty$) be entire functions satisfying $T(r, a_i(z)) = o(T(r, g))$. If $\sum_{i=1}^n \delta(a_i(z), g) = 1$, $\delta(a_i(z), g) > 0$ and $a_i(z) \not\equiv \infty$ for each i , then*

$$T(\sigma r, g) \sim \sigma^\rho T(r, g) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, 1 < \sigma \leq 36).$$

Proof. 1. Since $a_i(z) \not\equiv \infty$ and $\delta(a_i(z), g) > 0$, we may assume that $a_1(z) \not\equiv \infty$ and $\delta(a_1(z), g) > 0$. By (2.4) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^h m\left(r, \frac{1}{g - a_i(z)}\right) \leq T(r, L(g)) + o(T(r, g)), \quad (r \notin E).$$

So

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, L(g))}{T(r, g)} \geq \sum_{i=1}^h \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m\left(r, \frac{1}{g - a_i(z)}\right)}{T(r, g)} \geq \delta(a_1(z), g) > 0.$$

Thus, there exists $A \geq 1$ such that for $r > A$ we have

$$(2.5) \quad T(r, g) < \frac{1}{c_1} T(r, L(g)),$$

where $c_1 = \frac{1}{2}\delta(a_1(z), g)$. By (2.4) and (2.5) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^h \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r, \frac{1}{g - a_i(z)})}{T(r, L(g))} \leq \delta(0, L(g)).$$

Since

$$N(r, L(g)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^h N(r, \frac{A_i}{A_0}) + \sum_{i=1}^h N(r, g^{(i)}) + o(T(r, g)) = o(T(r, g)),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.6) \quad T(r, L(g)) &= m(r, L(g)) + N(r, L(g)) \\ &\leq m(r, g) + m(r, \frac{L(g)}{g}) + o(T(r, g)) \\ &= T(r, g) + o(T(r, g)) = (1 + o(1))T(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

2. Using [9, (1.5.8)] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^h m(r, \frac{1}{g - a_i(z)}) &\leq m\left(r, \sum_{i=1}^h \frac{1}{g - a_i(z)}\right) + o(T(r, g)) \\ &\leq T(r, L(g)) - N(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}) + o(T(r, g)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (2.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} &< \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(a_i(z), g) \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^h \frac{m(r, \frac{1}{g - a_i(z)})}{T(r, g)} \\ &\leq \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{T(r, L(g))}{T(r, g)} - \frac{N(r, \frac{1}{L(g)})}{T(r, g)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ ($\varepsilon > \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}$), we have

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, L(g))}{T(r, g)} > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} > 1 - \varepsilon.$$

3. Since $g(z)$ is an entire function satisfying the condition $\sum_{i=1}^n \delta(a_i(z), g) = 1$ and $\delta(a_i(z), g) > 0$ ($a_i(z) \not\equiv \infty$), by the first assertion of Lemma 3 we see that $g(z)$ is of regular growth and $\rho = \lambda$ is a positive integer.

By the third of assertion of Lemma 3 we know that $L(g)$ is of regular growth, the order of $L(g)$ is equal to lower of $L(g)$ and $L(g), g(z)$ have the same order.

By Lemma 3 we see that $L(g)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4 where $P = \rho$. Hence, for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $1 < \sigma \leq 36$ and $r > r_0$, by the result in the subsection 2 of this proof we have

$$(2.8) \quad T(\sigma r, L(g)) = \sigma^\rho T(r, L(g))(1 + \eta(r, \sigma)), \quad |\eta(r, \sigma)| < \varepsilon.$$

It follows from (2.6)-(2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(\sigma r, g)}{T(r, g)} &\leq \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(\sigma r, g)}{T(\sigma r, L(g))} \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(\sigma r, L(g))}{T(r, L(g))} \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, L(g))}{T(r, g)} \\ &\leq \left(\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(\sigma r, g)}{T(\sigma r, L(g))} \right)^{-1} \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(\sigma r, L(g))}{T(r, L(g))} \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(1 + o(1))T(r, g)}{T(r, g)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon} \cdot \sigma^\rho (1 + \varepsilon) = \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} \sigma^\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(\sigma r, g)}{T(r, g)} \leq \sigma^\rho.$$

Besides, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(\sigma r, g)}{T(r, g)} &\geq \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(\sigma r, g)}{T(\sigma r, L(g))} \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(\sigma r, L(g))}{T(r, L(g))} \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, L(g))}{T(r, g)} \\ &\geq \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{1 + o(1)} \frac{T(\sigma r, L(g))}{T(\sigma r, L(g))} \left(\underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, L(g))}{T(\sigma r, L(g))} \right)^{-1} (1 - \varepsilon) \\ &= \left(\underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sigma^\rho (1 + \eta(r, \sigma))} \right)^{-1} (1 - \varepsilon) \\ &\geq \left(\underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{1 - |\eta(r, \sigma)|} \right)^{-1} (1 - \varepsilon) \sigma^\rho \\ &\geq (1 - \varepsilon)^2 \sigma^\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$(2.10) \quad \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(\sigma r, g)}{T(r, g)} \geq \sigma^\rho.$$

So, by (2.9) and (2.10) we have

$$T(\sigma r, g) \sim \sigma^\rho T(r, g), \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, 1 < \sigma \leq 36).$$

□

Lemma 7 ([1]). *Let g be a transcendental entire function, $\phi(L), \psi(L)$ and $\lambda(L)$ be nondecreasing functions in $L \geq L_0, \phi(L) \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lambda(L) \rightarrow \infty$ as $L \rightarrow \infty, \psi(L) > 1$. For sufficiently large r , let*

$$L = \log M(r, g), \quad R = r(1 + 1/\psi(L)).$$

If $\log M(R, g) \leq \phi(L), \log r \leq \lambda(L)$ hold for all sufficiently large values of L , and

$$l = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda(L)\psi(L)[4 \log \psi(L) + 6 \log \phi(L)]}{L} < 1,$$

then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive $K = K(g, \phi, \psi, \lambda, \varepsilon)$ such that the equation $g(z) = w$ has roots in circle

$$|z| < t \left(1 + \frac{1}{\psi\left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \log M(t, g)\right)} \right),$$

when $|w| > K$. Here $t = t(|w|)$ is determined by $M(t, g) = |w|$.

Lemma 8. Let g be a transcendental entire function of lower order λ_g ($\lambda_g < +\infty$), let $a_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n; n \leq \infty$) be entire functions satisfying $T(r, a_i(z)) = o(T(r, g))$, $\sum_{i=1}^n \delta(a_i(z), g) = 1$ and $\delta(a_i(z), g) > 0$ ($a_i(z) \not\equiv \infty$). Then for any $\delta \in (0, 1)$ there exists a constant $K = K(g, \delta)$ such that the equation $g(z) = w$ has roots in the circle $|z| < t(|w|)(1 + \delta)$ when $|w| > K(g, \delta)$. Here $t = t(|w|)$ is determined by $M(t, g) = |w|$.

Proof. Let $r = r(L)$ be the inverse of $L = \log M(r, g)$. Put

$$\lambda(L) = \log r(L), \quad \phi(L) = \log M(3r, g),$$

and $\psi(L) = 1/\delta > 1$. For $R = (1 + 1/\psi(L))r = (1 + \delta)r$ we have

$$\log M(R, g) \leq \phi(L), \quad \log r = \lambda(L)$$

and $r \rightarrow +\infty$ when $L \rightarrow +\infty$. By Lemma 2 we obtain

$$T(r, g) \leq \log^+ M(r, g) \leq \log^+ M(3r, g) \leq 7T(4r, g).$$

From Lemma 3 and Lemma 6 we deduce that $\rho_g = \lambda_g$ and

$$(2.11) \quad T(\sigma r, g) \sim \sigma^{\rho_g} T(r, g) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, 1 < \sigma < 36).$$

Since ρ_g is a positive integer by Lemma 3, we know that $T(r, g)/(\log r)^2 \rightarrow \infty$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log r \cdot \log(\log M(3r, g))}{\log M(r, g)} &\leq \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log r \cdot \log 7T(4r, g)}{T(r, g)} \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log r \cdot \log[7 \cdot 4^{\rho_g} T(r, g)(1 + o(1))]}{T(r, g)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{\lim}_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda(L)\psi(L)[4 \log \psi(L) + 6 \log \phi(L)]}{L} \\ &= \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \log r \cdot \frac{1}{\delta} [4 \log \frac{1}{\delta} + 6 \log(\log M(3r, g))]/\log M(r, g) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 7 the equation $g(z) = w$ has roots in the circle

$$|z| \leq t \left(1 + \frac{1}{\psi\left(\frac{1}{1+\delta} \log M(t, g)\right)} \right) = (1 + \delta)t(|w|),$$

for $|w| > K(g, \delta)$. □

Lemma 9. *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function, g be a transcendental entire function of lower order λ_g ($\lambda_g < +\infty$), let $a_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n; n \leq \infty$) be entire functions satisfying $T(r, a_i(z)) = o(T(r, g))$, $\sum_{i=1}^n \delta(a_i(z), g) = 1$ and $\delta(a_i(z), g) > 0$ ($a_i(z) \not\equiv \infty$). Then, for any $\delta \in (0, 1)$ there exists a constant $r_0 > 0$ such that*

$$T(r, f(g)) \geq \frac{\delta}{8} \frac{T(M(\frac{r}{1+2\delta}, g), f)}{\log M(\frac{r}{1+2\delta}, g)} \quad (r > r_0).$$

Proof. Thanks to Nevanlinna's theory we have

$$N(r, \frac{1}{f-a}) \sim T(r, f) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty)$$

outside a set of value a with capacity 0. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that it holds for $a = 0$, that is

$$(2.12) \quad N(r, 1/f) \sim T(r, f) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).$$

Now, by Lemma 8, for any $\delta \in (0, 1)$ there exists $K = K(g, \delta)$ such that the equation $g(z) = w$ has roots in the circle $|z| < (1 + \delta)t(|w|)$, where t satisfies $M(t, g) = |w|$ with $|w| > K$.

If w_0 is a zero of $f(w)$ in the region $D = \{w : K < |w| \leq M(r, g)\}$, we have $|w_0| > K$. Hence there exists $z_0, |z_0| < (1 + \delta)t(|w_0|)$, such that $g(z_0) = w_0$. This implies that z_0 is a zero of $f(g(z))$.

Denote by $n(r)$ (resp., $\bar{n}(r)$) the number of the zeros (resp., distinct zeros) of $f(w)$ in D . Then we have

$$(2.13) \quad \bar{n}((1 + \delta)r, 1/f(g)) \geq \bar{n}(r) = \bar{n}(M(r, g), 1/f) - \bar{n}(K, 1/f)$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad n((1 + \delta)r, 1/f(g)) \geq n(r) = n(M(r, g), 1/f) - n(K, 1/f).$$

Consequently, for $\rho > 1$ and $\delta > 0$,

$$(2.15) \quad N(\rho, \frac{1}{f}) - N(1, \frac{1}{f}) = \int_1^\rho \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t} dt \leq n(\rho, 1/f) \log \rho$$

and

$$(2.16) \quad N((1 + 2\delta)r, \frac{1}{f(g)}) \geq \int_{(1+\delta)r}^{(1+2\delta)r} \frac{n(t, \frac{1}{f(g)})}{t} dt \geq n((1 + \delta)r, \frac{1}{f(g)}) \log \frac{1 + 2\delta}{1 + \delta}.$$

It follows from (2.14)-(2.16) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.17) \quad & \frac{1}{\log \frac{1+2\delta}{1+\delta}} N\left((1+2\delta)r, \frac{1}{f(g)}\right) \geq n\left((1+\delta)r, \frac{1}{f(g)}\right) \\
& \geq n(M(r, g), 1/f) - n(K, 1/f) \\
& \geq \frac{N(M(r, g), 1/f)}{\log M(r, g)} - \frac{N(1, 1/f)}{\log M(r, g)} - n(K, 1/f).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{x}{1+x} < \log(1+x) < x$ ($x > 0$), for any $\delta \in (0, 1)$ we get

$$\frac{4}{3} < \frac{4}{\delta} \frac{\delta}{1+2\delta} < \frac{4}{\delta} \log \frac{1+2\delta}{1+\delta} < \frac{4}{\delta} \frac{\delta}{1+\delta} < 4.$$

By (2.12), for sufficiently large r ,

$$N(M(r, g)1/f) > \frac{1}{\frac{4}{\delta} \log \frac{1+2\delta}{1+\delta}} T(M(r, g), f).$$

Thus

$$(2.18) \quad \log \frac{1+2\delta}{1+\delta} \frac{N(M(r, g), 1/f)}{\log M(r, g)} > \frac{\delta T(Mr, g)f}{4 \log M(r, g)}.$$

From the first fundamental theorem we see that $T((1+2\delta)r, f(g)) - T((1+2\delta)r, 1/f(g))$ is a bounded quantity.

Hence, for sufficiently large r we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.19) \quad & \left| T\left((1+2\delta)r, \frac{1}{f(g)}\right) - T((1+2\delta)r, f(g)) \right| + \left(n(K, 1/f) + \frac{N(1, 1/f)}{\log M(r, g)} \right) \log \frac{1+2\delta}{1+\delta} \\
& < \frac{\delta T(M(r, g), f)}{8 \log M(r, g)}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.17)-(2.19) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
T((1+2\delta)r, f(g)) & \geq N\left((1+2\delta)r, \frac{1}{f(g)}\right) + T((1+2\delta)r, f(g)) - T\left((1+2\delta)r, \frac{1}{f(g)}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\delta T(M(r, g), f)}{8 \log M(r, g)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting r for $(1+2\delta)r$, we obtain the desired inequality. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

For completeness we now give a simple proof of Theorem A. For any small $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that $T(r, f) < r^{\rho_f + \varepsilon}$ for $r > r_0$. By Lemma 1 we get

$$T(r, f(g)) \leq AT(BM(\sigma r, g), f) \log M(\sigma r, g) < A(BM(\sigma r, g))^{\rho_f + \varepsilon} \log M(\sigma r, g),$$

hence

$$(3.1) \quad \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f(g))}{\log M(\sigma r, g)} \leq \rho_f.$$

From Lemma 3 we observe that

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \log M(\sigma r, g)/T(\sigma r, g) = \pi.$$

Now for any $\sigma \in (1, 36)$, by Lemma 6 we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} T(\sigma r, g)/T(r, g) = \sigma^{\rho_g}.$$

Combining (3.1)-(3.3) we get

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f(g))}{T(r, g)} = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f(g))}{\log M(\sigma r, g)} \cdot \frac{\log M(\sigma r, g)}{T(\sigma r, g)} \cdot \frac{T(\sigma r, g)}{T(r, g)} \leq \rho_f \cdot \pi \cdot \sigma^{\rho_g}.$$

Letting $\sigma \rightarrow 1$ gives

$$(3.4) \quad \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \log T(r, f(g))/T(r, g) \leq \pi \rho_f.$$

Next we prove the converse inequality. For $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $\delta \in (0, 1)$, there exists a sequence $\{r_n\}$, $r_n \rightarrow \infty$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), such that

$$T(R_n, f) > R_n^{\rho_f - \varepsilon}, \quad R_n = M\left(\frac{r_n}{1 + 2\delta}, g\right).$$

Now, by Lemma 9,

$$\begin{aligned} T(r_n, f(g)) &\geq \frac{\delta}{8} T\left(M\left(\frac{r_n}{1 + 2\delta}, g\right), f\right) / \log M\left(\frac{r_n}{1 + 2\delta}, g\right) \\ &\geq \frac{\delta}{8} \left(M\left(\frac{r_n}{1 + 2\delta}, g\right)\right)^{\rho_f - \varepsilon} / \log M\left(\frac{r_n}{1 + 2\delta}, g\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(3.5) \quad \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f(g))}{\log M\left(\frac{r}{1 + 2\delta}, g\right)} \geq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r_n, f(g))}{\log M\left(\frac{r_n}{1 + 2\delta}, g\right)} \geq \rho_f.$$

By Lemma 3,

$$(3.6) \quad \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M\left(\frac{r}{1 + 2\delta}, g\right)}{T\left(\frac{r}{1 + 2\delta}, g\right)} = \pi.$$

Since $1 < 1 + 2\delta < 36$ by Lemma 6, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.7) \quad \frac{T\left(\frac{r}{1+2\delta}, g\right)}{T(r, g)} &= \frac{T\left(\frac{r}{1+2\delta}, g\right)}{T\left((1+2\delta)\frac{r}{1+2\delta}, g\right)} \\
 &= \frac{T\left(\frac{r}{1+2\delta}, g\right)}{(1+2\delta)^{\rho_g} T\left(\frac{r}{1+2\delta}, g\right)(1+o(1))} \rightarrow \frac{1}{(1+2\delta)^{\rho_g}} \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.5)-(3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f(g))}{T(r, g)} &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f(g))}{\log M\left(\frac{r}{1+2\delta}, g\right)} \frac{\log M\left(\frac{r}{1+2\delta}, g\right)}{T\left(\frac{r}{1+2\delta}, g\right)} \frac{T\left(\frac{r}{1+2\delta}, g\right)}{T(r, g)} \\
 &\geq \rho_f \cdot \pi \cdot 1/(1+2\delta)^{\rho_g}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f(g))}{T(r, g)} \geq \rho_f \pi.$$

The desired conclusion follows from (3.8) and (3.4). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] H. L. Ao, *On the growth of a meromorphic function and an entire function*, Acta Sci. Natur. Univ. Pekinensis. **1** (1988), 33-46.
- [2] A. Edrei and W. H. J. Fuchs, *Valeur deficientes asymptotiques des fonctions meromorphes*, Comment. Math. Helv. **33** (1959), 258-297.
- [3] M. L. Fang, *On the regular growth of meromorphic function*, J. Nanjing Norm Univ. **3** (1993), 16-22.
- [4] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [5] L. Jin and C. J. Dai, *On a conjecture of F. Nevanlinna concerning deficient function (1)*, China Ann Math. **10A** 1 (1989), 1-7.
- [6] G. Z. Li and Y. S. Ye, *On a conjecture of F. Nevanlinna concerning deficiency sum of deficient function*, Advances Mathematics. **2** (1985), 168-174.
- [7] G. Lin and C. J. Dai, *On a conjecture of Shah concerning deficient function*, Journal of science. **14** (1985), 1041-1044.
- [8] G. D. Song and J. Huang, *On the growth properties of composition of entire and meromorphic functions*, J. East China Norm. Univ. Special Edi. Math., 1992, 33-39.
- [9] Le. Yang le, *New researches on value distribution theory*, Science Press, 1988 (in chinese Beijing).
- [10] Z. Z. Zhou and J. Z. Zhou, *Further growth properties of composition of entire functions*, J. Anhui Norm. Univ. **3** (1990), 1-7.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 HUAIYIN TEACHERS COLLEGE
 JIANGSU 223001, P. R. CHINA
 E-mail address: jianwusun@tom.com