

A COUNTEREXAMPLE ON THE CLOSEDNESS OF THE CONVEX HULL OF A CLOSED CONE IN R^n

G. M. LEE, J. Y. SHIN, AND H. S. SIM

Dedicated to Pham Huu Sach on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday

ABSTRACT. In this note, the following question, not discussed well in the literature, is considered:

Is the convex hull of A closed if A is a closed cone in R^n ?

When $n = 1$ or 2 , the answer to the above problem is affirmative. The main purpose of this brief note is to give a simple example showing that the answer is negative when $n \geq 3$.

A subset A of \mathbb{R}^n is called a *cone* if $\lambda x \in A$ whenever $x \in A$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. Useful facts about cones can be found in [1]. We consider here the following question:

Is the convex hull of A closed if A is a closed cone in \mathbb{R}^n ?

We will give an example to show that the answer to the question is negative when $n \geq 3$.

Let

$$A = \{(x, 0, 0) | x \in R\} \cup \{\alpha(x, \tanh x, 1) | \alpha \geq 0, x \in R\}$$

and

$$C(A) = \{(x, 0, 0) | x \in R\} \cup \{(x, y, z) | x \in R, -z < y < z, 0 < z\}.$$

Then A is a closed cone in R^3 but $co(A)$ is not closed where $co(A)$ denotes the convex hull of A . This statement will be verified by the following results.

Proposition 1. *A is a closed cone.*

Proof. It is clear that A is a cone. To show that A is closed, it suffices to prove that

$$cl(\{\alpha(x, \tanh x, 1) | \alpha \geq 0, x \in R\}) \subset A,$$

where $cl(K)$ denotes the closure of a set K in R^3 . Let (x^*, y^*, z^*) be the limit of a sequence $\{\alpha_n(x_n, \tanh x_n, 1)\}$ in the set $\{\alpha(x, \tanh x, 1) | \alpha \geq 0, x \in R\}$, that is,

$$(x^*, y^*, z^*) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(x_n, \tanh x_n, 1).$$

Received March 21, 2001.

This work was supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-2000-015-DP0044).

If $z^* = 0$, then $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and so $y^* = 0$ because $|\tanh x_n| < 1$. Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(x_n, \tanh x_n, 1) = (x^*, 0, 0) \in A.$$

If $z^* \neq 0$, then $\alpha_n \rightarrow z^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and so

$$x_n \rightarrow \frac{x^*}{z^*} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_n \tanh x_n \rightarrow z^* \tanh \frac{x^*}{z^*} = y^* \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(x^*, y^*, z^*) = z^* \left(\frac{x^*}{z^*}, \tanh \frac{x^*}{z^*}, 1 \right) \in A$$

This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 2. $C(A)$ is a convex set containing A but it is not closed.

Proof. It is clear that $C(A)$ contains A and it is not closed. The convexity of $C(A)$ is clear from the following observations:

(i) If two points belong to $\{(x, 0, 0) | x \in R\}$, then the line segment connecting these given points is contained in $\{(x, 0, 0) | x \in R\}$.

(ii) If two points belong to $\{(x, y, z) | x \in R, -z < y < z, 0 < z\}$, then the line segment connecting these points is also contained in $\{(x, y, z) | x \in R, -z < y < z, 0 < z\}$.

(iii) If a point belongs to $\{(x, 0, 0) | x \in R\}$ and another point belongs to $\{(x, y, z) | x \in R, -z < y < z, 0 < z\}$, then the line segment connecting these points is also contained in $\{(x, y, z) | x \in R, -z < y < z, 0 < z\}$.

This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 3. $co(A) = C(A)$.

Proof. It is clear from Proposition 2 that $co(A) \subset C(A)$. It remains to prove that

$$C(A) \subset co(A).$$

It suffices to show that

$$\{(x, y, z) | x \in R, -z < y < z, 0 < z\} \subset co(A).$$

Let $(x, y, z) \in \{(x, y, z) | x \in R, -z < y < z, 0 < z\}$. We prove that there exists $x^* \in R$ such that

$$(x, y, z) \in \{\alpha(1, 0, 0) + \beta(x^*, \tanh x^*, 1) | \alpha \in R, \beta \geq 0\},$$

that is,

$$(x, y, z) \in co\left(\{\alpha(1, 0, 0) | \alpha \in R\} \cup \{\beta(x^*, \tanh x^*, 1) | \beta \geq 0\}\right).$$

Since $0 < z$, it is sufficient to check that

$$\left(\frac{x}{z}, \frac{y}{z}, 1\right) \in \{\alpha(1, 0, 0) + \beta(x^*, \tanh x^*, 1) | \alpha \in R, \beta \geq 0\}.$$

Since $-1 < \frac{y}{z} < 1$, there exists $x^* \in R$ such that $\tanh x^* = \frac{y}{z}$. And since

$$\left\langle \left(\frac{x}{z}, \frac{y}{z}, 1 \right), (1, 0, 0) \times \left(x^*, \frac{y}{z}, 1 \right) \right\rangle = \left\langle \left(\frac{x}{z}, \frac{y}{z}, 1 \right), \left(0, -1, \frac{y}{z} \right) \right\rangle = 0,$$

(where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and \times denote the inner product and vector product in R^3 , respectively) that is, $\left(\frac{x}{z}, \frac{y}{z}, 1 \right)$, $(1, 0, 0)$ and $\left(x^*, \frac{y}{z}, 1 \right)$ are coplanar, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{x}{z}, \frac{y}{z}, 1 \right) \in \{ \alpha(1, 0, 0) + \beta(x^*, \tanh x^*, 1) \mid \alpha \in R, \beta \geq 0 \},$$

which implies that

$$(x, y, z) \in co\left(\{ \alpha(1, 0, 0) \mid \alpha \in R \} \cup \{ \beta(x^*, \tanh x^*, 1) \mid \beta \geq 0 \} \right) \subset co(A).$$

This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R .T. Rockafellar, *Convex Analysis*, Princeton Mathematical Series vol 28, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ 1970

DIVISION OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES,
 PUKYONG NATIONAL UNIVERSITY,
 PUSAN 608-737, KOREA