

## A NOTE ON WEAKLY $s$ -PERMUTABLY EMBEDDED AND WEAKLY $s$ -SUPPLEMENTED SUBGROUPS

CHANGWEN LI

ABSTRACT. Suppose that  $G$  is a finite group and  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .  $H$  is called weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded in  $G$  if there are a subnormal subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  and an  $s$ -permutably embedded subgroup  $H_{se}$  of  $G$  contained in  $H$  such that  $G = HT$  and  $H \cap T \leq H_{se}$ ;  $H$  is called weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $G$  if there is a subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  such that  $G = HT$  and  $H \cap T \leq H_{sG}$ , where  $H_{sG}$  is the subgroup of  $H$  generated by all those subgroups of  $H$  which are  $s$ -permutable in  $G$ . We investigate the influence of weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded and weakly  $s$ -supplemented subgroups on the  $p$ -nilpotency of finite groups. Some recent results are generalized.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

All groups considered in this paper are finite. We use conventional notions and notation.  $G$  always means a group and  $|G|$  denotes the order of  $G$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of groups. We call  $\mathcal{F}$  a formation, provided that (1) if  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $H \triangleleft G$ , then  $G/H \in \mathcal{F}$ , and (2) if  $G/M$  and  $G/N$  are in  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $G/(M \cap N)$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$  for any normal subgroups  $M, N$  of  $G$ . A formation  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be saturated if  $G/\Phi(G) \in \mathcal{F}$  implies that  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ .

A subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is said to be  $s$ -permutable in  $G$  if  $H$  permutes with all Sylow subgroups of  $G$ , i.e.,  $HS = SH$  for any Sylow subgroup  $S$  of  $G$ . This concept was introduced by Kegel in [1]. From Ballester-Bolinches and Pedraza-Aguilera [2], we know that  $H$  is said to be  $s$ -permutably embedded in  $G$  if for each prime  $p$  dividing  $|H|$ , a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$  is also a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of some  $s$ -permutable subgroup of  $G$ . In [3], Yangming Li showed the theorem: Let  $G$  be a group and  $P$  a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , where  $p$  is the smallest prime dividing  $|G|$ . If  $G$  is  $A_4$ -free and all 2-maximal subgroups of  $P$  are  $s$ -permutably embedded in  $G$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.

As a generalization of the above subgroups, Yangming Li [10] introduced a new subgroup embedding property in a finite group called weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded subgroup again. A subgroup  $H$  of group  $G$  is called weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded in  $G$  if there are a subnormal subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  and an  $s$ -permutably embedded subgroup  $H_{se}$  of  $G$  contained in  $H$  such that  $G = HT$  and  $H \cap T \leq H_{se}$ .

---

Received September 27, 2010; in revised form July 7, 2011.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 20D10, 20D20.

*Key words and phrases*. Weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded, weakly  $s$ -supplemented,  $p$ -nilpotent.

As another generalization of  $s$ -permutable subgroups, Skiba [11] introduced the following concept: A subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is called weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $G$  if there is a subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  such that  $G = HT$  and  $H \cap T \leq H_sG$ , where  $H_sG$  is the subgroup of  $H$  generated by all those subgroups of  $H$  which are  $s$ -permutable in  $G$ . In fact, this concept is also a generalization of  $c$ -supplemented subgroups given in [4]. Skiba proposed in [11] two open questions related to weakly  $s$ -supplemented subgroups. In this paper we are concerned with another problem in this context. There are examples to show that weakly  $s$ -supplemented subgroups are not weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded subgroups and in general the converse is also false. The aim of this article is to unify and improve some earlier results using weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded and weakly  $s$ -supplemented subgroups, such as, [3, 4, 12].

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**Lemma 2.1.**([10], Lemma 2.5) *Let  $H$  be a weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded subgroup of a group  $G$ .*

- (1) *If  $H \leq L \leq G$ , then  $H$  is weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded in  $L$ .*
- (2) *If  $N \triangleleft G$  and  $N \leq H \leq G$ , then  $H/N$  is weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded in  $G/N$ .*
- (3) *If  $H$  is a  $\pi$ -subgroup and  $N$  is a normal  $\pi'$ -subgroup of  $G$ , then  $HN/N$  is weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded in  $G/N$ .*

**Lemma 2.2.**([11], Lemma 2.10) *Let  $H$  be a weakly  $s$ -supplemented subgroup of a group  $G$ .*

- (1) *If  $H \leq L \leq G$ , then  $H$  is weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $L$ .*
- (2) *If  $N \triangleleft G$  and  $N \leq H \leq G$ , then  $H/N$  is weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $G/N$ .*
- (3) *If  $H$  is a  $\pi$ -subgroup and  $N$  is a normal  $\pi'$ -subgroup of  $G$ , then  $HN/N$  is weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $G/N$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.**([6], Lemma 3.12) *Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of a group  $G$ , where  $p$  is the smallest prime dividing  $|G|$ . If  $G$  is  $A_4$ -free and  $|P| \leq p^2$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.*

**Lemma 2.4.**([7], A, 1.2) *Let  $U, V$ , and  $W$  be subgroups of a group  $G$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $U \cap VW = (U \cap V)(U \cap W)$ ;
- (2)  $UV \cap UW = U(V \cap W)$ .

**Lemma 2.5.**([3], Lemma 2.3) *Suppose that  $H$  is  $s$ -permutable in  $G$ ,  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$ , where  $p$  is a prime. If  $H_G = 1$ , then  $P$  is  $s$ -permutable in  $G$ .*

**Lemma 2.6.**([3], Lemma 2.2) *If  $P$  is an  $s$ -permutable  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  for some prime  $p$ , then  $N_G(P) \geq O^p(G)$ .*

**Lemma 2.7.** ([3], Lemma 2.4) *Suppose  $P$  is a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $O_p(G)$ . If  $P$  is  $s$ -permutably embedded in  $G$ , then  $P$  is  $s$ -permutable in  $G$ .*

**Lemma 2.8.** ([8], Lemma 2.6) *Let  $H$  be a solvable normal subgroup of a group  $G$  ( $H \neq 1$ ). If every minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  which is contained in  $H$  is not contained in  $\Phi(G)$ , then the Fitting subgroup  $F(H)$  of  $H$  is the direct product of minimal normal subgroups of  $G$  which are contained in  $H$ .*

**Lemma 2.9.** ([6], Lemma 3.16) *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the class of groups with Sylow tower of supersolvable type. Also let  $P$  be a normal  $p$ -subgroup of a group  $G$  such that  $G/P \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $G$  is  $A_4$ -free and  $|P| \leq p^2$ , then  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let  $p$  be the smallest prime dividing  $|G|$ . Suppose that  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  such that every maximal subgroup of  $P$  is  $p$ -nilpotent supplemented in  $G$ . Then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.*

*Proof.* If  $p^2 \nmid |G|$ , then  $P$  is cyclic and so  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by Burnside's theorem. Thus, we can suppose that  $p^2 \mid |G|$ . Let  $P_1$  be a maximal subgroup of  $P$ . By the hypothesis,  $P_1$  has a  $p$ -nilpotent supplement  $K_1$  in  $G$ . Let  $K_{1p'}$  be a normal Hall  $p'$ -subgroup of  $K_1$ . Then  $G = P_1K_1 = P_1N_G(K_{1p'})$ . Obviously,  $K_{1p'}$  is a Hall  $p'$ -subgroup of  $G$ . We claim that  $K_{1p'}$  is normal in  $G$ . Indeed, if  $K_{1p'}$  is not normal in  $G$ , then  $P \cap N_G(K_{1p'}) < P$ . It follows that  $P$  has a maximal subgroup  $P_2$  such that  $P \cap N_G(K_{1p'}) \leq P_2$ . It is clear  $P_1 \neq P_2$ . By the hypothesis,  $P_2$  is also  $p$ -nilpotent supplemented in  $G$ . By repeating the above argument, we can find a Hall  $p'$ -subgroup  $K_{2p'}$  of  $G$  such that  $G = P_2K_2 = P_2N_G(K_{2p'})$ . If  $p = 2$ , then  $K_{1p'}$  and  $K_{2p'}$  are conjugate in  $G$  by applying a deep result of Gross ([9], the main theorem). If  $p > 2$ , then  $G$  is a soluble group by Feit-Thompson's theorem and hence  $K_{1p'}$  and  $K_{2p'}$  are conjugate in  $G$ . Since  $K_{2p'}$  is normalized by  $K_2$ , there exists an element  $g \in P_2$  such that  $K_{2p'}^g = K_{1p'}$ . Then

$$G = (P_2N_G(K_{2p'}))^g = P_2N_G(K_{1p'}).$$

This induces that

$$P = P \cap G = P \cap P_2N_G(K_{1p'}) = P_2(P \cap N_G(K_{1p'})) = P_2.$$

This contradiction completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $p$  be the smallest prime dividing the order of a group  $G$  and  $P$  a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . If  $G$  is  $A_4$ -free and every 2-maximal subgroup of  $P$  is weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded in  $G$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.*

*Proof.* Let  $P_2$  be a 2-maximal subgroup of  $P$ . By our hypotheses,  $P_2$  is weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded in  $G$ , then there are a subnormal subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  and an  $s$ -permutably embedded subgroup  $(P_2)_{se}$  of  $G$  contained in  $P_2$  such that  $G = P_2T$  and  $P_2 \cap T \leq (P_2)_{se}$ . If  $P_2$  is not  $s$ -permutably embedded in  $G$ , then  $G$  has a normal subgroup  $M$  such that  $G = P_2M$  and  $|G : M| = p$  by [10, Lemma 2.5(d)]. It follows that every maximal subgroup of  $P \cap M$  is weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded in  $M$  by Lemma 2.1(1). Hence we have  $M$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by [10,

Theorem 3.1]. It is easy to see that  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. Now we may assume that every 2-maximal subgroup of  $P$  is  $s$ -permutably embedded in  $G$ . By [3, Theorem 3.3], we get  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent too.  $\square$

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $p$  be the smallest prime dividing the order of a group  $G$  and  $P$  a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Suppose that every 2-maximal subgroup of  $P$  is either weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded or weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $G$ . If  $G$  is  $A_4$ -free, then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.*

*Proof.* Assume that the theorem is false and choose  $G$  to be a counterexample of minimal order. We have the following assertions.

(1) By Lemma 2.3,  $|P| \geq p^3$  and so every 2-maximal subgroup  $P_2$  of  $P$  is non-identity.

(2)  $G$  is not a non-abelian simple group.

Suppose that  $G$  is simple. Since  $G$  is a counterexample,  $P$  has a maximal subgroup  $P_1$  which has no  $p$ -nilpotent supplement in  $G$  by Lemma 2.10. It follows that for any 2-maximal subgroup  $P_2$  of  $P$  contained in  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$  has no  $p$ -nilpotent supplement in  $G$ . By the hypothesis,  $P_2$  is either weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded or weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $G$ . If  $P_2$  is weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded in  $G$ , then there are a subnormal subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  and an  $s$ -permutably embedded subgroup  $(P_2)_{se}$  of  $G$  contained in  $P_2$  such that  $G = P_2T$  and  $P_2 \cap T \leq (P_2)_{se}$ . Obviously,  $T = G$  and so  $P_2 = (P_2)_{se}$  is  $s$ -permutably embedded in  $G$ . Thus there is an  $s$ -permutable subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $P_2$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $K$ . Since  $G$  is simple, we have  $K_G = 1$ . By Lemma 2.5,  $P_2$  is  $s$ -permutable in  $G$ . Therefore  $N_G(P_2) \geq O^p(G) = G$  by Lemma 2.6. It follows that  $P_2 \trianglelefteq G$ , a contradiction. If  $P_2$  is weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $G$ , then there is a non- $p$ -nilpotent subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  such that  $G = P_2T$  and

$$P_2 \cap T \leq (P_2)_{sG} \leq O_p(G) = 1.$$

It follows that  $|T|_p = p^2$ . Obviously,  $T$  is also  $A_4$ -free. Therefore  $T$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by Lemma 2.3, a contradiction.

(3)  $G$  has a unique minimal normal subgroup  $N$ . Moreover  $G/N$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, and  $\Phi(G) = 1$ .

By step (2), we may assume that  $G$  has a minimal normal subgroup  $N$ . We shall prove that  $G/N$  satisfies the hypothesis of our theorem. Since  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ ,  $PN/N$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G/N$ . If  $|PN/N| \leq p^2$ , then  $G/N$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by Lemma 2.3. Thus we can suppose  $|PN/N| \geq p^3$ . Let  $M_2/N$  be a 2-maximal subgroup of  $PN/N$ . Then  $M_2 = M_2 \cap PN = N(M_2 \cap P)$ . Let  $P_2 = M_2 \cap P$ . It follows that  $P_2 \cap N = M_2 \cap P \cap N = P \cap N$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $N$ . Since

$$|P : P_2| = |P : M_2 \cap P| = |PN : (M_2 \cap P)N| = |PN/N : M_2/N| = p^2,$$

we have  $P_2$  is a 2-maximal subgroup of  $P$ . If  $P_2$  is weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $G$ , then there is a subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  such that  $G = P_2T$  and  $P_2 \cap T \leq (P_2)_{sG}$ .

Therefore,

$$G/N = M/N \cdot TN/N = P_2N/N \cdot TN/N.$$

Since  $(|N : P_2 \cap N|, |N : T \cap N|) = 1$ , we have

$$(P_2 \cap N)(T \cap N) = NN \cap G = N \cap P_2T.$$

By Lemma 2.4,  $(P_2N) \cap (TN) = (P_2 \cap T)N$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (P_2N/N) \cap (TN/N)(P_2N \cap TN)/N &= (P_2 \cap T)N/N \leq (P_2)_{sG}N/N \\ &\leq (P_2N/N)_{sG}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $M/N$  is weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $G/N$ . If  $P_2$  is weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded in  $G$ , then we can prove  $M_2/N$  is weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded in  $G/N$  too. Therefore,  $G/N$  satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem. The minimal choice of  $G$  yields that  $G/N$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. Since the class of all  $p$ -nilpotent groups is a saturated formation, the uniqueness of  $N$  and the fact that  $\Phi(G) = 1$  are obvious.

$$(4) \ O_{p'}(G) = 1.$$

If  $O_{p'}(G) \neq 1$ , then  $N \leq O_{p'}(G)$  by step (3). Since

$$G/O_{p'}(G) \cong (G/N)/(O_{p'}(G)/N)$$

and  $G/N$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, we have  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, a contradiction.

$$(5) \ O_p(G) = 1.$$

If  $O_p(G) \neq 1$ , Step (3) yields  $N \leq O_p(G)$  and  $\Phi(O_p(G)) \leq \Phi(G) = 1$ . Therefore,  $G$  has a maximal subgroup  $M$  such that  $G = MN$  and  $G/N \cong M$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. Since  $O_p(G) \cap M$  is normalized by  $N$  and  $M$ ,  $O_p(G) \cap M \triangleleft G$ . The uniqueness of  $N$  yields  $N = O_p(G)$ . Clearly,  $P = N(P \cap M)$ . Since  $P \cap M < P$ , there exists a maximal subgroup  $P_1$  of  $P$  such that  $P \cap M \leq P_1$ . Then  $P = NP_1$ . Take a 2-maximal subgroup  $P_2$  of  $P$  such that  $P_2 \leq P_1$ . By the hypothesis,  $P_2$  is either weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded or weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $G$ . If  $P_2$  is weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded in  $G$ , then there are a subnormal subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  and an  $s$ -permutably embedded subgroup  $(P_2)_{se}$  of  $G$  contained in  $P_2$  such that  $G = P_2T$  and  $P_2 \cap T \leq (P_2)_{se}$ . So there is an  $s$ -permutable subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $(P_2)_{se}$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $K$ . If  $K_G \neq 1$ , then  $N \leq K_G \leq K$ . It follows that  $N \leq (P_2)_{se} \leq P_1$ , and so  $P = N(P \cap M) = NP_1 = P_1$ , a contradiction. If  $K_G = 1$ , by Lemma 2.5,  $(P_2)_{se}$  is  $s$ -permutable in  $G$ . From Lemma 2.6 we have  $O^p(G) \leq N_G((P_2)_{se})$ . Since  $(P_2)_{se}$  is subnormal in  $G$ ,  $P_2 \cap T \leq (P_2)_{se} \leq O_p(G) = N$ . Thus,  $(P_2)_{se} \leq P_1 \cap N$  and

$$(P_2)_{se} \leq ((P_2)_{se})^G = ((P_2)_{se})^{O^p(G)P} = ((P_2)_{se})^P \leq (P_1 \cap N)^P = P_1 \cap N \leq N.$$

It follows that  $((P_2)_{se})^G = 1$  or  $((P_2)_{se})^G = P_1 \cap N = N$ . If  $((P_2)_{se})^G = 1$ , then  $P_2 \cap T = 1$  and so  $|T|_p = p^2$ . Hence  $T$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by Lemma 2.3. Let  $T_{p'}$  be the normal  $p$ -complement of  $T$ , then  $T_{p'}$  is a normal Hall  $p'$ -subgroups of  $G$  since  $T \triangleleft \triangleleft G$ , a contradiction. If  $((P_2)_{se})^G = P_1 \cap N = N$ , then  $N \leq P_1$  and so  $P = P_1$ , a contradiction. Therefore we may assume that  $P_2$  is weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $G$ . Then there is a subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  such that  $G = P_2T$  and

$P_2 \cap T \leq (P_2)_{sG}$ . From Lemma 2.6, we have  $O^p(G) \leq N_G((P_2)_{sG})$ . Since  $(P_2)_{sG}$  is subnormal in  $G$ ,  $P_2 \cap T \leq (P_2)_{sG} \leq O_p(G) = N$ . Thus,  $(P_2)_{sG} \leq P_1 \cap N$  and

$$(P_2)_{sG} \leq ((P_2)_{sG})^G = ((P_2)_{sG})^{O^p(G)P} = ((P_2)_{sG})^P \leq (P_1 \cap N)^P = P_1 \cap N \leq N.$$

It follows that  $((P_2)_{sG})^G = 1$  or  $((P_2)_{sG})^G = P_1 \cap N = N$ . If  $((P_2)_{sG})^G = P_1 \cap N = N$ , then  $N \leq P_1$  and  $P = NP_1 = P_1$ , a contradiction. If  $((P_2)_{sG})^G = 1$ , then  $P_2 \cap T = 1$  and so  $|T|_p = p^2$ . Hence  $T$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by Lemma 2.3. Let  $T_{p'}$  be the normal  $p$ -complement of  $T$ . Since  $M$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, we may suppose that  $M$  has a normal Hall  $p'$ -subgroup  $M_{p'}$  and  $M \leq N_G(M_{p'}) \leq G$ . The maximality of  $M$  implies that  $M = N_G(M_{p'})$  or  $N_G(M_{p'}) = G$ . If the latter holds, then  $M_{p'} \triangleleft G$ ,  $M_{p'}$  is actually the normal  $p$ -complement of  $G$ , which is contrary to the choice of  $G$ . Hence we must have  $M = N_G(M_{p'})$ . By applying a deep result of Gross ([9], the main theorem) and Feit-Thompson's theorem, there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $T_{p'}^g = M_{p'}$ . Hence

$$T^g \leq N_G(T_{p'}^g) = N_G(M_{p'}) = M.$$

However,  $T_{p'}$  is normalized by  $T$ , so  $g$  can be considered as an element of  $P_2$ . Thus  $G = P_2 T^g = P_2 M$  and  $P = P_2(P \cap M) = P_1$ , a contradiction.

(6)  $G$  has a Hall  $p'$ -subgroup and any two Hall  $p'$ -subgroups of  $G$  are conjugate in  $G$ .

If every 2-maximal subgroup of  $P$  is weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded in  $G$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by Lemma 2.11, a contradiction. Thus there is a 2-maximal subgroup  $P_2$  of  $P$  such that  $P_2$  is weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $G$ . Then there exists a subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  such that  $G = P_2 T$  and

$$P_2 \cap T \leq (P_2)_{sG} \leq O_p(G) = 1.$$

By Lemma 2.3,  $T$  is  $p$ -nilpotent and so  $T$  has the normal  $p$ -complement  $T_{p'}$ . Obviously,  $T_{p'}$  is also a Hall  $p'$ -subgroup of  $G$ . A new application of the result by Gross ([9], the main theorem) and Feit-Thompson's theorem yield any two Hall  $p'$ -subgroups of  $G$  are conjugate in  $G$ .

(7) Conclusion.

If  $NP < G$ , then  $NP$  satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem. The minimal choice of  $G$  yields that  $NP$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. Let  $N_{p'}$  be the normal  $p$ -complement of  $N$ . It is clear that  $N_{p'} \triangleleft G$ , so that  $N_{p'} = 1$  by step (4) and  $N$  is a non-trivial  $p$ -group, contrary to step (5). Therefore we must have  $G = NP$ . By step (6),  $G$  has a Hall  $p'$ -subgroup. Then we may suppose that  $N$  has a Hall  $p'$ -subgroup  $N_{p'}$ . By Frattini's argument,

$$G = NN_G(N_{p'}) = (P \cap N)N_{p'}N_G(N_{p'}) = (P \cap N)N_G(N_{p'})$$

and so

$$P = P \cap G = P \cap (P \cap N)N_G(N_{p'}) = (P \cap N)(P \cap N_G(N_{p'})).$$

Since  $N_G(N_{p'}) < G$ ,  $P \cap N_G(N_{p'}) < P$ . We take a maximal subgroup  $P_1$  of  $P$  such that  $P \cap N_G(N_{p'}) \leq P_1$ . Then  $P = (P \cap N)P_1$ . Let  $P_2$  be a 2-maximal subgroup of  $P$  such that  $P_2 \leq P_1$ . By the hypothesis,  $P_2$  is either weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded or weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $G$ . If  $P_2$  is weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded

in  $G$ , then there are a subnormal subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  and an  $s$ -permutably embedded subgroup  $(P_2)_{se}$  of  $G$  contained in  $P_2$  such that  $G = P_2T$  and  $P_2 \cap T \leq (P_2)_{se}$ . So there is a  $s$ -permutable subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $(P_2)_{se}$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $K$ . If  $K_G \neq 1$ , then  $N \leq K_G \leq K$  and so  $(P_2)_{se} \cap N$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $N$ . We know  $(P_2)_{se} \cap N \leq P_2 \cap N \leq P \cap N$  and  $P \cap N$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $N$ , so  $(P_2)_{se} \cap N = P_2 \cap N = P \cap N$ . Consequently,  $P = (N \cap P)P_1 = (P_2 \cap N)P_1 = P_1$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $K_G = 1$ . By Lemma 2.5,  $(P_2)_{se}$  is  $s$ -permutable in  $G$  and so  $(P_2)_{se} \triangleleft \triangleleft G$ . Hence  $P_2 \cap T \leq (P_2)_{se} \leq O_p(G) = 1$ . Since  $|T|_p = p^2$ ,  $T$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by Lemma 2.3. Let  $T_{p'}$  be the normal  $p$ -complement of  $T$ , then  $T_{p'}$  is a normal Hall  $p'$ -subgroup of  $G$ , a contradiction. Therefore we may suppose  $P_2$  is weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $G$ . Then there is a subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  such that  $G = P_2T$  and  $P_2 \cap T \leq (P_2)_{sG} \leq O_p(G) = 1$ . Since  $|T|_p = p^2$ ,  $T$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by Lemma 2.3. Let  $T_{p'}$  be the normal  $p$ -complement of  $T$ , then  $T_{p'}$  is a Hall  $p'$ -subgroup of  $G$ . By step (6),  $T_{p'}$  and  $N_{p'}$  are conjugate in  $G$ . Since  $T_{p'}$  is normalized by  $T$ , there exists  $g \in P_2$  such that  $T_{p'}^g = N_{p'}$ . Hence

$$G = (P_2T)^g = P_2T^g = P_2N_G(T_{p'}^g) = P_2N_G(N_{p'})$$

and

$$P = P \cap G = P \cap P_2N_G(N_{p'}) = P_2(P \cap N_G(N_{p'})) \leq P_1,$$

a contradiction. □

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $p$  be the smallest prime dividing the order of a group  $G$  and  $G$  be  $A_4$ -free. Suppose that  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/H$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. If there exists a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $H$  such that every 2-maximal subgroup of  $P$  is either weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded or weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $G$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.*

*Proof.* By Lemmas 2.1(1) and 2.2(1), every 2-maximal subgroup of  $P$  is either weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded or weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $H$ . By Theorem 3.1,  $H$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. Now we may assume that  $H_{p'}$  is the normal  $p$ -complement of  $H$ . It is clear that  $H_{p'} \triangleleft G$ . If  $H_{p'} \neq 1$ , then we consider  $G/H_{p'}$ . It is easy to see that  $G/H_{p'}$  satisfies all the hypotheses of our corollary for the normal subgroup  $H/H_{p'}$  of  $G/H_{p'}$  by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2. Now by induction, we see that  $G/H_{p'}$  is  $p$ -nilpotent and so  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. Hence we assume  $H_{p'} = 1$  and therefore  $H = P$  is a  $p$ -group. Since  $G/H$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, let  $K/H$  be the normal  $p$ -complement of  $G/H$ . By Schur-Zassenhaus's theorem, there exists a Hall  $p'$ -subgroup  $K_{p'}$  of  $K$  such that  $K = HK_{p'}$ . By Theorem 3.1,  $K$  is  $p$ -nilpotent and so  $K = H \times K_{p'}$ . Hence  $K_{p'}$  is a normal  $p$ -complement of  $G$ . This completes the proof. □

**Corollary 3.3.** *Suppose that every 2-maximal subgroup of any Sylow subgroup of a group  $G$  is either weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded or weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $G$ . If  $G$  is  $A_4$ -free, then  $G$  is a Sylow tower group of supersolvable type.*

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be the smallest prime dividing  $|G|$  and  $P$  a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Then every 2-maximal subgroup of  $P$  is either weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded

or weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $G$ . From Theorem 3.1, we get  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. Let  $L$  be the normal  $p$ -complement of  $G$ . By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, every 2-maximal subgroup of any Sylow subgroup of  $U$  is either weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded or weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $U$ . Thus  $U$  satisfies the hypothesis of the corollary. By induction,  $U$  is a Sylow tower group of supersolvable type. It follows that  $G$  is also a Sylow tower group of supersolvable type.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the class of groups with Sylow tower of supersolvable type and  $G$  be  $A_4$ -free. Then  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  if and only if there is a normal subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $G/H \in \mathcal{F}$  and every 2-maximal subgroup of any Sylow subgroup of  $H$  is either weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded or weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* The necessity is obvious. We only need to prove the sufficiency. Suppose that the assertion is false and let  $G$  be a counterexample of minimal order. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, every 2-maximal subgroup of any Sylow subgroup of  $H$  is either weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded or weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $H$ . By Corollary 3.3,  $H$  is a Sylow tower group of supersolvable type. Let  $p$  be the maximal prime divisor of  $|H|$  and let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$ . Obviously,  $P$  is normal in  $G$ . Let  $N$  be a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $P$ .

(1)  $G/P \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Let  $M_2/P$  be a 2-maximal subgroup of a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup  $QP/P$  of  $H/P$ , where  $q \neq p$  and  $Q$  is a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $H$ . It is clear that  $M_2 = Q_2P$ , where  $Q_2$  is a 2-maximal subgroup of  $Q$ . By the hypothesis of the theorem,  $Q_2$  is either weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded or weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $G$ . Hence  $M_2/N$  is either weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded or weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $G/N$  by Lemmas 2.1(3) and 2.2(3). Since  $(G/P)/(H/P) \cong G/H \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $G/P$  is  $A_4$ -free, we have  $G/P$  satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem. By the minimal choice of  $G$ , we have  $G/P \in \mathcal{F}$ .

(2)  $P = N$ .

If  $N < P$ , then  $(G/N)/(P/N) \cong G/P \in \mathcal{F}$  by step (1). We will show that  $G/N \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $|P/N| \leq p^2$ , then  $G/N \in \mathcal{F}$  by Lemma 2.3. If  $|P/N| > p^2$ , then every 2-maximal subgroup of  $P/N$  is either weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded or weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $G/N$  by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2. By the minimality of  $G$ , we have  $G/N \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is a saturated formation,  $N$  is the unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $P$  and  $N \not\leq \Phi(G)$ . By Lemma 2.8, it follows that  $P = F(P) = N$ , a contradiction.

(3) Conclusion.

Since  $N \triangleleft G$ , we may take a 2-maximal  $N_2$  of  $N$  such that  $N_2 \triangleleft G_p$ , where  $G_p$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $N_2$  is either weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded or weakly  $s$ -supplemented in  $G$  by step (2). Let  $T$  be a supplement of  $N_2$  in  $G$ . Then  $G = N_2T = NT$  and  $N = N \cap N_2T = N_2(N \cap T)$ . This implies that  $N \cap T \neq 1$ . But since  $N \cap T$  is normal in  $G$  and  $N$  is minimal normal in  $G$ , we have  $N \cap T = N$  and so  $T = G$ . If  $N_2$  is weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded in  $G$ , then  $N_2$  is  $s$ -permutably embedded in  $G$ . By Lemma 2.7,  $N_2$  is  $s$ -permutable in  $G$ . By Lemma 2.6,  $O^p(G) \leq N_G(N_2)$ . Thus  $N_2 \triangleleft G_p O^p(G) = G$ . It follows that  $|N| = p^2$  and so  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  by Lemma 2.9, a contradiction. If  $N_2$  is weakly



$s$ -supplemented in  $G$ , then  $N_2 = (N_2)_{sG}$  is  $s$ -permutable in  $G$ . We get the same contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** ([3], Corollary 3.5) *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the class of groups with Sylow tower of supersolvable type and  $N$  a normal subgroup of a group  $G$ . Suppose that  $G$  is  $A_4$ -free. If, for every prime  $p$  dividing the order of  $N$  and  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(N)$ , every 2-maximal subgroup of  $P$  is  $s$ -permutably embedded in  $G$ , then  $G$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

**Corollary 3.6.** ([12], Theorem 3.1) *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the class of groups with Sylow tower of supersolvable type and  $N$  a normal subgroup of a group  $G$ . Suppose that  $G$  is  $A_4$ -free. If, for every prime  $p$  dividing the order of  $N$  and  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(N)$ , every 2-maximal subgroup of  $P$  is  $c$ -supplemented in  $G$ , then  $G$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank the referees for their useful comments and suggestions which have improved the original manuscript to its present form.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] O. H. Kegel, Sylow Gruppen und subnormalteiler endlicher, *Gruppen, Math. Z.* **78** (1962), 205–221.
- [2] A. Ballester-Bolinches, M. C. Pedraza-Aguilera, Sufficient conditions for supersolvability of finite groups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **127** (1998), 113–118.
- [3] Y. Li, Y. Wang and H. Wei, On  $p$ -nilpotency of finite groups with some subgroups  $\pi$ -quasinormally embedded, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **108** (2005), 283–298.
- [4] Y. Wang, Finite groups with some subgroups of Sylow subgroups  $c$ -supplemented, *J. Algebra* **224** (2000), 467–478.
- [5] A. Ballester-Bolinches, Y. Wang and X. Guo,  $c$ -Supplemented subgroups of finite groups, *Glasgow Math. J.* **42** (2000), 383–389.
- [6] X. Guo and K. P. Shum, Cover-avoidance properties and structure of finite groups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **181** (2003), 297–308.
- [7] K. Doerk and T. Hawkes, *Finite Soluble Groups*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 1992.
- [8] D. Li and X. Guo, The influence of  $c$ -normality of subgroups on the structure of finite groups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **150** (2000), 53–60.
- [9] F. Gross, Conjugacy of odd order Hall subgroups, *Bull London Math. Soc.* **19** (1987), 311–319.
- [10] Y. Li, S. Qiao and Y. Wang, On weakly  $s$ -permutably embedded subgroups of finite groups, *Comm. Algebra* **37** (2009), 1086–1097.
- [11] A. N. Skiba, On weakly  $s$ -permutable subgroups of finite groups, *J. Algebra* **315** (2007), 192–209.
- [12] Y. Li, Y. Wang and H. Wei, On  $p$ -nilpotency of finite groups with some  $c$ -supplemented subgroups of prime power order, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **68** (2006), 77–88.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE  
XUZHOU NORMAL UNIVERSITY, XUZHOU, 221116, CHINA  
*E-mail address:* lcw2000@126.com